



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

 Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again
Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
(The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	TUESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2008, ON PAGE 169]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER, PLEASE, IF
7	EVERYONE WOULD PLEASE RISE. WE WILL BE LED IN THE INVOCATION
8	THIS MORNING BY FATHER MAURICIO GOLORON FROM ST. MARTHA'S
9	CHURCH IN LA PUENTE AND THE PLEDGE LED BY VICTOR APREA, MEMBER
10	POST 790, WEST COVINA, THE AMERICAN LEGION. FATHER?
11	
12	FR. MAURICIO GOLORON: GOD, WHO CALLED US OUT OF DARKNESS INTO
13	HIS WONDERFUL LIGHT. MAY GOD'S LIGHT GUIDE US AND PROTECT US
14	AS A COMMUNITY. MAY GOD'S WISDOM DIRECT THIS BOARD IN ITS WORK
15	AND DECISIONS TODAY AND EVERY DAY. MAY GOD'S LOVE STRENGTHEN
16	ALL THOSE WHO WORK FOR OUR COUNTY AND MAKE GOD'S PEACE, MAKE
17	US ONE, AND HELP US TO ALWAYS STRIVE FOR THE COMMON GOOD OF
18	ALL OUR NEIGHBORS. (REPEATS PRAYER IN SPANISH.) (REPEATS
19	PRAYER IN TAGALOG.) AMEN
20	
21	VICTOR APREA: PLEASE FACE THE FLAG, PLACING YOUR RIGHT LAND
22	OVER YOUR HEART AND JOINING ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
23	[PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED.] THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?
24	



- SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. IT'S MY HONOR THIS 1
- MORNING TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO FATHER 2
- 3 MAURICIO GOLORON. FATHER GOLORON WAS BORN AND RAISED IN THE
- SOUTHERN PHILIPPINES. HE ENTERED THE SEMINARY AT THE AGE OF 12 4
- 5 AND EDUCATED BY THE SOCIETY OF JESUS AND BECAME AN ORDAINED
- PRIEST IN 1984. IN 1998, FATHER GOLORON CAME TO UNITED STATES. 6
- HE SERVED AT THE IMMACULATE HEART OF MARY CHURCH IN HOLLYWOOD, 7
- 8 ST. CATHERINE LABORNE CHURCH IN TORRENS AND CURRENTLY HEADS UP
- THE ROBUST CONGREGATION AT ST. MARTHA'S CHURCH IN VELINDA. ST. 9
- MARTHA'S IS SITUATED IN A THRIVING COMMUNITY AND THEIR MANY 10
- COMPASSIONATE PARISHIONERS ARE DEVOTED TO GOOD WORKS AND 11
- COMMUNITY SERVICE, SUCH AS OFFERING FOOD BANKS AND DONATING 12
- CLOTHING BANK FOR THE NEEDY. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR HEARTFELT 13
- THANKS TO FATHER GOLORON AND WE WANT TO PRESENT HIM A 14
- 15 CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION FOR LEADING US IN OUR INVOCATION.
- 16 THANK YOU, FATHER. [APPLAUSE.] SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

18

- SUP. ANTONOVICH: ONCE AGAIN WE WANT TO WELCOME VICTOR APREA
- 19 FROM COVINA WHO CAME DOWN TODAY AS A MEMBER OF THE WEST COVINA
- POST OF THE 790 OF THE AMERICAN LEGION. HE RETIRED AS 20
- ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES 21
- 22 TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT. GRADUATE OF WASHINGTON GENERALS
- 23 HIGH SCHOOL IN LOS ANGELES AND UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN
- 24 CALIFORNIA. THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN. [APPLAUSE.]

25



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE READING OF
- 2 THE AGENDA.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
- 5 BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, AGENDA FOR THE
- 6 MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. ITEMS 1-D
- 7 THROUGH 4-D.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED
- 10 BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 13 AUTHORITY, ITEM 1-H.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHICH?

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 1-H, AGENDA FOR THE HOUSING
- 18 AUTHORITY.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY MOLINA.
- 21 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

22

- 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PAGE 6, AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE
- 24 REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEM 1-P.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. SECONDED BY
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7. ON
- 5 ITEM NUMBER 7, THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC
- 6 TO HOLD THIS ITEM. THE REMAINING ITEMS ARE BEFORE YOU.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: HOLD ITEM 7?

9

10 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** YES.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY
- 13 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, SECONDED BY THE CHAIR. WITHOUT
- 14 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEMS 8 THROUGH 66, ON ITEM NUMBER 8,
- 17 AS POSTED ON THE AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS
- 18 THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE REMAINDER ARE BEFORE US?

21

22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO, ON ITEM 8.

23

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 10, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS
- 2 THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 12, SUPERVISOR KNABE
- 3 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 13, THE CHIEF
- 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO
- 5 JANUARY 13TH, 2009.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NUMBER 20, SUPERVISOR KNABE
- 10 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM NUMBER 23, SUPERVISOR
- 11 KNABE AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 12 HELD. 23? ON ITEM NUMBER 28, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER
- 13 OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. 28? ON ITEM NUMBER 33,
- 14 THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 15 ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 36, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF
- 16 THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NUMBER 47, THERE'S A
- 17 REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM
- 18 51, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 19 ITEM. AND ON ITEM NUMBER 60, THE INTERIM DIRECTOR OF HEALTH
- 20 SERVICES REQUESTS THAT THE CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN BE CONTINUED
- 21 TO JANUARY 6TH, 2009, BUT THE SETTLEMENT BE APPROVED TODAY.
- 22 AND THE REMAINING ITEMS ARE BEFORE YOU.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ON THE REMAINING ITEMS, MOVED BY
- 25 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.



- 1 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. RECOGNIZING THOSE HOLDS AND
- 2 CONTINUANCES.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. ON PAGE 32, ORDINANCE FOR
- 5 INTRODUCTION. AND ON ITEM NUMBER 67, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 6 POSTED AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS
- 7 ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DISCUSSION ITEMS. ITEMS 67 THROUGH 73, ON
- 12 ITEM 68 WE WILL HOLD FOR A DISCUSSION. ON ITEM 69, AS
- 13 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THIS RECOMMENDATION WAS
- 14 REVISED AND WE WILL ALSO HOLD THIS FOR A DISCUSSION. ITEM 70
- 15 WE WILL HOLD FOR DISCUSSION. PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEM 71 WE WILL
- 16 HOLD FOR A PUBLIC HEARING. ON ITEM 72, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 17 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS REQUESTS THAT
- 18 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO JANUARY 27TH,
- 19 2009.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

- 23 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM 73, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 24 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS REQUESTS THAT



- 1 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO FEBRUARY 24TH,
- 2 2009.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PAGE 35, MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS TO THE
- 7 AGENDA WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN AN ADVANCE OF
- 8 THE MEETING AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ON 74-A,
- 9 THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 10 ITEM. ON 74-B, PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957, THE
- 11 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS BE REFERRED TO
- 12 CLOSED SESSION.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 17 SUPERVISORIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NUMBER
- 18 1.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS.
- 21 I WILL CALL ON SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND THEN SUPERVISOR
- 22 RIDLEY-THOMAS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, IT'S A REAL GREAT PLEASURE TO
- 25 INTRODUCE ONE OF THE GREAT ROLE MODELS, MICHAEL SCIOSCIA, THE



- 1 FORMER MANAGER OF THE LOS ANGELES ANGELS AT ANAHEIM, FORMERLY
- 2 THE CALIFORNIA ANGELS, AND FORMERLY THE LOS ANGELES ANGELS,
- 3 AND NOW THE LOS ANGELES ANGELS AT ANAHEIM, AND THEY OFTEN PLAY
- 4 THE LOS ANGELES DODGERS AT CHINATOWN FROM TIME TO TIME.
- 5 [LAUGHTER.] IN RECOGNITION OF THEIR HARD WORK AND LEADERSHIP
- 6 AND DEDICATION TOWARDS GUIDING THE ANGELS OF ANAHEIM TO THE
- 7 2008 AMERICAN LEAGUE WESTERN DIVISION CHAMPIONSHIP FOR THE
- 8 SECOND CONSECUTIVE YEAR AND POSTING OVER 100 WINS IN A SINGLE
- 9 SYSTEM FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THEIR FRANCHISE HISTORY, THE 2008
- 10 ANGELS, IT WAS THE 48TH SEASON FOR THE FRANCHISE. THE REGULAR
- 11 SEASON ENDED WITH THE ANGELS WINNING THEIR SEVENTH AMERICAN
- 12 WEST DIVISION TITLE AND SETTING A FRANCHISE RECORD FOR THE
- 13 SINGLE SEASON WINS. MIKE SCIOSCIA, FORMER CATCHER FOR THE LOS
- 14 ANGELES DODGERS, MARKED HIS NINTH SEASON AS MANAGER OF THE
- 15 ANGELS. HE OWNS AN 803 TO 655 WIN-LOSS RECORD FOR 551 WINNING
- 16 PERCENTAGE WITH THE ANGELS. HE REACHED THE 800 WIN PLATEAU ON
- 17 SEPTEMBER 22ND WITH A 2-1 VICTORY OVER SEATTLE. PRESENTLY,
- 18 MICHAEL IS THE LONGEST-TENURED MANAGER IN AMERICAN LEAGUE
- 19 BASEBALL. HE'S THE 16TH MANAGER IN ANGEL HISTORY. AND HE'S
- 20 GUIDED THE ANGELS TO THE POST SEASON IN FOUR OF THE LAST FIVE
- 21 SEASONS. HE'S THE ONLY ANGELS MANAGER TO WIN AMERICAN LEAGUE'S
- 22 WESTERN DIVISION CHAMPIONSHIP, SURPASSING GENE MOCK. AND HE'S
- 23 LED THE ANGELS TO FIVE STRAIGHT WINNING SEASONS, 2004 THROUGH
- 24 2008. UNDER HIS LEADERSHIP, THEY HAVE WON 90 OR MORE IN FIVE
- 25 OF THE LAST SEVEN SEASONS. THE NUMEROUS COMMUNITY EVENTS



- 1 INCLUDE HE'S CO CHAIR OF THE ANGELS CARE 65 ROSES GOLF
- 2 TOURNAMENT, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE HEART ASSOCIATION HEART AND
- 3 SOUL WALK, SUPPORTS LOCAL LITTLE LEAGUES THROUGHOUT THE MIKE
- 4 SCIOSCIA YOUTH BASEBALL CLINIC MAKE-A-WISH FOUNDATION, AND
- 5 ALSO, THROUGH HIS LEADERSHIP, THE MONROVIA BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB
- 6 RAISE ABOUT 75 PERCENT OF THEIR BUDGET EACH JANUARY/FEBRUARY
- 7 WHEN THEY DO THEIR ANNUAL DINNER AND MIKE DOES A PHENOMENAL
- 8 JOB AS THE AUCTIONEER. AND THEY RAISE THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR
- 9 THE ENTIRE YEAR. IT HELPS THE YOUNG BOYS AND GIRLS IN THE SAN
- 10 GABRIEL VALLEY IN MONROVIA. HE WAS INDUCTED INTO THE ITALIAN
- 11 AMERICAN HALL OF FAME IN 2007 AND MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL'S
- 12 ARIZONA FALL LEAGUE OF FAME. WE ARE ALSO HONORING LOS ANGELES
- 13 ANGELS FOR INVITING LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S BOYS AND GIRLS TO
- 14 ATTEND THEIR ANNUAL CHILDREN'S HOLIDAY CELEBRATION, WHICH
- 15 TAKES PLACE TODAY, THIS AFTERNOON, AT ANGELS STADIUM. TONY
- 16 RIGGINS, THE GENERAL MANAGER, AND MICHAEL WILL BE READING TO
- 17 THE CHILDREN. THE CHILDREN WILL ALSO GET THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 18 SING THE HOLIDAY SONGS AND MAKE SPECIALLY DESIGNED ANGEL
- 19 CHRISTMAS TREE ORNAMENTS. THEY'RE ALSO TREATED TO A
- 20 TRADITIONAL BASEBALL MEAL AND ARE ALLOWED TO SELECT THEIR OWN
- 21 CHRISTMAS GIFT. THIS SUMMER, AS PART OF A NATIONAL SUMMER
- 22 MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS PROGRAM, I TEAMED UP WITH THE ANGELS'
- 23 GENERAL MANAGER TONY RIGGINS AND LEFT HAND PITCHER DARREN
- 24 OLIVER IN HOSTING A PREVENTION AND EARLY INTERVENTION EVENT
- 25 ENCOURAGING THE CHILDREN TO EAT THE PROPER FOODS THAT WOULD



- 1 TURN AND HELP PROMOTE A POSITIVE MENTAL HEALTH AND WELLNESS
- 2 ATTITUDE. MORE THAN 200 STUDENTS FROM FRANK TELAMO ELEMENTARY
- 3 SCHOOL IN LOS ANGELES, LOCATED NEAR KOREA TOWN, WHERE NINE
- 4 DIFFERENT LANGUAGES ARE SPOKEN ON CAMPUS, PARTICIPATED IN THIS
- 5 VERY EXCITING EVENT. SO, MICHAEL, WE WANT TO GIVE YOU, AS
- 6 MANAGER OF THE YEAR, THIS PLAQUE FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 7 ANGELES. AND WE WISH YOU MANY, MANY MORE SUCCESSFUL SEASONS.
- 8 AND I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY OF BEING THERE WHEN THEY WON THE
- 9 WORLD SERIES A COUPLE YEARS AGO, AND THAT WAS A VERY GREAT
- 10 FEELING. A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN WHEN I WAS A LITTLE BOY AT
- 11 WRIGLEY FIELD WATCHING THE LOS ANGELES ANGELS LOSE UNDER BILL
- 12 KELLY WHO WAS THE MANAGER THEN. ANYWAY, CONGRATULATIONS AND
- 13 GOD BLESS YOU. [APPLAUSE.] AND THIS IS FOR THE LOS ANGELES
- 14 ANGELS' WESTERN DIVISION CHAMPIONSHIP. GOOD CHAMPIONS.
- 15 [APPLAUSE.]

- 17 MIKE SCIOSCIA: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND ALL THE BOARD OF
- 18 SUPERVISORS, I WANT TO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THIS
- 19 PRESENTATION, THIS HONOR. THE AMOUNT OF SUPPORT WE GET THROUGH
- 20 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA IS INCREDIBLE. ALMOST 3.5 MILLION FANS
- 21 CAME TO SEE US DOWN AT ANGELS' STADIUM. WE HAD A GREAT REGULAR
- 22 SEASON. AND AS WE PREPARE FOR THIS NEXT SEASON, 2009,
- 23 SUPERVISOR, WE'RE GOING TO DO A LITTLE BETTER IN THE PLAYOFFS.
- 24 I KNOW AS DISAPPOINTED AS YOU WERE, WE WERE MORE DISAPPOINTED.
- 25 AND IT'S A GREAT REGULAR SEASON WE HAD. I THANK YOU FOR THIS



- 1 PLAQUE AND THIS CEREMONY. BUT WE ARE GOING TO DO BETTER IN THE
- 2 PLAYOFFS, SUPERVISOR, I PROMISE YOU THAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 3 GOD BLESS YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MARK? I UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE A
- 6 VERY SPECIAL PRESENTATION FOR US, AS WELL, TOO, HUH?

- 8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 9 MEMBERS. I'M PLEASED TO ASK THAT WE BE JOINED BY THE LOS
- 10 ANGELES COUNTY ARTS COMMISSION DIRECTOR. YOU KNOW HER AS LAURA
- 11 ZUCKER, AND THOSE REPRESENTING THE UNITED CHILDREN'S CHORUS.
- 12 THE DIRECTORS ARE WILLIE NORWOOD, SR. AND RAVALINE HAROLD. AND
- 13 MEMBERS OF THAT CHORUS YOU WILL HEAR FROM SOON. I'D LIKE FOR
- 14 YOU TO KNOW THAT THE U.C.C. WAS FORMED IN THE SUMMER OF 1993
- 15 DURING WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE CRUSADE FOR CHRIST CAMPAIGN HELD
- 16 HERE IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. YOUNG MEN AND WOMEN FROM
- 17 VARIOUS CHURCHES OF CHRIST THROUGHOUT THE LOS ANGELES AND
- 18 SURROUNDING COUNTIES CAME TOGETHER TO PERFORM WHAT IS KNOWN AS
- 19 THIS TREMENDOUS EVENT. AND WITH SUCH RAVE REVIEWS FOLLOWING
- 20 THAT EVENT, IT WAS REQUESTED THAT THE GROUP REMAIN TOGETHER.
- 21 YOU WILL WANT TO KNOW THAT THIS GROUP, RANGING IN AGES 3 TO
- 22 THOSE IN THEIR LATE 20S, ENLIGHTEN AUDIENCES WITH THEIR
- 23 EXTRAORDINARY GIFTS IN ENTERTAINING US IN THAT WHICH WE KNOW
- 24 AS A CAPELLO SINGING. THE CHORUS DIRECTOR, WILLIE NORWOOD SR.,
- 25 HAS BEEN DIRECTING THE U.C.C. FOR THE LAST 14 YEARS. HE IS THE



- 1 MINISTER OF MUSIC AT THE WOODLAND HILLS CHURCH OF CHRIST AND
- 2 THE FATHER OF SINGER ACTRESS BRANDY NORWOOD AND SINGER ACTOR
- 3 WILLIE "RAY JAY" NORWOOD, WHO ALSO SANG IN THE CHORUS. HE'S
- 4 VOCALLY TALENTED, AS YOU WILL SOON SEE. AND THOSE WHO ARE PART
- 5 OF HIS FAMILY ARE SIX-YEAR-OLD GRANDDAUGHTER HAS ALSO JOINED
- 6 THE CHORUS. AND SO WE ARE TREMENDOUSLY HONORED TO HAVE THEM
- 7 HERE WITH US TODAY, MR. CHAIRMAN AND COLLEAGUES. YOU'LL WANT
- 8 TO NOTE THE FIGUEROA CHURCH OF CHRIST HAS THAT WHICH WE KNOW
- 9 AS THE LITTLE ANGELS' CHORUS, ONE OF THE FIRST TO BE INVITED
- 10 HERE TO SING IN THE LATE '60S. AND THEN ON FROM THERE TO
- 11 ACCOMPLISH MANY, MANY GREAT THINGS. AND SO LET ME JUST SAY
- 12 THAT WE ARE INDEED PLEASED TO HAVE THEM WITH US THIS MORNING.
- 13 YOU WILL WANT TO KNOW THAT THEY WILL BE FEATURED ON THE
- 14 HOLIDAY CELEBRATION NATIONAL SHOW ON DECEMBER 17TH AND
- 15 DECEMBER THE 24TH AT 10 P.M. ON P.B.S. NATIONWIDE. MAKE SURE
- 16 THAT YOU DON'T MISS IT. IN ADDITION, THEY ARE PERFORMING AT
- 17 THE 49TH ANNUAL HOLIDAY CELEBRATION AT THE DOROTHY CHANDLER
- 18 PAVILION ON DECEMBER THE 24TH. AND SO MAY I TAKE THIS
- 19 OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT THIS PRESENTATION TO YOU AND THANK YOU
- 20 FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS. AND WE WILL BE DELIGHTED TO HEAR FROM
- 21 YOU IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING. SO PLEASE JOIN ME IN WELCOMING AND
- 22 CONGRATULATING UNITED CHILDREN'S CHORUS. [APPLAUSE.] WE'LL
- 23 HEAR FROM RAVALINE AND THEN WE'LL HEAR FROM THE CHORUS.



- 1 RAVALINE HAROLD: TO THE HONORABLE MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS, OUR
- 2 SUPERVISOR OF THE SECOND DISTRICT IN WHICH WE PROUDLY
- 3 REPRESENT AND TO THE OTHER SUPERVISORS WHO ALSO SERVE THIS
- 4 GREAT CITY OF LOS ANGELES. TO LAURA ZUCKER AND ADAM DAVIS AND
- 5 HEATHER RIGBY OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY ARTS COMMISSION FOR
- 6 YOUR RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED CHILDREN'S CHORUS FOR THIS
- 7 GREAT HONOR. MR. NORWOOD AND I AND THE UNITED CHILDREN'S
- 8 CHORUS AND THEIR PARENTS FEEL VERY PRIVILEGED TODAY TO ACCEPT
- 9 THIS ROLE, HONORING OUR ACHIEVEMENTS FOR THE LAST 13 YEARS. WE
- 10 ARE ALSO VERY HAPPY ONCE AGAIN TO PERFORM THIS YEAR IN THE
- 11 49TH ANNUAL HOLIDAY CELEBRATION, WHICH FOR ME HAS BEEN
- 12 SIGNIFICANT, DATING BACK TO THE EARLY '60S WHEN I PERFORMED
- 13 WHEN I WAS 12 YEARS OLD WITH SUPERVISOR KENNETH HAHN. SO 49
- 14 YEARS LATER NOW, I'M STILL AS EXCITED TO BE WORKING WITH THIS
- 15 MAGNIFICENT GROUP OF YOUNG PEOPLE, AGES 4 THROUGH 20 AS WAS
- 16 MENTIONED. WE WILL BE FEATURED ON THE ONE-HOUR CELEBRATION
- 17 TOMORROW NIGHT. FOR THE FIRST YEAR THEY ARE DOING HIGHLIGHTS
- 18 OF THE VERSION OF THE 2007 SHOW. AND IT WILL AIR FOR THE FIRST
- 19 TIME TOMORROW NIGHT, DECEMBER 17TH, AT 10 P.M. ON K.C.E.T. ON
- 20 STATIONS ACROSS THE COUNTRY AND AGAIN ON CHRISTMAS EVE. WE
- 21 ALSO INVITE YOU DOWN, AS SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS SAID, IT'S
- 22 FREE. IT'S FREE PARKING. SO INVITE YOUR FRIENDS. AND WE HOPE
- 23 TO SEE YOU ALL THERE. AGAIN, MR. NORWOOD, U.C.C., THE PARENTS
- 24 AND I, THANK YOU FOR THIS WONDERFUL HONOR. AND WE HOPE TO
- 25 CONTINUE WORKING AND SERVING IN OUR COMMUNITY FOR MANY YEARS



- 1 TO COME. THANK YOU AGAIN. [APPLAUSE.] I BELIEVE WE ARE NOW
- 2 GOING TO PERFORM. AND WE WILL BE PERFORMING THE SONG WE'LL BE
- 3 SINGING ON THE NATIONAL SHOW TOMORROW NIGHT, "JESUS, OH WHAT A
- 4 WONDERFUL CHILD."

- 6 U.C.C. CHOIR: (SINGING) JESUS, OH, WHAT A WONDERFUL CHILD.
- 7 JESUS, JESUS, SO LOWLY, MEEK AND MILD NEW LIFE, NEW HOPE, NEW
- 8 JOY HE BRINGS, WON'T YOU LISTEN TO THE ANGELS SING, GLORY,
- 9 GLORY, GLORY TO THE NEWBORN KING. JESUS, JESUS, JESUS, OH WHAT
- 10 A WONDERFUL CHILD. JESUS, JESUS, JESUS, SO LOWLY, MEEK AND
- 11 MILD. NEW LIFE, NEW HOPE, NEW JOY HE BRINGS, WON'T YOU LISTEN
- 12 TO THE ANGELS SING? GLORY, GLORY, GLORY TO THE NEWBORN KING.
- 13 HE WAS HERALDED BY THE ANGELS, BORN IN A LOWLY MANGER. THE
- 14 VIRGIN MARY WAS HIS MOTHER, AND JOSEPH WAS HIS EARTHLY FATHER.
- 15 THREE WISE MEN CAME FROM AFAR, THEY WERE GUIDED BY A SHINING
- 16 STAR TO SEE KING JESUS WHERE HE LAY IN A MANGER FILLED WITH
- 17 HAY. JESUS, JESUS, OH WHAT A WONDERFUL CHILD. JESUS,
- 18 JESUS, JESUS, SO LOWLY, MEEK AND MILD NEW LIFE, NEW HOPE, NEW
- 19 JOY HE BRINGS, WON'T YOU LISTEN TO THE ANGELS SING? GLORY,
- 20 GLORY, GLORY TO THE NEWBORN KING. HE WAS HERALDED BY THE
- 21 ANGELS. HE WAS HE WAS BORN IN A LOWLY MANGER. THE VIRGIN MARY
- 22 WAS HIS MOTHER, AND YOU KNOW JOSEPH WAS HIS EARTHLY FATHER.
- 23 THREE WISE MEN TRAVELING FROM AFAR, THEY WERE GUIDED BY A
- 24 SHINING STAR. THEY WANTED TO SEE KING JESUS WHERE HE LAY IN A
- 25 MANGER, FILLED WITH HAY. JESUS, JESUS, JESUS, OH WHAT A



- 1 WONDERFUL CHILD. JESUS, JESUS, JESUS, SO LOWLY, MEEK AND MILD.
- 2 NEW LIFE, NEW HOPE, WON'T YOU LISTEN TO THE ANGELS SING?
- 3 GLORY, GLORY, GLORY TO THE NEWBORN KING. YEAH, JESUS. GLORY TO
- 4 THE NEWBORN KING. GLORY, GLORY TO THE NEWBORN HEAVENLY KING OF
- 5 PEACE. [APPLAUSE.]

6

- 7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WE THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND WE APPRECIATE
- 8 YOU AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU ON P.B.S. TOMORROW
- 9 EVENING. AND THEN ON THE 24TH. AND AGAIN WE EXTEND A WARM
- 10 INVITATION TO THOSE WHO CAN MAKE IT TO THE DOROTHY CHANDLER
- 11 PAVILION. MR. CHAIRMAN, LET'S GIVE THEM ANOTHER BIG ROUND OF
- 12 APPLAUSE. [APPLAUSE.]

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHAT A GREAT WAY TO START OUR LAST MEETING
- 15 OF THE YEAR. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. AND NOW I'M GOING TO ASK
- 16 DR. JOHN SCHUNOFF AND KATHY CHEISTER [PH?] DIRECTOR OF OUR
- 17 COUNTY'S EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES AGENCY TO JOIN ME, ALONG
- 18 WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE COUNTY'S 13 TRAUMA CENTERS. IT
- 19 IS MY PLEASURE TO JOIN WITH MY COLLEAGUES TODAY, AND THEY'RE
- 20 GOING TO RECOGNIZE THE VARIOUS TRAUMA CENTERS IN THEIR
- 21 DISTRICTS, RECOGNIZING OUR LOS ANGELES COUNTY TRAUMA SYSTEM'S
- 22 25TH ANNIVERSARY. THE HOSPITALS THAT WE HONOR TODAY PROVIDE
- 23 COUNTY RESIDENTS WITH THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF LIFE-SAVING
- 24 SURGICAL INTERVENTIONS FOR THOSE SUFFERING A MAJOR LOSS OR
- 25 SHOCK RESULTING FROM VEHICULAR CRASHES, GUNSHOT OR KNIFE



- 1 WOUNDS, FALLS OR OTHER VIOLENT ACCIDENTS. TRAUMA IS THE
- 2 LEADING CAUSE OF DEATH FOR PEOPLE BETWEEN THE AGES OF 1 AND
- 3 44. THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 19,000 PATIENTS TREATED AT LOS
- 4 ANGELES COUNTY TRAUMA CENTERS PER YEAR. THAT'S ROUGHLY 53
- 5 PATIENTS A DAY. AND IT'S SINCE 1983, NEARLY 400,000 CRITICALLY
- 6 INJURED TRAUMA PATIENTS HAVE BEEN TREATED IN OUR LOCAL TRAUMA
- 7 CENTERS. BEFORE I MAKE THE PRESENTATION TO MY TWO HOSPITALS, I
- 8 SAID TO THESE FOLKS UPSTAIRS THIS MORNING, I SAID, " I GUESS I
- 9 KNOW I'VE BEEN AROUND HERE LONG ENOUGH BECAUSE I REMEMBER
- 10 YEARS AGO WHEN EVERYBODY WALKED THE HAULS OF THE EIGHTH FLOOR
- 11 TO ASK TO BE INVOLVED IN TRAUMA SERVICE." WE CREATED A LEVEL
- 12 1, AND THEN WE CREATED A LEVEL 2 AND LEVEL 3. AND I SAID
- 13 "LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THESE ARE THE SURVIVORS." SO LET'S GIVE
- 14 THEM A BIG ROUND OF APPLAUSE. [APPLAUSE.] AND I'M GOING TO ASK
- 15 LIZ RAGENOLD FROM LONG BEACH MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER TO JOIN
- 16 ME. AND WE WANT TO THANK LONG BEACH MEMORIAL FOR THEIR ONGOING
- 17 EFFORTS IN THE SERVICE OF TRAUMA CARE. THANK YOU. AND THEN DR.
- 18 JAMES MURRAY FROM THE MEDICAL CENTER, AS WELL. APPRECIATE IT.
- 19 THE CITY OF LONG BEACH IS VERY FORTUNATE. SUPERVISOR MOLINA,
- 20 YOU HAVE SOME PRESENTATIONS?

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. YOU KNOW, WE CAN'T SAY
- 23 ENOUGH ABOUT THE WONDERFUL PARTNERSHIP WE HAVE IN THE WHOLE
- 24 AREA OF TRAUMA. AS SUPERVISOR KNABE SAID, YEARS AGO, EVERYBODY
- 25 WAS RUSHING TO THE FRONT TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET PART



- 1 OF THE ACTION. BUT VERY, FRANKLY, AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 2 EVERYBODY KNOWS IT IS THE HARDEST SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL THERE
- 3 IS, AND YET THE MOST SIGNIFICANT. EACH OF US HOPE WE WILL
- 4 NEVER HAVE TO END UP IN A TRAUMA WARD, BUT THE REALITY IS WE
- 5 ALL WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S ONE THERE AVAILABLE FOR US
- 6 SHOULD WE EVER NEED IT. SO WE'RE ALWAYS IMPRESSED WITH THE
- 7 OUALITY OF THE WORK AND THE DELIVERY OF PATIENT CARE IN OUR
- 8 TRAUMA FACILITIES. I WANT TO BEGIN BY HONORING, OF COURSE, ONE
- 9 OF OUR VERY OWN, L.A. COUNTY U.S.C., ONE OF OUR SUPERSTARS.
- 10 I'VE ALWAYS BEEN IMPRESSED WITH L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. IT IS THE
- 11 HOSPITAL THAT SEES MORE TRAUMA PATIENTS. IT TREATS OVER 22
- 12 PERCENT OF ALL TRAUMA PATIENTS IN THE COUNTY, ABOUT 4,000
- 13 PEOPLE A YEAR. OUR NEW FACILITY TODAY HAS A HELICOPTER PAD ON
- 14 THE ROOF, WHICH IS GOING TO BE RESULTING IN MUCH MORE CARE AND
- 15 OF COURSE MUCH MORE RAPID RESPONSE TO OUR PATIENTS. SO I AM
- 16 VERY HONORED TODAY TO MAKE A PRESENTATION TO ADMINISTRATOR
- 17 PETE DELGADO AND ALSO SHIRLEY NEMOTO WHO IS JOINING US AND WE
- 18 WANT TO CONGRATULATE THEM BECAUSE THEY DO SUCH AN OUTSTANDING
- 19 JOB. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] WELL, WE ALSO HAVE ANOTHER
- 20 SUPERSTAR IN THE FIRST DISTRICT WHICH I'M VERY PROUD OF,
- 21 THAT'S CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL. I'M GOING TO ASK DIANA TORRES AND
- 22 GLADYS GUERRERO TO COME UP AND JOIN US. CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL IS
- 23 THE THIRD BUSIEST TRAUMA HOSPITAL IN THE COUNTY, SEEING 11
- 24 PERCENT OF THE COUNTY'S TRAUMA PATIENTS. OVER 2,000 TRAUMA
- 25 PATIENTS EVERY YEAR. WE REALLY APPRECIATE THEIR PARTNERSHIP



- 1 WITH US. THEY HAVE BEEN STICKING WITH IT FOR THE LONGEST TIME.
- 2 AND THEY WERE A VERY, VERY VITAL PARTNER TO OUR HEALTHCARE AND
- 3 SAFETY NET THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY. SO I WANT TO CONGRATULATE
- 4 CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER. CONGRATULATIONS.
- 5 [APPLAUSE.]

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

- 9 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 10 COLLEAGUES. I'M VERY PLEASED TO BE ABLE TO MAKE THIS
- 11 PRESENTATION TO TWO OF THE STELLAR TRAUMA CENTERS IN THE
- 12 COUNTY'S NETWORK. YOU SHOULD KNOW THAT I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY
- 13 TO RELATE TO THESE INSTITUTIONS IN MY CAPACITY OF CHAIR OF
- 14 SELECT COMMITTEE ON THE HEALTHCARE CRISIS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 15 ANGELES, BOTH IN THE ASSEMBLY AND THE SENATE, AND DELIGHTED TO
- 16 BE ABLE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THEM IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS CEREMONY.
- 17 IT SHOULD BE KNOWN THAT THESE HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS ARE AMONG
- 18 THE TOP TIER IN MANY RESPECTS FOR THE SPECIALISTS THAT ARE ON
- 19 THEIR RESPECTIVE STAFFS. AND WE TAKE NOTE OF THE FACT THAT
- 20 THEY CONTINUE TO WORK HARD EACH AND EVERY DAY. AND SO MAY I
- 21 ASK BOTH THE PROGRAM MANAGER, LAURA SCHNEIDER, AND THE
- 22 DIRECTOR, DR. BRANT PUTNAM FROM HARBOR U.C.L.A. MEDICAL CENTER
- 23 TO COME FORWARD SO THAT WE CAN MAKE THIS PRESENTATION TO THEM.
- 24 WITH GREAT APPRECIATION AND ADMIRATION FOR THE WORK YOU HAVE
- 25 DONE, THE WORK YOU ARE DOING AND THAT WHICH YOU WILL CONTINUE



- 1 TO DO ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, WE PRESENT
- 2 THIS TO YOU WITH GREAT APPRECIATION. [APPLAUSE.] AND MAY I ASK
- 3 THEN THE PROGRAM MANAGER AND DIRECTOR RENEE GRAY AND DR.
- 4 TAKACHI SHEPHERD FROM THE ST. FRANCIS MEDICAL CENTER TO COME
- 5 FORWARD SO THAT WE CAN PRESENT THEM WITH THEIR RESOLUTION AND
- 6 COMMENDATION FOR THE WORK THAT THEY HAVE DONE IN THE COUNTY OF
- 7 LOS ANGELES, PARTICULARLY THE SOUTHEAST PORTION OF THE COUNTY
- 8 OF LOS ANGELES. AND THEY CONTINUE TO DISTINGUISH THEMSELVES
- 9 EVEN UNDER VERY CHALLENGING CIRCUMSTANCES BY BEING MOST
- 10 SEVERELY IMPACTED IN RECENT YEARS. BUT THEY HAVE CONTINUED TO
- 11 DISTINGUISH THE WORK THAT THEY DO THROUGHOUT THE HOSPITAL BUT
- 12 MOST SPECIFICALLY IN TERMS OF THE TRAUMA CENTER ITSELF. AND
- 13 FOR THAT, WE WISH FOR YOU TO KNOW THAT THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 14 ANGELES IN ITS ENTIRETY, PARTICULARLY THOSE WHO ARE THE
- 15 PATIENTS THAT HAVE TO VISIT THE ST. FRANCIS MEDICAL CENTER,
- 16 ARE APPRECIATIVE OF THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE, WHICH YOU ARE
- 17 DOING AND WE PARTNER WITH YOU IN WORK THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE IN
- 18 THE FUTURE. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU, MR.
- 19 CHAIRMAN.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. YAROSLAVSKY?

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE SEVERAL, MR. CHAIRMAN. FIRST OF ALL,
- 24 THE THIRD DISTRICT, PROBABLY LARGELY BECAUSE IT'S THE
- 25 CENTRALLY LOCATED DISTRICT IN THE COUNTY AND STRADDLES THE



- 1 HOLLYWOOD HILLS, SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS. WE HAVE FIVE TRAUMA
- 2 CENTERS, ALL OF WHICH WE ARE VERY PROUD OF. FIRST LET ME
- 3 INTRODUCE AND PRESENT THIS PROCLAMATION TO CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL
- 4 OF LOS ANGELES. CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL IS NOT ONLY A TRAUMA
- 5 CENTER BUT A PEDIATRIC TRAUMA CENTER. I THINK IT'S THE MOST
- 6 NORTHERLY PEDIATRIC CENTER IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
- 7 SOMETHING WE'RE TRYING TO RECTIFY IN THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY,
- 8 HOPEFULLY WITH THE HELP OF CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL AT SOME POINT.
- 9 BUT THEY DO AN OUTSTANDING JOB. THEY'RE NATIONALLY AND
- 10 INTERNATIONALLY KNOWN. SO LET ME PRESENT THIS PROCLAMATION TO
- 11 CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL. MICHAEL JORDAN IS REPRESENTING THE
- 12 HOSPITAL. [APPLAUSE.] CHILDREN'S IS ABOUT TWO BLOCKS OUTSIDE
- 13 OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S DISTRICT, SO WE SHARE YOUR SPHERE OF
- 14 INFLUENCE. AND LET ME JUST ADD A WORD BEFORE I MAKE THE REST
- 15 OF THE PRESENTATIONS, ONE THING WE SHOULD DO IS THANK THE
- 16 PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, WHO IN 2002 VOTED FOR A
- 17 PROPOSITION, MEASURE B, WHICH PROVIDED MUCH NEEDED FUNDING,
- 18 WHICH HELPED THE TRAUMA CENTERS SURVIVE. AT THE TIME WE HAD 13
- 19 TRAUMA CENTERS IN THIS COUNTY. AND SEVERAL WERE THREATENING TO
- 20 CLOSE. NOT ONLY WERE WE ABLE TO STABILIZE THEM, BUT THE
- 21 CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL, WHICH OPENED AS A RESULT OF THE PROBLEMS
- 22 WE WERE HAVING AT KING, WHICH WE HOPE ARE TEMPORARY, BUT WE
- 23 WERE ABLE TO OPEN THE TRAUMA CENTER AT CALIFORNIA IN PART
- 24 BECAUSE OF THE FUNDS PROVIDED BY MEASURE B. AND I THINK YOU
- 25 ALL KNOW HOW IMPORTANT THAT WAS. SO THE PEOPLE OF LOS ANGELES



- 1 COUNTY, WHO OVERWHELMINGLY SUPPORTED THIS, DESERVE THANKS. AND
- 2 WE MADE GOOD ON THEIR PROMISE TO THEM AND THEIR EXPECTATIONS.
- 3 SO WE'RE THRILLED ABOUT THAT. NEXT LET ME PRESENT THIS
- 4 PROCLAMATION TO-- WE MAY NOT HAVE A WINNING FOOTBALL TEAM THIS
- 5 YEAR, BUT WE DO HAVE A GREAT MEDICAL CENTER AND THAT'S THE
- 6 U.C.L.A. MEDICAL CENTER. LET ME ASK, IS MARILYN COHEN HERE?
- 7 MARILYN, COME ON UP. NEXT IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO PRESENT THIS
- 8 PROCLAMATION TO CEDAR SINAI MEDICAL CENTER. IS DAN MARBILLIS
- 9 HERE? NEXT, ONE OF THE TWO TRAUMA CENTERS IN THE SAN FERNANDO
- 10 VALLEY, NORTHRIDGE HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER, AND REPRESENTING
- 11 THEM TODAY IS MELANIE CROWLEY. MELANIE? LAST BUT CERTAINLY NOT
- 12 LEAST, IS PROVIDENCE HOLY CROSS MEDICAL CENTER, WHICH HAS BEEN
- 13 SUCH A GREAT PARTNER TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND
- 14 REPRESENTING HOLY CROSS IS JUDY STERLING. JUDY? WE HOPE THAT
- 15 THE BUILDING IS UNDER CONSTRUCTION WILL SEE ITS CONSTRUCTION
- 16 TO FRUITION SOON ENOUGH. AND WE CAN EXPAND THAT TRAUMA CENTER
- 17 OUT THERE. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, THE TWO HOSPITALS IN OUR AREA THAT
- 22 WE'RE VERY PROUD OF THAT HAVE DONE A SUPERB JOB IS SAN GABRIEL
- 23 VALLEY IS HUNTINGTON MEMORIAL'S TRAUMA CENTER. AND IN THE
- 24 SANTA CLARITA VALLEY IS THE HENRY MAYO NEWHALL MEMORIAL
- 25 HOSPITAL. SO FOR HUNTINGTON? THEY ALSO HAVE A VERY GOOD



- 1 EMERGENCY ROOM WHERE MY LITTLE BOY WENT YESTERDAY FOR A KNEE
- 2 INJURY. GREAT SERVICE THERE. AND HENRY MAYO?

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE'RE GOING TO-- THANK YOU, MIKE.
- 5 WE'LL DO A GROUP PHOTO WITH ALL FIVE SUPERVISORS. GET
- 6 EVERYBODY IN HERE NICE AND CLOSE. WHILE WE'RE IN A MOMENT OF
- 7 CELEBRATION 25 YEARS, I DON'T THINK ANY OF US WANT TO
- 8 UNDERESTIMATE THE IMPORTANCE OF WHAT THESE HOSPITALS PROVIDE
- 9 TO OUR 10 MILLION PLUS RESIDENTS OR VISITORS, WHOEVER MAY BE
- 10 HERE. SO, AGAIN, LET'S JUST GIVE THEM A BIG ROUND OF APPLAUSE
- 11 FOR BEING THERE WHEN WE NEED THEM. [APPLAUSE.] KATHY AND DR.
- 12 SCHUNHOFF, IF YOU'D LIKE TO SAY A COUPLE WORDS HERE?

13

- 14 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. THE FACT THAT THE
- 15 TRAUMA CENTERS ARE THE BACKBONE OF THE EMERGENCY MEDICAL
- 16 SYSTEM WAS EXEMPLIFIED IN THE TRAGIC INCIDENT WITH THE
- 17 METROLINK AND REALLY SHOWS THE VALUE OF THESE TRAUMA CENTERS
- 18 FOR THE ENTIRE COUNTY. WE CERTAINLY HONOR THEM TODAY.

- 20 KATHY CHEISTER: I JUST WANTED TO THANK THE TRAUMA CENTERS FOR
- 21 THE HARD WORK THEY DO ON A DAILY BASIS, OVER 25 YEARS OF
- 22 TAKING CARE OF OVER 400,000 PATIENTS. WE'RE VERY, VERY PROUD
- 23 OF THEM AND ALSO TO THANK THE BOARD FOR THEIR ONGOING SUPPORT
- 24 OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES AND THE TRAUMA SERVICES. THANK
- 25 YOU.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH.
- 3 [APPLAUSE.] HAVE A NICE HOLIDAY. BE HEALTHY, AND I HOPE I
- 4 DON'T NEED TO SEE YOU.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: DITTO, RIGHT?

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU FOR ALL YOU DO. SUPERVISOR
- 9 ANTONOVICH?

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NOW WE'D LIKE TO BRING UP THE LOS ANGELES
- 12 KINGS HOCKEY CLUB. WE HAVE WITH US DANELLE PRADO, JAMES
- 13 KLEFLEY, JENNIFER WEINSTEIN LUC ROBITAILLE, LOS ANGELES KINGS
- 14 HOCKEY CLUB, AND TRISH PLOEHN, OF THE DIRECTOR OF OUR
- 15 DEPARTMENT OF THE CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. WE ARE GOING
- 16 TO RECOGNIZE THEIR HARD WORK, LEADERSHIP AND DEDICATION TO
- 17 PARTNERING WITH OUR DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
- 18 TO PROMOTE POSITIVE OUTCOMES FOR OUR CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE
- 19 AND TO HELP FIND PERMANENT AND LOVING FAMILIES THROUGH THE
- 20 SUPPORT OF THE FREDDIE MACK FOUNDATION'S WEDNESDAY CHILD
- 21 PROGRAM AND FOX 11 NEWS, THE HEART GALLERY LOS ANGELES, AND
- 22 THE DEPARTMENT'S ADOPTION MATCHING EVENTS AND AWARENESS
- 23 CAMPAIGNS. WITH OVER 8,000 CHILDREN WHO ARE IN FOSTER HOMES OR
- 24 GROUP HOMES IN OUR COUNTY, WHO FOR ONE REASON OR ANOTHER HAVE
- 25 NO PARENTS OR NO HOMES, NEARLY 600 ARE AWAITING TO BE ADOPTED.



- 1 THESE YOUNG PEOPLE ARE WAITING FOR FAMILIES TO GIVE THEM THE
- 2 LOVE AND SUPPORT THAT THEY NEED. MOST ARE THE BETWEEN THE AGES
- 3 OF 5 AND 15. NOW, THOSE FAMILIES WHO ADOPT SHOW THE GENEROUS
- 4 SPIRIT OF OUR NATION, THAT EVERY CHILD DESIRES A PERMANENT
- 5 HOME. AND WHEN PARENTS ADOPT A CHILD TO LOVE AS THEIR OWN,
- 6 LIVES ARE FOREVER CHANGED. FOR PARENTS, THE DECISION TO ADOPT
- 7 IS AMONG LIFE'S GREATEST AND HAPPIEST TURNING POINTS. TO STOP
- 8 THE PROLIFERATION OF GANGS AND VIOLENCE, CHILDREN NEED TO GROW
- 9 UP IN STABLE, LOVING HOMES. KNOWING THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
- 10 RIGHT AND WRONG WILL ENABLE THEM TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO SAY NO
- 11 TO THE DESTRUCTIVE LIFESTYLES. OUR CHILDREN ARE OUR FUTURE,
- 12 AND THEY ARE ALSO OUR FUTURE CRIMINALS. AND WE CAN MAKE A
- 13 DIFFERENCE. WE CAN ENCOURAGE THEM TO BECOME FAMILIES,
- 14 RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS AND TO ALSO SAY NO TO CRIME AND NO TO
- 15 DRUGS. AND FOR INFORMATION ON OUR FOSTER CHILDREN'S PROGRAM
- AND ADOPTIONS, YOU CAN CALL THE COUNTY HOT LINE AT 888-811-
- 17 1121. SO AT THIS TIME, LET ME MAKE THIS PRESENTATION FIRST TO
- 18 THE LOS ANGELES KINGS HOCKEY CLUB.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. [APPLAUSE.] LUC ROBITAILLE?
- 21 DANELLE PRADO? AND JAMES? JENNIFER?
- 23 LUC ROBITAILLE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. FOR
- 24 MANY YEARS, THE L.A. KINGS HAS BEEN A PROUD SPONSOR OF THE
- 25 FREDDIE MACK FOUNDATION'S WEDNESDAY'S CHILD PROGRAM. AND WE



- 1 SUPPORT THE ENDEAVORS OF THE L.A. COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
- 2 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES IN THE COLLECTIVE QUEST TO FIND
- 3 PERMANENT AND LOVING HOMES IN HUNDREDS OF THE L.A. COUNTY'S
- 4 FOSTER CHILDREN. SPECIAL THANKS TO SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND
- 5 THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND WELCOMING TO NEW SUPERVISOR
- 6 MIKE RIDLEY- THOMAS AND TRISH PLOEHN AND D.C.F, AND OF COURSE,
- 7 CHRISTINE DEVINE, AND OF COURSE, THE FOX WEDNESDAY'S CHILD
- 8 TEAM. THANK YOU, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE TREMENDOUS HONOR
- 9 AND RECOGNITION AND THANK YOU FOR LETTING US BE PART OF YOUR
- 10 TEAM. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

11

- 12 TRISH PLOEHN: AND ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT AND THE MANY
- 13 CHILDREN THAT HAVE BEEN IMPACTED POSITIVELY BY THE KINDNESS,
- 14 GENEROSITY OF THE L.A. KINGS, WE THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU ARE
- 15 A BLESSING TO US AND YOU ARE A BLESSING TO THOSE CHILDREN.
- 16 [APPLAUSE.]

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE HAVE A TERRIER MIX WHO LIKES BASEBALL AND
- 19 HOCKEY. SO MAYBE THIS COULD BE A NICE LITTLE MASCOT FOR EITHER
- 20 THE ANGELS OR THE L.A. KINGS. THIS IS LITTLE NOEL. SHE'S SEVEN
- 21 MONTHS OLD LOOKING FOR A HOME. AND SHE DOESN'T BITE. THIS IS A
- 22 NON-BITER. WHAT DO YOU THINK? YOU NEED A GIRLFRIEND THAT HAS
- 23 THIS TYPE OF A DOG, OKAY? ANYWAY, THIS LITTLE-- HI, HOW ARE
- 24 YOU? YEAH. I WAS TRYING TO GET YOU A HOME OUT THERE. YEAH,
- 25 WE'RE TRYING TO GET THAT HOME. ANYWAY, YOU CAN CALL 562-728-



- 1 4644. AND LITTLE NOEL CAN BE YOURS FOR THE HOLIDAYS. AND SHE
- 2 ALSO LOOKS LIKE SHE'S REALLY TO GO TO HOLLYWOOD TO BE IN A
- 3 DISNEY MOVIE. WE HAD DISNEY-TYPE DOGS THE LAST FOUR WEEKS. AND
- 4 SHE PROMISES NOT TO GO ON STRIKE. HOW ABOUT YOU, ZEV? SHE
- 5 LIKES WEST LOS ANGELES. OKAY, BARBARA, WHERE IS BARBARA?

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL GO BACK TO THE AGENDA
- 8 NOW. AND, AGAIN, THANK YOU TO EVERYONE. THANK YOU TO L.A.
- 9 KINGS FOR THEIR SUPPORT OF OUR CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
- 10 PROGRAMS. SUPERVISOR MOLINA, FIRST DISTRICT IS UP FIRST FOR
- 11 ADJOURNMENTS, SPECIAL ITEMS?

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: LET'S BEGIN WITH ITEM NUMBER 69. I UNDERSTAND
- 14 THE SHERIFFS HAVE TO GET BACK TO WORK. AND THIS IS AN ITEM
- 15 THAT PROBABLY HAS A LOT OF DISCUSSION. I DON'T KNOW IF WE WANT
- 16 TO BEGIN WITH-- WHO WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION? SHERIFF WALDIE
- 17 WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION? VERY GOOD.

18

- 19 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : GOOD MORNING AND HAPPY HOLIDAYS.
- 20 THANK YOU, MISS MOLINA.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: CERTAINLY.

- 24 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : BASICALLY, WE'RE JUST ASKING THE
- 25 BOARD TO AUTHORIZE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO CHARTER A



- 1 PRIVATE AIRLINE TO TRANSPORT DEPUTY PERSONNEL TO THE 56TH
- 2 PRESIDENTIAL INAUGURATION IN WASHINGTON D.C. THAT'S THE AGENDA
- 3 ITEM. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE REQUESTING.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, YOU'RE REQUESTING THAT BUT THERE HAS
- 6 BEEN CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION LAST WEEK AND SOME OF THE ITEMS
- 7 HAVE CHANGED AND I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IF YOU WOULD
- 8 ADDRESS THOSE. ONE, THE COSTS. OBVIOUSLY TAKING DEPUTIES OFF
- 9 THE STREETS, PARTICULARLY IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS. I KNOW SOME
- 10 OF THE OTHERS HAVE QUESTIONS, BUT I THINK IT MIGHT BE
- 11 APPROPRIATE, AT LEAST WHAT HAS CHANGED FROM LAST TUESDAY,
- 12 WHERE IT WAS A MILLION DOLLARS COST, TO TODAY WHERE OBVIOUSLY
- 13 YOU HAVE A LETTER SAYING NO COSTS? SO I THINK IT WOULD BE
- 14 APPROPRIATE IF YOU LAID OUT EXACTLY WHERE YOU'RE AT TODAY.

- 16 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO COST
- 17 TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FOR THIS. THE WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 18 POLICE HAVE TOLD US WITH AN M.O.U. IN WRITTEN FORM THEY WILL
- 19 REIMBURSE US FOR ALL COSTS, THE COSTS OF THE FLIGHT WHICH WAS
- 20 \$533,000, THE COSTS FOR THE SALVAGE FOR THE DEPUTY SHERIFFS OF
- 21 \$616,000. THE FULL COST OF THE PER DIEM, AS GRANTED BY THE
- 22 FEDERAL STIPEND FOR THE PER DIEM. AND IN ADDITION THEY HAVE
- 23 ACHIEVED THE BOOKING OF A HOTEL WHERE ALL OUR PEOPLE WILL STAY
- 24 AT THE HOTEL AND THEY WILL PICK UP ALL THOSE COSTS. SO IN
- 25 REALITY, THERE IS NO COST TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ARE THEY PICKING UP THE INDIRECT COSTS?

3

1

UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WHAT INDIRECT COSTS, SUPERVISOR?

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** EMPLOYEE BENEFITS.

7

- 8 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: EMPLOYEE BENEFITS ARE BUILT INTO
- 9 THE SALARIES OF THE SHERIFFS. IF THEY WERE IN WASHINGTON D.C.,
- 10 THEY WOULD BE PAID. IF THEY WERE HERE, THEY WOULD BE PAID. IF
- 11 THEY DON'T GO, THEY'LL BE PAID. IT'S ALL THE SAME.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN MY CONVERSATION WITH SHERIFF BACA AND IN
- 14 MY OWN LOOKING INTO IT, WHEN YOU CONTRACT WITH THE ROSE PARADE
- 15 TO PROVIDE SHERIFF'S PROTECTION AND SERVICES IN THE ROSE
- 16 PARADE, YOU CHARGE THE DIRECT AND THE INDIRECT COSTS. IN THIS
- 17 CASE, I UNDERSTAND THAT THE CITY OF WASHINGTON INAUGURAL
- 18 COMMITTEE REFUSED TO PAY THE INDIRECT COSTS. SO WE ARE
- 19 CHARGING ONE PRICE TO OUR OWN CITY OF PASADENA AND WE'RE NOT
- 20 CHARGING THAT SAME THING TO THE CITY OF WASHINGTON. YOU'RE NOT
- 21 AWARE OF THAT?

- 23 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WELL I'M AWARE THAT THEY'RE NOT
- 24 PAYING THE BENEFITS SECTION THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.
- 25 WASHINGTON D.C.-- I'M NOT FAMILIAR.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PASADENA DOES. 3 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: OKAY, THEN THAT WOULD BE THE 4 5 CASE. I'M NOT AWARE WHETHER THEY DO OR NOT. THAT COST IS PAID REGARDLESS. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT COST IS ABOUT A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS AS I UNDERSTAND. 10 11 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: IN THIS CASE IT IS \$306,000 TO BE EXACT, YES. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU. 14 15 16 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : AND THE SECOND POINT REGARDING THE STAFFING LEVELS, NO UNINCORPORATED AREA CAR WILL NOT BE 17 18 FILLED. THEY WILL ALL BE FILLED ACCORDING TO -- EVERY SINGLE 19 CONTRACT WILL BE FILLED. THERE WILL BE NO POSITION THAT WILL NOT BE FILLED AS IT SHOULD BE FILLED UNDER NORMAL 20 21 CIRCUMSTANCES.

SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: STRAIGHT TIME OR OVERTIME?

24

22



- 1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : NO OVERTIME. NO OVERTIME WILL BE
- 2 USED. WHAT WE'VE DONE HERE, ORIGINALLY THEY WANTED 500 PEOPLE.
- 3 WE COULDN'T DO IT WITHOUT THE USE OF OVERTIME. SO WE CUT IT
- 4 DOWN TO WHAT WE COULD DO, BACKFILLING WITH ADMINISTRATIVE
- 5 PEOPLE, TRAINING PEOPLE AND USING THE RELIEF FACTOR, AVOIDING
- 6 SOME TRAINING ON THOSE PARTICULAR DAYS, TO BE ABLE TO ENSURE
- 7 THAT WE WOULD PUT PEOPLE IN THE FIELD IN THEIR REGULAR
- 8 POSITIONS WITHOUT THE USE OF OVERTIME.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, DO YOU HAVE ANY
- 11 QUESTIONS?

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, AGAIN, I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. I'VE
- 14 GOTTEN ALL OF THE ANSWERS I THINK THAT I WANT. WE'RE GETTING
- 15 FULL REIMBURSEMENT. THE ONLY REIMBURSEMENT THAT'S NOT THERE
- 16 ARE GOING TO BE THE BENEFITS. I THINK THE NUMBER IS AWFULLY
- 17 HIGH. I WISH IT WOULD BE A LOT LESS. I LOOKED AND I TRIED TO
- 18 UNDERSTAND WHY THE BACKFILL IS NOT GOING TO COST US TIME AND A
- 19 HALF. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS SORT OF THE NUMBER
- 20 THAT YOU USUALLY HAVE OF PEOPLE WHO ARE OUT ON VACATION OR
- 21 SICK LEAVE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND THAT FOR THE MOST PART,
- 22 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE OR PERMIT ANY SICK LEAVE-- YOU'RE NOT
- 23 GOING TO PERMIT ANY VACATIONS DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME SO
- 24 THAT YOU WILL HAVE REGULAR STANDARD BACKFILL. NOT NECESSARILY
- 25 OVERTIME BACKFILL, IS THAT CORRECT?



1

2 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES, MA'AM, THAT IS ABSOLUTELY

- 3 CORRECT. AND EVERY SINGLE DEPUTY SHERIFF ITEM, EVERY
- 4 ASSIGNMENT, THERE IS A BUILT-IN RELIEF FACTOR. AND WE DO
- 5 USUALLY TRAINING ON THOSE DAYS OR WE COVER FOR THE I.O.D.S THE
- 6 SICKS AND THE INJURIES AND VACATION TIME. SO THEREFORE, WE ARE
- 7 GOING TO LIMIT THOSE VACATION DAYS AND THOSE TRAINING DAYS TO
- 8 ENSURE THAT WE HAVE THE RELIEF FACTOR AVAILABLE TO PICK UP
- 9 WITHOUT PAY OR OVERTIME.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: I'VE RECEIVED A COUPLE OF LETTERS FROM
- 12 CONSTITUENTS THAT CONCERN ME. AND SOME OF THE CONCERNS THAT
- 13 THEY HAVE ARE ISSUES THAT ARE RELATED, BUT NOT RELATED. FOR
- 14 EXAMPLE, THEY RAISE THE ISSUE THAT WE ARE BEARING THE BRUNT OF
- 15 ALL OF THE COSTS, WHICH I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE NOT
- 16 HERE. THEY ARE REIMBURSING US. SECOND OF ALL, THAT WE ARE NOT
- 17 TAKING DEPUTIES OUT OF PATROL CARS. WE'RE NOT CREATING ANY
- 18 INSECURITY SITUATIONS HERE IN L.A. COUNTY WHILE TRYING TO
- 19 PROVIDE SECURITY IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

20

- 21 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: ABSOLUTELY NOT. OUR FIRST
- 22 PRIORITY OBVIOUSLY IS THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND THE
- 23 CITIZENS WE SERVE, ABSOLUTELY. THEY WILL NOT BE ENDANGERED IN
- 24 ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM OR CUT BACK IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THAT IS THE ISSUE THAT MANY PEOPLE HAVE.
- 2 THEY RAISE, OF COURSE, THE WHOLE ISSUE OF THE STATE BUDGET AND
- 3 THAT SITUATION AND THE FACT THAT IF THERE'S LEFTOVER MONEY.
- 4 BUT I GUESS IN THIS SITUATION, WHILE I DON'T WANT TO SAY IT'S
- 5 MUTUAL SUPPORT BECAUSE I GUESS IT ISN'T THAT. I THINK I WANT
- 6 TO EXPRESS MY CONCERN ABOUT THE LARGE NUMBER. BUT I THINK YOU
- 7 DID NEGOTIATE IT DOWN BECAUSE THEY HAD MADE A LARGER REQUEST
- 8 ORIGINALLY?

9

- 10 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES, MA'AM. THEY ASKED FOR 500
- 11 PEOPLE AND WE JUST COULDN'T SUPPLY THAT. OTHERWISE YOU WOULD
- 12 HAVE GONE INTO AN OVERTIME FACTOR AND PAYING THAT. WE CUT IT
- 13 DOWN TO 347 PEOPLE. THEY DID WANT 500. WE JUST SAID WE COULD
- 14 NOT DO IT.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: AND THEN ONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS WROTE ME THAT
- 17 SHE FELT THAT SHE SHOULD GO ALONG IN ORDER TO TAKE PICTURES OF
- 18 THEM PARTYING OUT THERE. BUT AS I UNDERSTAND, THEY TRAVEL ON
- 19 SUNDAY. THEY TRAIN ON MONDAY. THEY WORK ON TUESDAY ALL DAY, 16
- 20 HOURS, AS I UNDERSTAND. AND THEY RETURN ON WEDNESDAY. IS THAT
- 21 CORRECT?

22

- 23 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: THAT IS CORRECT. VERY LITTLE
- 24 TIME TO PARTY.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I WOULD SAY SO. AGAIN, I THINK THAT I CERTAINLY
- 2 HAD CONCERN, AS WELL. I DIDN'T HAVE INITIALLY CONCERN WHEN
- 3 THEY SAID THERE WAS FULL REIMBURSEMENT, BUT AT THIS POINT IN
- 4 TIME IT LOOKS LIKE WHILE I THINK IT IS EXTENSIVE, IT STILL
- 5 DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A PROBLEM FROM THE STANDPOINT OF ALLOWING
- 6 THIS TO HAPPEN. BUT HOPEFULLY WE GET THE SAME COOPERATION FROM
- 7 THE SHERIFF WHEN WE HAD SOME OF THE ISSUES WITHIN OUR OWN
- 8 DISTRICTS AND OUR OWN COMMUNITIES. AND I KNOW THAT'S AN
- 9 ONGOING CONCERN FROM TIME TO TIME. BUT I DO THINK THAT THIS IS
- 10 AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. AND I THINK, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN, WE
- 11 ARE ONE OF, OR THE ONLY JURISDICTION THAT IS SENDING AS MANY,
- 12 ALTHOUGH FOR THE MOST PART THEY AREN'T SHARING WITH US HOW
- 13 MUCH OR HOW MANY ARE GOING FROM THE VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS, IS
- 14 THAT CORRECT?

15

- 16 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : CORRECT. WE DON'T KNOW WHICH
- 17 NUMBERS. OUR NUMBER OBVIOUSLY IS QUITE LARGE. YES, IT IS.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY, I HAVE NO OTHER QUESTIONS.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN A DEPUTY RETIRES, WHEN DOES HIS POST
- 22 CERTIFICATION RETIRE? THE DATE OF RETIREMENT OR THE DAY OF THE
- 23 END OF THE FISCAL YEAR?



- 1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : HE IS NO LONGER A FULL-TIME
- 2 PEACE OFFICER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AT THAT POINT THE
- 3 MINUTE HE RETIRES. AND IN THIS PARTICULAR CONFIGURATION,
- 4 THEY'RE DEMANDING FULL-TIME PEACE OFFICERS FOR THE STATE OF
- 5 CALIFORNIA.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT A FULL-TIME POLICE OFFICER IS ONE THAT
- 8 CAN COME BACK FOR 120 DAYS, CAN HE NOT?

9

- 10 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : I DON'T KNOW THE LEGAL
- 11 RAMIFICATION WHAT THAT MEANS FOR 120. I PRESUME IT WOULD BE,
- 12 YES.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN WHY COULDN'T YOU EXERCISE THOSE
- 15 RETIREES BY HAVING THEM COME BACK FOR THEIR 120 DAYS, WHICH
- 16 THEY'RE ELIGIBLE TO DO TO STILL BE ENTITLED TO BE FULL-TIME
- 17 EMPLOYEES, WHICH WOULD NOT SHORTCHANGE YOUR COMMUNITIES FROM
- 18 THE NECESSARY PATROLS TO ENSURE THAT WE HAVE REDUCED RESPONSE
- 19 TIMES AND ADEQUATE FORCE IN ANY TYPE OF EMERGENCY?

- 21 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: FIRST OF ALL, WE'RE NOT
- 22 SHORTCHANGING THE COMMUNITIES. THE SAME NUMBER OF CARS THAT
- 23 WERE THERE TODAY AND WHEN WE GO TO WASHINGTON, D.C. WILL BE
- 24 THERE TOMORROW. WE'RE NOT SHORTCHANGING ANYBODY. THE 120 DAYS
- 25 EMPLOYEES WOULD REQUIRE A FULL PHYSICAL AGAIN AND A BACKGROUND



- 1 AGAIN BEFORE THEY'RE HIRED AGAIN. AND THAT WOULD TAKE ENORMOUS
- 2 AMOUNT OF TIME TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT. AND HOW MANY OF THOSE WE
- 3 DON'T KNOW WOULD BE QUALIFIED BASED UPON THEIR PHYSICAL
- 4 CONDITION, AS WELL.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF IT'S NOT GOING TO SHORTCHANGE YOU THEN
- 7 YOU COULD SAY THE BOARD COULD ELIMINATE 347 POSITIONS A DAY
- 8 AND IT WOULD HAVE NO IMPACT ON RESPONSE TIME OR PATROL?

9

- 10 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: I SAID WE'D USE THE RELIEF
- 11 FACTOR WHICH IS BUILT INTO EVERY SINGLE CAR THAT WE HAVE TO
- 12 COVER THE I.O.D., THE VACATIONS, THE SICK TIME AND WHATEVER
- 13 ELSE COMES INTO PLAY TO TAKE SOMEONE OFF THE STREET. THAT
- 14 FACTOR IS BUILT IN. WE USE THAT TIME ALL THE TIME FOR TRAINING
- 15 AND FOR HANDLING OTHER ISSUES AND FOR EMERGENCIES, YES.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES. BUT IF AN EMERGENCY OCCURS, THEY ARE
- 18 FROM ONE STATION TO ANOTHER, ONE LOCATION TO ANOTHER. THEY'RE
- 19 NOT 3,000 MILES AWAY.

- 21 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WELL, WE'RE PREPARED WITH DOING
- 22 A COMPLETE OPERATIONAL PLAN WITH OUR CURRENT STAFFING THAT WE
- 23 HAVE NOW. WE HAVE 10 MOBILE TEAMS AVAILABLE AND WORKING. WE'VE
- 24 WORKED OUT WITH OUR OWN SHERIFF'S RESPONSE TEAM. WE WORKED OUT
- 25 WITH L.A.P.D. FOR THEIR RESPONSE TEAMS AND WITH THE MUTUAL



- 1 AGENCIES, THE REST OF THE INDEPENDENT AGENCIES, WE'VE ALREADY
- 2 SET THIS ALL UP IN PLACE. IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY, WE'RE
- 3 READY TO DEAL WITH IT HERE.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT WE'VE ASKED FOR THE BACKFILL STAFF TO BE
- 6 IDENTIFIED, AND WE STILL HAVE NOT RECEIVED THAT INFORMATION.

7

8 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: THE BACKFILL STAFF?

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. WE'VE BEEN TOLD THAT THE BACKFILL
- 11 WOULD BE DONE ON A REGULAR TIME BY ADMINISTRATIVE AND
- 12 DETECTIVE STAFF AND THAT THE STAFF WERE ALREADY IDENTIFIED. SO
- 13 WE'VE ASKED FOR THAT INFORMATION. WE STILL HAVEN'T RECEIVED
- 14 IT.

15

- 16 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: IF YOU ASKED FOR IT, I'LL DO MY
- 17 BEST TO GET IT TO YOU, SUPERVISOR.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE WON'T NEED IT IF IT GETS DEFEATED TODAY.

20

21 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PROBLEM I HAVE IS WE SENT, IN 2004, 67
- 24 OFFICERS THAT TYPE OF NUMBER IN ORDER TO DO IT WOULD BE
- 25 APPROPRIATE. BUT THE NUMBER THAT YOU'RE REQUESTING TODAY IS



- 1 MANY TIMES MORE. AND AGAIN WE ARE IN SOME PRECARIOUS TIMES.
- 2 AND NOBODY KNOWS WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. WE KNOW THAT WE HAVE
- 3 SOME SERIOUS PROBLEMS THAT WE'RE FACING. AND TO LEAVE THESE
- 4 MANY VACANCIES AND HAVE THOSE 340 OFFICERS, WHAT 3,000 MILES
- 5 AWAY TO COME BACK AND RESPOND IS I THINK A PRICE THAT WE CAN'T
- 6 AFFORD TO PAY. ESPECIALLY WHEN THE LOS ANGELES POLICE
- 7 DEPARTMENT EVEN HASN'T BEEN INVOLVED WITH THIS. THEY'RE
- 8 KEEPING THEIR MEN AND WOMEN AT HOME AND ON THE STREETS.

9

- 10 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: I SPOKE TO THE ASSISTANT CHIEF
- 11 AND THEY HAD VERY SIGNIFICANT LOGISTICAL PROBLEMS AND THAT WAS
- 12 THEIR ANSWER FOR NOT RESPONDING TO THIS. REGARDING WHY SO MANY
- 13 PEOPLE, AT THE LAST SECOND, CHICAGO P.D. BACKED OUT BECAUSE OF
- 14 THE POTENTIAL ELEMENTS IN THAT CITY IF SOMETHING WERE TO
- 15 HAPPEN. AND SO THEY CHOSE NOT TO SEND WHAT THEY WERE SUPPOSED
- 16 TO SEND. AS ALSO WASHINGTON, D.C. WAS CONCERNED. AND ON THIS
- 17 INAUGURATION, THEY'RE EXPECTING 4 TO 5 MILLION PEOPLE THERE,
- 18 WHICH IS RATHER MORE SIGNIFICANT THAN WAS THERE IN 2004. AND
- 19 THAT'S THE REASON FOR THE NUMBERS, BECAUSE OF AGENCIES RIGHT
- 20 NEARBY DROPPING OUT AND THE LARGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THERE.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE LOGISTICS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 23 VERSUS WASHINGTON, D.C. IS SUCH A LONG DISTANCE TO LEAVE VOID.
- 24 WHAT THEY OUGHT TO HAVE IS NEW YORK OR OTHER EASTERN CITIES



- 1 PARTICIPATING AND COUNTIES WITH THEIR LAW ENFORCEMENT INSTEAD
- 2 OF COMING ALL THE WAY TO THE WEST COAST.

3

- 4 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: THEY ARE. THEY SENT OUT THE
- 5 INVITATIONS AND THEY ARE PARTICIPATING. WE HAVE THE LIST OF
- 6 EVERY SINGLE AGENCY THAT IS PARTICIPATING.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS
- 9 CONCERNS AND WANT TO KEEP THEIR MEN AND WOMEN AT HOME. THAT'S
- 10 ONLY A FEW FEET AWAY FROM WHERE WE ARE. AND I JUST DON'T FEEL
- 11 WE SHOULD SHORTCHANGE OUR CITIZENS BY PARTICIPATING IN THIS AT
- 12 THIS LEVEL. I WOULD SUPPORT A SMALLER NUMBER, BUT NOT AT THE
- 13 LEVEL THAT'S BEING REQUESTED.

14

- 15 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : WELL, I'M SURE WE'RE OPEN, IF
- 16 IT'S A MATTER OF A SMALLER NUMBER, WE'D BE OPEN TO LOWERING
- 17 THAT FIGURE. IT'S WHAT THEY ASKED FOR. THEY ASKED FOR 347. THE
- 18 MOST WE COULD PROVIDE WITHOUT THE ADDITIONAL OVERTIME OR ANY
- 19 OVERTIME. AND SO THAT'S THE NUMBER WE GAVE THEM. BUT WHAT THE
- 20 BOARD WISHES, WE'LL LOOK INTO THAT IF THAT'S YOUR DESIRE, YES.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT LAST COMMENT. ARE
- 23 YOU SAYING YOU'D BE OPEN TO CUTTING THE 347, IS THAT THE
- 24 NUMBER?



- 1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES. OBVIOUSLY IF THE BOARD SAYS
- 2 DON'T GO, WE WOULD LIKE TO GO AND HELP. AND IF IT IS
- 3 NECESSARY, BECAUSE YOU CHOSE NOT TO SEND OR APPROVE 347, WE
- 4 WOULD LOOK AT THOSE NUMBERS AND DEAL WITH IT. THERE WILL BE
- 5 SOME DIFFICULTIES THERE. THE CHARTER WHICH WE RESERVED HOLDS
- 6 ABOUT 350 OR 360 PEOPLE. IF YOU CUT IT DOWN TO 200 PEOPLE, WE
- 7 MAY BE LIABLE TO PAY FOR THAT, THE WHOLE THING, THE \$533,000.
- 8 I DON'T KNOW THAT. BUT WE'D HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT. BUT WE'D BE
- 9 OPEN. WE'RE NOT RIGID HERE. WE WANT TO HELP OUT. WE FEEL IT'S
- 10 AN HONOR TO DO THIS AND A PRIVILEGE. BUT WE'D BE OPEN TO IT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WANT TO JUST UNDERSTAND THIS. I DON'T
- 13 WANT TO GLOSS OVER THIS ASSUMPTION THAT'S BEING MADE THAT IT
- 14 WILL COST NOTHING IN THE BACKFILL. YOU SAY THAT YOU'RE GOING
- 15 TO USE THE SAME RESOURCES YOU WOULD USE WHEN SOMEBODY'S ON
- 16 VACATION OR SOMEBODY IS SICK. ARE YOU MAKING THE ASSUMPTION
- 17 FOR THIS EXERCISE THAT NO ONE WILL BE SICK?

18

19 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: NO.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS THE ASSUMPTION YOU'RE MAKING?

22

23 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WE HAVE 9,500--



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME ASK THE QUESTIONS. YOU ANSWER THE
- 2 QUESTIONS. WHAT PERCENTAGE ARE YOU ASSUMING-- WHAT PERCENTAGE
- 3 ARE YOU ASSUMING THAT WOULD NORMALLY HAVE BEEN SICK ARE NOT
- 4 GOING TO BE SICK WHEN YOU MAKE THE DETERMINATION THAT THERE'S
- 5 GOING TO BE ZERO COST?

6

- 7 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WELL, WE LOOK AT THE TOTAL
- 8 NUMBER OF PEOPLE WE HAVE AS SWORN, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY
- 9 9,500. AND WE TAKE THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT ARE AVAILABLE FOR
- 10 VACATION RELIEF IN THAT NUMBER. FOR EXAMPLE A TWO MAN CAR,
- 11 SEVEN, AROUND THE CLOCK I THINK THE RELIEF FACTOR IS 3.1, I
- 12 DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT THOSE FIGURES WERE. BUT WE HAVE IT
- 13 FOR EVERY SINGLE POSITION, WE HAVE A RELIEF FACTOR BUILT IN.
- 14 WE HAVE ENOUGH RELIEF FACTOR TO STILL TAKE CARE OF THE SICK,
- 15 GOD FORBID THERE IS A HUGE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE SICK OR INJURED,
- 16 AND STILL BE ABLE TO COVER THIS, YES.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE YOU QUANTIFIED IT?

19

20 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: I CAN DO THAT IF YOU LIKE.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THOUGHT MAYBE YOU HAD ALREADY DONE THAT.
- 23 YOU MADE THE STATEMENT THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE NO COST. LET
- 24 ME JUST WALK IT THROUGH TO THIS COUNTRY BOY FROM THE WEST



- 1 SIDE. YOU GOT A STATION IN ALTADENA THAT SERVES THE
- 2 UNINCORPORATED AREA, CORRECT?

3

4 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET'S FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT SAY THAT TWO
- 7 PEOPLE OUT OF THE PATROL IN ALTADENA ARE GOING TO BE PART OF
- 8 THIS TEAM THAT IS GOING TO WASHINGTON, OKAY? YOU'RE GOING TO
- 9 BACKFILL THOSE TWO PEOPLE IN ALTADENA, CORRECT?

10

- 11 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: IF THEY WERE ON THE STREETS,
- 12 YES.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. AND YOU'RE GOING TO DO THAT NOT WITH
- 15 OVERTIME YOU SAID BUT WITH STRAIGHT TIME, IS THAT CORRECT?

16

- 17 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: IT WOULD BE WITH EITHER THROUGH
- 18 THE VACATION RELIEF THAT'S AVAILABLE AT THE STATION OR IT
- 19 WOULD BE A TRAINING GUARD AT THE STATION, AN ADMINISTRATIVE
- 20 GUARD AT THE STATION, A DETECTIVE GUARD AT THE STATION, THAT
- 21 WOULD NOT HAVE A POST POSITION, BUT COULD FILL THAT POSITION
- 22 FOR A DAY OR TWO.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AN ADMINISTRATIVE GUY IS GOING TO GO OUT IN
- 25 THE FIELD AND PATROL.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES. 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT STRAIGHT TIME OR OVERTIME? 5 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : STRAIGHT TIME. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHAT HAPPENS IF THAT PERSON IS SICK? 9 10 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WELL, I CAN ONLY CONJECTURE IF EVERYONE WENT OFF SICK, WE'D HAVE A PROBLEM. 11 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT SAYING IF EVERYONE WENT OFF SICK. 13 YOU MAKE CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS, MR. WALDIE. YOU MAKE CERTAIN 14 ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE SICK DURING 15 16 THE COURSE OF THE YEAR, HOW MANY ARE GOING TO BE ON VACATION DURING THE YEAR, THIS IS JANUARY. THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES SICK 17 RISES IN JANUARY, AS YOU WELL KNOW. WHEN YOU MAKE THE 18 STATEMENT THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO COST ANYTHING, THAT YOU WILL 19 NOT HAVE TO USE OVERTIME TO BACKFILL BECAUSE YOU WILL JUST USE 20 21 THE SICK TIME, YOU'RE ASSUMING THAT SOMEBODY WHO WAS GOING TO 22 BE SICK IS NOT GOING TO BE SICK AND THAT'S NOT-- AND YOU OBVIOUSLY DON'T HAVE THE NUMBERS ON YOU. AND I DOUBT IF YOU 23

EVEN MADE THE ASSESSMENT. I THINK YOU SAID IT A MINUTE AGO,

YOU AGREED TO DO 347 BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THEY ASKED FOR.



1

- 2 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: NO, THEY ASKED FOR 500. I SAID
- 3 WE COULD ONLY DO 347.

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE LAST FEW WEEKS IT'S BEEN AT 347. MY
- 6 PROBLEM WITH THIS IS THAT THIS HAS BEEN KIND OF GOOFY. THIS
- 7 HAS BEEN A MOVING TARGET. I THINK EVERYBODY HERE KNOWS THAT.
- 8 IT STARTED OUT OVER 500. THAT'S WHEN I FIRST HEARD ABOUT IT.
- 9 IT WAS OVER 500 PEOPLE WERE BEING ASKED TO DO. THEN IT WAS
- 10 DOWN TO 300. 347, 350. THEN IT WAS GOING TO BE A MILLION
- 11 DOLLARS COST THEN 600,000 COST, THEN IT WAS GOING TO BE NO
- 12 COST AT ALL. THEY WERE GOING TO COVER 100 PERCENT OF THE
- 13 COSTS. THEY'RE NOT COVERING 100 PERCENT OF THE COSTS. THEY ARE
- 14 NOT COVERING THE INDIRECT COSTS. WE CHARGE OUR CONTRACT CITIES
- 15 THE INDIRECT COSTS. WE CHARGE THE PASADENA'S ROSE PARADE THE
- 16 INDIRECT COSTS. WHAT ARE THE INDIRECT COSTS? THE EMPLOYEE
- 17 BENEFITS, THE HEALTH BENEFITS, THE RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTIONS
- 18 AND THAT ELEMENT WHICH IS ABOUT, I DON'T KNOW, 25, 30 PERCENT
- 19 ON TOP OF THE SALARY. HIS ESTIMATE IS THAT IT'S \$306,000. THAT
- 20 IS THE COST TO THIS COUNTY. ASIDE FROM THE FINANCIAL COSTS, I
- 21 GUESS IT JUST HAS TO BE-- IT'S BEEN ALLUDED TO BUT IT HAS TO
- 22 BE ASKED: THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, MY SOURCES TELL
- 23 ME, AT REASONABLY HIGH LEVELS, THAT THEY WERE ASKED BY THE
- 24 INAUGURAL, BY THE CITY OF WASHINGTON TO CONTRIBUTE A
- 25 COMPLEMENT OF OFFICERS AND THEY DECLINED. I WON'T CHARACTERIZE



- 1 THE REASON THEY DECLINED. LET'S JUST SAY THEY THOUGHT THAT
- 2 KEEPING THEIR OFFICERS HERE AT HOME WAS A BETTER EXPENDITURE
- 3 OF THEIR RESOURCES THAN SENDING THEM TO WASHINGTON. THE CITY
- 4 OF NEW YORK WAS NOT EVEN ASKED TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS, BASED
- 5 ON OUR CONVERSATION WITH THE CITY OF NEW YORK. AND IF I WERE A
- 6 CITY COUNCILMAN OR THE MAYOR OF WASHINGTON, D.C., I'D BE
- 7 ASKING THAT QUESTION. WHY DID THEY ASK L.A.P.D.? I MEAN L.A.
- 8 SHERIFF AND HAVE TO PAY A HALF MILLION DOLLARS IN WASHINGTON'S
- 9 MONEY TO TRANSPORT THESE GUYS THERE IN AN AIRPLANE WHEN THEY
- 10 COULD HAVE CALLED NEW YORK POLICE DEPARTMENT IF THEY WOULD
- 11 HAVE GOTTEN 347 AND BUSSED THEM DOWN WITH SIX OR SEVEN BUSES
- 12 AND SAVED PROBABLY \$500,000 TO THE \$550,000 IN TRANSPORTATION
- 13 COSTS.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** AMTRAK.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THIS IS JUST THE STRANGEST THING. THEN IT
- 18 WAS SAID IT'S MUTUAL AID. THAT WAS THE NEXT ARGUMENT THAT WAS
- 19 USED. THIS ISN'T MUTUAL AID. THIS ISN'T A DISASTER. THIS ISN'T
- 20 KATRINA. THIS ISN'T A BRUSH FIRE. THIS IS A QUADRENNIAL EVENT
- 21 THAT EVERYONE KNEW WAS GOING TO HAPPEN, JUST LIKE WE KNOW
- 22 THERE'S GOING TO BE ANOTHER INAUGURATION IN 2012. THEY NEED TO
- 23 BE PREPARED FOR IT, AND THEY CAME TO RELY ON THE L.A.
- 24 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BECAUSE I THINK WE SENT 62 LAST YEAR. I
- 25 DON'T THINK IT CAME-- I'M SORRY, FOUR YEARS AGO. IT DIDN'T



- 1 COME TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL FOUR YEARS AGO. NOW THEY ASKED
- 2 FOR EVEN MORE. AND WE'RE GOING TO BECOME PART OF THE REGULAR
- 3 QUADRENNIAL POLICING COMPLEMENT FOR THE CITY OF WASHINGTON.
- 4 FOR THE INAUGURAL. I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE, AND I
- 5 DON'T THINK MOST PEOPLE, MOST TAXPAYERS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 6 THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE THAT WHATEVER THE REASON, AND HOWEVER
- 7 GOOD THE REASON IS AND HOWEVER GOOD, HOW IMPORTANT THE NEED
- 8 IS, THAT TO TAKE 350 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES AND
- 9 UPROOT THEM, TAKE THEM OUT FOR FOUR DAYS, MOVE THEM TO
- 10 WASHINGTON AND TAKE THEM BACK IS A DISRUPTIVE THING TO THE
- 11 ORGANIZATION. THAT'S WHY CHIEF BRATTON DIDN'T DO IT. THAT'S
- 12 WHY CHICAGO DIDN'T DO IT. THAT'S WHY MOST CITIES DIDN'T DO AND
- 13 THE CITIES THAT ARE DOING IT ARE SENDING THE MINIMUM
- 14 COMPLEMENT COMPARED TO THIS. THIS IS BY FAR THE LARGEST
- 15 COMPLEMENT THAT'S BEEN ASKED FOR, 350. BALTIMORE WHICH IS
- 16 RIGHT DOWN THE ROAD FROM THEM I BELIEVE, IF MY MEMORY SERVES
- 17 ME CORRECTLY, 70 OR 80. THAT'S 40 MILES AWAY. THAT'S LIKE
- 18 POMONA OR ONTARIO IS TO DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES. SO I JUST THINK
- 19 THIS IS A BAD IDEA. I THINK IT SENDS THE WRONG MESSAGE. IT IS
- 20 THE WRONG MESSAGE. AND ASIDE FROM THE MONEY, IT IS SIMPLY
- 21 DISRUPTIVE. IF WE HAVE THIS KIND OF FLEXIBILITY, THEN I THINK
- 22 THAT FLEXIBILITY OUGHT TO BE EXHIBITED IN OUR COMMUNITIES IN
- 23 TERMS OF POLICING AND NOT IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I'M NOT GOING TO
- 24 SUPPORT THIS AT ANY NUMBER. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

- 3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I DO THINK THAT
- 4 WE OUGHT TO ACT PRUDENTLY WITH RESPECT TO BOTH FISCAL
- 5 RESPONSIBILITIES AND OBLIGATIONS AS WELL AS THE CONCERN THAT
- 6 WE HAVE ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY GENERALLY SPEAKING. HAVING STATED
- 7 THAT, IF IN FACT WE CAN SATISFY THOSE ISSUES IN TERMS OF BEING
- 8 PRUDENT AND BEING RESPONSIBLE, I DO THINK WE OUGHT TO BE
- 9 INFORMED BY THE SIGNIFICANCE OF WHAT IS TAKING PLACE IN OUR
- 10 NATION'S CAPITAL. AND I VIEW THAT BROADLY, NOT NARROWLY. AND
- 11 TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT IS THE CASE, I BELIEVE THAT THIS IS
- 12 SOMETHING WORTHY OF PURSUIT. I THINK WE OUGHT TO FIGURE OUT
- 13 THE BALANCE OF THE OUTSTANDING ISSUES TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY
- 14 ARE SIGNIFICANT. AND MR. CHAIR, I WOULD BE INCLINED TO MOVE
- 15 FORWARD IN LIGHT OF THAT. AND I'M EXPECTING THAT THE
- 16 APPROPRIATE ANALYSTS, ALONG WITH THE SHERIFF, TO DO SO. I WANT
- 17 TO UNDERSCORE I LOOK AT THIS BROADLY IN TERMS OF THE
- 18 PERSPECTIVE THAT CAN AND SHOULD BE COMMUNICATED WITH RESPECT
- 19 TO THIS COUNTY'S CAPACITY, ITS INCLINATIONS, PARTICULARLY
- 20 AGAINST THE BACKDROP OF A LONG-RANGE SET OF CONCERNS IN
- 21 RELATIONSHIP TO THIS NEW ADMINISTRATION. THE EXTENT THAT THAT
- 22 IS THE CASE, I THINK IT'S WHOLLY DEFENSIBLE TO STRATEGICALLY
- 23 POSITION THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES IN A FAVORABLE WAY. AND AS
- 24 PUBLIC AS THIS DISCOURSE HAS BEEN, IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT WE
- 25 OUGHT TO BE INCLINED TO DO SO. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I WOULD AT LEAST SAY AT LEAST

3 FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, I STILL THINK THAT NUMBER IS WAY TOO

4 LARGE. OBVIOUSLY WE PARTICIPATED IN PREVIOUS INAUGURATIONS AND

5 I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM PARTICIPATING IN THIS ONE, PARTICULARLY

6 THIS ONE BASED ON THE CROWDS. BUT I THINK THAT NUMBER OF 324

7 IS JUST-- IT BOTHERS ME IN THE SENSE THAT EVERY TIME WE HAVE

8 AN ISSUE IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA, WE GET ISSUES ABOUT LACK

9 OF STAFF AND WHATEVER IT MAY BE. AND YET WE CAN PICK UP 324

10 BODIES AND MOVE THEM TO WASHINGTON, D.C. SO I MEAN THE FACT

11 THAT WE DID FOUR YEARS AGO SENT 64 OR 65, THERE'S SOME NUMBER

12 IN THERE. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD JUST OUTRIGHT REJECT. WE

13 PARTICIPATED IN THE PAST. BUT THERE MIGHT BE SOME NUMBER THAT

14 WE COULD SUPPORT AND BE A PART OF THIS INAUGURATION, WHATEVER

15 THAT NUMBER MAY BE. OBVIOUSLY WE'D LOOK TO YOU FOR YOUR

16 GUIDANCE. BUT AT LEAST FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, THE MOTION BEFORE

17 US AS IT RELATES TO 300 PLUS OFFICERS, I COULD NOT SUPPORT. I

18 DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT NUMBER MAY BE. YOU SAID YOU COULD LOOK AT

19 IT. OR MAYBE WE COULD LAY THIS ON THE TABLE. YOU GO BACK, TALK

20 TO YOUR FOLKS, COME BACK IN A HALF HOUR OR SO WITH SOME OTHER

21 NUMBER, I MEAN I DON'T KNOW. BUT I THINK YOU GET THE SENSE UP

22 HERE THAT THERE'S SOME SUPPORT FOR ANOTHER NUMBER, BUT AT THE

23 CURRENT RECOMMENDATION, THERE IS NO SUPPORT. I MEAN THERE IS

24 SOME SUPPORT BUT NOT --



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: THE ISSUE IS THAT I THINK THAT THEY'VE
- 2 NEGOTIATED ALREADY DOWN FROM THE ORIGINAL REQUEST. NOW, ONE OF
- 3 THE ISSUES THAT I HAD A CONCERN WITH AS TO WHAT ARE WE LEFT
- 4 WITH AS FAR AS OUR TEAM HERE. AND I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT
- 5 IN THE BROADER SENSE, IT IS THAT THIS TIME LAST YEAR, WE HAD
- 6 OVER 1200 VACANCIES. AND NOW WE HAVE LESS THAN 400. SO WE ARE
- 7 AT FULL, FULL AND COMPLETE, IN STAFF. AND SO THIS IS THE KIND
- 8 OF MARGIN THAT I GUESS USUALLY OCCURS WHEN THERE ARE VACATION
- 9 AND SICK DAYS AND JURY DUTY AND TESTIFYING IN COURT AND ALL
- 10 THOSE OTHER KINDS OF THINGS THAT ARE GOING ON. I'M NOT SAYING
- 11 ALL OF IT IS GOING TO GO AWAY. I THINK YOU'RE ONLY RESTRICTING
- 12 THE VACATION, OF COURSE, DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME.

14 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: VACATION AND TRAINING DAYS.

16 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT. SO THE SITUATION IS THAT THIS HAS TO BE

- 17 DONE SOMETIME TODAY, CORRECT? BECAUSE OTHERWISE THERE JUST
- 18 ISN'T THE COMMITMENT OR THERE IS NO WAY YOU COULD PREPARE
- 19 YOURSELF TO START THIS KIND OF MOVEMENT AND GOING FORWARD IF
- 20 YOU DON'T GET IT DONE TODAY. DO YOU THINK THAT THERE'S
- 21 ANYTHING THAT YOU CAN NEGOTIATE THAT'S GOING TO BE ANY
- 22 DIFFERENT AS FAR AS THE NUMBER IS CONCERNED?

23

13



- 1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : WELL, WE'D HAVE TO GO BACK TO
- 2 WASHINGTON, D.C. AND LET THEM KNOW THAT WE COULD NOT, IF
- 3 THAT'S WHAT YOU SO DESIRE, SEND 347 PEOPLE.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: WELL THEN, LET ME UNDERSTAND FROM MY COLLEAGUES
- 6 HERE. WHAT IS THE NUMBER YOU WANT THEM TO COME BACK WITH?
- 7 BECAUSE THERE'S NO USE FOR THEM GOING ALL THE WAY OVER THERE
- 8 TO NEGOTIATE AND THEY COME BACK WITH LESS 60 AND THAT'S NOT
- 9 SATISFACTORY. SO WHAT WOULD THAT BE? I'D LIKE TO SEE IT THAT
- 10 WAY BETTER THAN TO MOVE FORWARD AND COME BACK.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ONE OF THE QUESTIONS WE WERE ASKING ABOUT
- 13 THE NON RELIEF. AND ARE WE NOW TALKING ABOUT USING RELIEF?
- 14 BECAUSE PEOPLE WHO HOLD RELIEF ITEMS REQUIRE OVERTIME TO
- 15 BACKFILL. IT'S THE COST. IT'S THE COST AND THE NUMBER. SO IS
- 16 METRO GOING TO REIMBURSE US FOR RELIEF OR NOT?

17

- 18 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: THERE IS NO OVERTIME GOING TO BE
- 19 UTILIZED FOR THIS EVENT.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT PEOPLE WHO HOLD RELIEF ITEMS REQUIRE
- 22 OVERTIME TO BACKFILL.

- 24 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: NO, THEY DON'T. IF THEY ARE
- 25 RELIEF POSITION, THEY FILL IN NORMALLY AS PART OF THE



- 1 SCHEDULE. IF THEY'RE TRAINING, THEY'RE TAKEN OUT OF TRAINING.
- 2 IF THEY'RE ON ADMINISTRATIVE OR SIGN UP, THEY GO THERE. THERE
- 3 IS NO OVERTIME GOING TO BE USED FOR THIS AS PLANNED.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AS PLANNED. BUT THAT'S A VERY AMBIGUOUS
- 6 QUESTION. AND AGAIN, USING RETIRED PERSONNEL, BRINGING THEM
- 7 BACK FOR THE 120 DAYS WOULD NOT DISRUPT THE ONGOING PATROLS
- 8 THAT WE HAVE. AND IT'S A PROCEDURE THAT WE USE ALL THE TIME.
- 9 USING THOSE PERSONNEL WOULD GIVE YOU THE NUMBERS THAT YOU MAY
- 10 FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH AND WOULD ALSO NOT SHORTCHANGE OUR
- 11 COMMUNITIES THAT RELY UPON A PRESENCE IN THE COMMUNITY AND
- 12 ABLE TO RESPOND IMMEDIATELY IF ANY CRISIS WOULD OCCUR.

13

- 14 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: MR. ANTONOVICH, YOU HAVE TO DO
- 15 BACKGROUND FOR EACH ONE OF THEM AND PHYSICALS FOR EACH ONE OF
- 16 THEM. IT COULD NOT BE DONE IN THIS TIMEFRAME. IT TAKES FOR
- 17 BACKGROUND ALONE SIX WEEKS.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU JUST RETIRED A PERSON YOU HAVE TO CHECK
- 20 THEIR BACKGROUND?

21

- 22 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES, IT'S MANDATORY FOR A 128-
- 23 DAY CONTRACT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF YOU RETIRED TOMORROW, WE HAVE TO DO
- 2 ANOTHER BACKGROUND ON YOU?

3

4 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : YES, SIR.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WE FIND OUT WE MADE A MISTAKE IN THE
- 7 FIRST PLACE? [LAUGHTER.]

8

9 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : LET'S HOPE NOT.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I THINK WE'RE USING A LOT OF BUREAUCRATIC
- 12 RED TAPE TO DELAY GETTING RETIRED PERSONNEL, WHO ARE
- 13 QUALIFIED, WHO HAVE BEEN RECOGNIZED FOR BEING COMPETENT AND
- 14 HAVE RETIRED WITH RECOGNITION FROM THE DEPARTMENT FOR A GREAT
- 15 JOB, GIVING THEM THAT OPPORTUNITY IS NOT GOING TO SHORTCHANGE
- 16 OUR COUNTY OR SHORTCHANGE THOSE PEOPLE THAT THEY'RE GOING TO
- 17 BE IN CHARGE OF WHEN THEY GO TO WASHINGTON, D.C. I MEAN YOU'RE
- 18 GETTING A COMPETENT PERSON. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT GETTING
- 19 SOMEONE WHO'S BEEN RETIRED 50 YEARS AND BRINGING THEM OUT OF
- 20 MOTHBALLS.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, IN RESPONSE TO, AS I
- 23 UNDERSTAND IT, WE'RE SENDING THREE FULL PLATOONS, CORRECT?



- 1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : BASICALLY THE QUANTITY IS SIX I
- 2 BELIEVE. A CAPTAIN, EACH ONE WITH A 56-MAN PLATOON, THREE OF
- 3 THEM ON EACH SIDE, YES.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW MANY IS IN A PLATOON? ABOUT 50, 55?

6

7 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: 56 IN A PLATOON, YES.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TO MAYBE THAT NUMBER MIGHT BE ONE OR TWO
- 10 PLATOON IFS THEY COULD NEGOTIATE THAT OR SOMETHING.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: BUT LET'S SAY NOW WHAT IT IS, OTHERWISE--

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM. IF
- 15 YOU'RE TALKING 356, RIGHT?

16

17 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: 347.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: SO DO YOU WANT 300?

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THERE IS NOT THREE VOTES HERE FOR 346.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT'S THE VOTE NUMBER FOR THEN? I'M JUST TRYING
- 24 TO UNDERSTAND, OTHERWISE THERE'S NO VOTES AND LET'S NOT GO
- 25 THROUGH THIS EXERCISE. SO WHAT'S THE NUMBER?



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS? 2 3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, DID I NOT HEAR THAT IF THE 4 5 NUMBER FALLS BELOW THE REQUESTED AMOUNT, THE PROPOSED AMOUNT FALLS BELOW A CERTAIN NUMBER, IT BECOMES IMPRACTICAL TO DO IN 6 TERMS OF THE COSTS THAT MIGHT BE TRIGGERED OR OTHERWISE BORNE, 7 8 DID I HEAR THAT? 9 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WELL, IT COULD IMPACT THE HOTEL 10 THEY HAVE TAKEN FOR US. IT COULD IMPACT THE FLIGHT THAT HAS 11 BEEN CHARTERED FOR A SPECIFIC NUMBER. YES, IT COULD IMPACT 12 THOSE TWO COSTS PARTICULARLY. 13 14

1

- SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WHATEVER NUMBER WE 15
- 16 ULTIMATELY ARRIVE AT SHOULD BE INFORMED BY WHAT ADVERSE EFFECT
- IT MIGHT HAVE ON THE MANAGEMENT OF OTHER COSTS THAT COULD BE 17
- 18 TRIGGERED AND/OR--

19

- SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT WOULD IMPACT THE PEOPLE IN 20
- 21 WASHINGTON, NOT US. THAT WOULD REDUCE THEIR COSTS.

22

23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: NO.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND A SMALLER NUMBER, YOU CAN USE COMMERCIAL
- 2 FLIGHT. YOU DON'T HAVE CHARTER A PLANE.

3

- 4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I THINK WE NEED TO KNOW THAT, RATHER THAN
- 5 ASSUME THAT IS REALLY WHAT I'M TRYING TO PUSH FOR
- 6 CLARIFICATION.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: I CAN ALSO ASSURE YOU THAT ON SUNDAY YOU HAVE TO
- 9 FIND SOME OTHER FLIGHT TO GO THERE. THERE ARE NO FLIGHTS
- 10 AVAILABLE TO D.C. ON SUNDAY.

11

- 12 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WE COULD NOT GO COMMERCIALLY. IT
- 13 WOULD BE AN IMPOSSIBLE TASK.

14

- 15 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SO WHATEVER WE SUGGEST, IF I MAY, MR.
- 16 CHAIR.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: GIVE UP YOUR TICKET AND SEND IT A COP?

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU COULD SUBLEASE THE HOTEL ROOMS AND MAKE
- 21 SOME MONEY.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA: YOU COULD MAKE SOME MONEY ON IT.



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WHAT I WOULD NOT WANT TO DO IS SUGGEST A
- 2 NUMBER THAT MAKES IT IMPRACTICAL TO ACCOMPLISH. SO WHAT SEEMS
- 3 TO ME THE VARIABLES THAT WE ARE OBLIGED TO CONSIDER HAVE TO BE
- 4 IN THE INTEREST OF DOING IT OR NOT. AND AS YOU'VE GLEANED OR
- 5 INFERRED, MR. CHAIR, I'M INCLINED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE
- 6 NUMBER THAT MAKES SENSE. IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED THAT THE
- 7 PROPOSED AND/OR REQUESTED NUMBER IS NOT SUPPORTABLE.
- 8 SUPERVISOR MOLINA HAS ASKED WHAT IS SO WE CAN MOVE. IS THE
- 9 NUMBER 300 THAT WORKS? WHAT IS IT?

10

- 11 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WELL, THEY REQUESTED THEY NEEDED
- 12 5. WE COULDN'T DO IT. 347. THERE'S AN ISSUE HERE BESIDE THE
- 13 NUMBER WE THROW OUT TO THEM. IT'S WHAT THEY NEED AND WHAT THEY
- 14 CAN DO TO GET THE JOB DONE. THAT'S WHAT THEY'VE ASKED FOR.
- 15 NOW, WHEN WE SAY OKAY, WE'RE ONLY GOING TO SEND YOU 200 OR
- 16 250, IT'S A BURDEN ON THEM AT THIS LATE TIME ALSO, THEN, TO
- 17 GET OTHER AGENCIES WHO HAVE NOT RESPONDED. AND HERE WE'RE
- 18 DEALING WITH THE PROTECTION FOR THE PRESIDENT-ELECT. THERE IS
- 19 AN ISSUE OF THE ABILITY TO GET THE HELP THAT THEY THOUGHT THEY
- 20 WERE GOING TO GET FROM US. SO IT'S A REAL ISSUE.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WOULD MOVE THAT WE AUTHORIZE 67 WHICH WAS
- 25 THE NUMBER WE USED AT THE 2004.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YEAH, THAT DOESN'T WORK FOR ME. 2 3 SUP. MOLINA: I'M GOING TO ASK IF WE COULD LAY THIS ON THE 4 5 TABLE FOR ABOUT 10 MINUTES AND GO ON TO ANOTHER ITEM AND THEN COME BACK TO IT. I'M GOING TO ASK A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. SO 6 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM NUMBER 68. 7 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MR. SACHS, WE DIDN'T FORGET ABOUT YOU, BUT 9 WE STILL HAVE THE ITEM ON THE TABLE. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: WHY DON'T WE DO THE PUBLIC, THE ONES HE'S HELD. 12 IF I COULD CALL ITEM NUMBER 7 AND 28 AND 33. 13 14 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND 69 WHILE YOU'RE AT IT. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVKSY: WHAT WERE THE OTHERS? 17 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: 7, 28, 33, 36, 47, 51, AND 69. 19 20 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD MORNING, COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. ITEM 21 22 7 I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT ONE OF THE ITEMS IN ITEM 7, THE 23 TRANSPORTATION SCHOOL. IN THE SOUTH BAY WHERE I RESIDE,

HERMOSA BEACH, THEY HAVE A PROGRAM FOR TRANSPORTING DISABLED

CHILDREN. HERMOSA BEACH IS 2 1/2 MILES LONG, MAYBE A MILE



- 1 WIDE. AND THAT PROGRAM ALTHOUGH IT'S OPEN AND TRANSPARENT, THE
- 2 COMPANY COLLECTS \$100 FOR EACH STUDENT THAT'S TRANSPORTED PLUS
- 3 EXPENSES. EACH MEDICAL STUDENT, THEY USE IT FOR MEDICAL, FOR
- 4 CHILDREN WITH MEDICAL NEEDS. THAT'S AN AWFUL LOT OF MONEY TO
- 5 TRAVEL 2 1/2 MILES. SO ALTHOUGH THE CONTRACTS MAY LOOK
- 6 TRANSPARENT, ALTHOUGH THERE MAY NOT BE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST,
- 7 IT WOULD BE REALLY NICE TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE OPEN AND
- 8 COMPETITIVE IN THEIR BIDDING PROCESS. ITEM NUMBER 7.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THE ITEM IS NOW BEFORE US. MOVED BY
- 11 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. I WOULD ADD MOST OF THAT PROCESS IS
- 13 BID. AND THERE WERE VERY FEW PROVIDERS OF THAT SERVICE. SO IT
- 14 IS A GOOD SERVICE. ALTHOUGH TOTALLY UNRELATED TO THIS ITEM.
- 15 BUT THAT'S OKAY.

16

17 ARNOLD SACHS: WELL, IT'S DISABILITY.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: A.D.A., RIGHT.

20

21 ARNOLD SACHS: NEXT ITEM, SIR?

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NEXT ITEM WOULD BE ITEM 28.



- 1 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 28. ANOTHER BIG POT. IS THIS ANY KIND OF
- 2 RELATIONSHIP TO ANY OF THE OTHER TRANSPORTATION FUNDING THAT
- 3 IS NOW COMING UP PROP 1-H, PROP A, PROP C? I KNOW IT'S NOT
- 4 PROP R, BUT THERE JUST SEEMS TO BE MORE AND MORE POTS ALL OF A
- 5 SUDDEN OPENING UP FOR FUNDING FOR TRANSPORTATION ISSUES. AND
- 6 WHO'S OVERSEEING AND WHO'S LOOKING OUT FOR THE GENERAL
- 7 PUBLIC'S WELFARE ON HOW THIS MONEY IS BEING SPENT? VERY BIG
- 8 CONCERN.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. THE CHAIR WILL
- 11 SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM 33.

- 13 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 33. I WAS CONCERNED BECAUSE THE COUNTY IS
- 14 GIVING DASH MONEY, PROP A MONEY L.A. D.O.T. PUT OUT A LITTLE
- 15 FLYER, PROPOSITION A, HALF CENT PER DOLLAR SALES TAX
- 16 THROUGHOUT L.A. COUNTY, INCREASES WITH INFLATION, USED FOR
- 17 L.A. D.O.T.'S TRANSIT SERVICE, COMMUTER EXPRESS, DASH, CITY
- 18 RIDE, CHARTER BUS AND TRANSIT FACILITIES. AND IT DOES SAY THAT
- 19 THE FORMAL ALLOCATION PROCEDURE FUNDS, ONLY COMMUTER EXPRESS
- 20 AND DOWNTOWN DASH OPERATIONS. BUT M.T.A. ELIMINATED THE
- 21 TRANSFER, THE USE OF RIDERS HAVING A DAY PASS TO GET ON DASH
- 22 AND L.A. D.O.T. BUSES FOR HALF A MILLION DOLLARS. IT SAVED
- 23 M.T.A. A HALF MILLION DOLLARS. AND NOW IS COUNTY IS GOING TO
- 24 GIVE OUT \$250,000 PLUS PLUS OVER THE NEXT COUPLE YEARS TO ONE
- 25 DASH LINE IN THE EAST SIDE. THERE'S AN INCONSISTENCY IN THE



- 1 FUNDING THERE. IF THE COSTS, IF THEY'RE COLLECTING-- SAVING
- 2 500-- A LITTLE OVER \$500,000 AND ELIMINATING THE DAY PASS USED
- 3 FOR L.A. D.O.T. AND DASH DOWNTOWN, THEN THEY'RE GOING TO TURN
- 4 AROUND AND SPEND ALMOST ALL THAT MONEY, THEY'RE DOUBLING THIS
- 5 FEE TWO YEARS TO 400,000--

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, IT'S PROP A, PROP C
- 8 MONIES HERE IN THE COUNTY OF L.A., NOT M.T.A. DOLLARS. IT'S A
- 9 SEPARATE POT OF MONEY THAT'S USED FOR LOCAL TRANSIT SERVICES
- 10 IN VARIOUS PARTS THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE COUNTY. SO TWO TOTALLY
- 11 DIFFERENT POTS OF MONEY.

12

13 ARNOLD SACHS: SO THIS IS COUNTY PROP A, MONEY.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXACTLY

16

17 ARNOLD SACHS: M.T.A. IS NOT PROP A MONEY.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT COULD BE. THESE ARE SEPARATE TRANSIT
- 20 AGREEMENTS THAT THE COUNTY OF L.A. DOES, WHETHER IT'S
- 21 HOLLYWOOD BOWL, PARK AND RIDE, WHETHER IT'S SOUTH BAY TRANSIT.
- 22 WHETHER IT'S THE TRANSIT SERVICE IN PALOS VERDES PENINSULA,
- 23 LONG BEACH, WHEREVER IT MAY BE. THESE ARE INDEPENDENT TRANSIT
- 24 AGREEMENTS THAT ARE DEALT WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.



1 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU FOR YOUR ANSWERS.

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MOVED BY ANTONOVICH. THE CHAIR WILL
- 4 SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM 36.

- 6 ARNOLD SACHS: 36 AND 51 I ACTUALLY ADDRESSED TOGETHER BECAUSE
- 7 IT'S ALSO A DISABILITY ITEM. THE FRAUD DISABILITY CLAIM BOARD.
- 8 UNTIL YOU CLOSE THE LOOPHOLES, I'VE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY BOARD
- 9 OF SUPERVISORS ON THIS DISABILITY CLAIMS, YOU HAVE A LOOPHOLE
- 10 WITH THE COMPANIES THAT PROVIDE DISABILITY SERVICES. BETWEEN
- 11 THE CERTIFIED VEHICLES THAT THEY PROCLAIM THEY HAVE AND THE
- 12 CERTIFIED DRIVERS WHO ARE ONLY ABLE TO DRIVE THOSE VEHICLES
- 13 AND PICK UP ACCESS CERTIFIED PASSENGERS, UNTIL THAT'S LOOKED
- 14 INTO, ANY CLAIM-- THE CLAIMS YOU MAKE AGAINST THEM ARE AGAINST
- 15 THE PUBLIC. WHAT ABOUT COMPANIES THAT PROVIDE ACCESS SERVICES?
- 16 YOU HAVE A LOOPHOLE WITH THE P.U.C. THAT THEY CLAIM THAT NO
- 17 MORE AT-GRADE CROSSINGS FOR TRAINS WITH ENGINES. BUT LIGHT
- 18 RAILS DON'T HAVE ENGINES, SO IT'S A LOOPHOLE SO THAT'S WHY
- 19 THEY CAN HAVE AT-GRADE CROSSINGS. YOU HAVE JUST RECENTLY WITH
- 20 THE METROLINK, THEY WANT TO PUT IN THE AUTOMATIC SAFETY STOP
- 21 SYSTEM. BUT CONGRESS HAS SAID THE LOOPHOLE IS "ONLY IF THE
- 22 TRAIN'S NOT CARRYING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS." IF IT'S CARRYING--
- 23 ONLY IF IT'S CARRYING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. SO IF IT'S NOT
- 24 CARRYING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, NO STOPS. UNTIL THE COUNTY



- 1 ADDRESSES THIS LOOPHOLE REGARDING VEHICLES AND DRIVERS, YOU'RE
- 2 NOT PART OF THE SOLUTION, YOU'RE PART OF THE PROBLEM.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I MEAN FIRST OF ALL, THE ITEM AS IT
- 5 RELATES TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS UNRELATED TO THAT. THAT'S
- 6 THE PEOPLE WHO ABUSED THE SYSTEM. ON THE OTHER ITEM, THAT'S
- 7 AGAIN A SEPARATE CONTRACT.

8

- 9 ARNOLD SACHS: THE COMPANIES THAT PROVIDE THE SERVICE ABUSE
- 10 THE SYSTEM ALSO AND THEY ARE NOT INVESTIGATED.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, THEY ARE, YES THEY ARE. ON ITEM 36
- 13 AND 51, THEN. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. SECONDED BY
- 14 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. YOU HAVE
- 15 47 AND 69, MR. SACHS.

- 17 ARNOLD SACHS: 47. FIRST, THE BRIDGE SEISMIC RETROFIT. FIRST,
- 18 THERE IS NO FUNDING FROM LONG BEACH INVOLVED IN THIS AT ALL.
- 19 THE CITY OF L.A. JUST RECENTLY HAD A TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
- 20 HEARING. AND THEY HAD A LIST OF PROJECTS FOR AN ECONOMIC
- 21 STIMULUS FROM WASHINGTON, D.C. AND ONE OF THE QUESTIONS ASKED
- 22 WAS REGARDING BRIDGES, SEISMIC RETROFITTING, WHO IS GOING TO
- 23 BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IT? SO I WAS WONDERING IF THE COUNTY IS
- 24 GOING TO HAVE AN ECONOMIC STIMULUS PACKAGE REQUEST THROUGH
- 25 WASHINGTON, ALSO, IN ESSENCE.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MR. SACHS, IT WAS BACK THERE A WEEK AGO
- 3 YESTERDAY. PART OF OUR RECOMMENDATION WE HAVE BEFORE OUR
- 4 COLLEAGUES IN THE CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES AND OUR TWO
- 5 UNITED STATES SENATORS ARE SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC WORKS STIMULUS
- 6 PACKAGE INCLUDES BRIDGE RETROFITS AND MANY OTHER PUBLIC WORKS
- 7 PROJECTS.

8

9 ARNOLD SACHS: BUT THESE TWO BRIDGES WOULDN'T BE INCLUDED?

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO.

12

13 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AND THEY'RE NOT IN LONG BEACH.

16

17 ARNOLD SACHS: WELL, THEY ARE OVER THE 710 FREEWAY?

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ITEM 47 IS BEFORE US. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 20 ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT
- 21 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. AND THEN ON THE SHERIFF'S ITEM, ITEM
- 22 69, MR. SACHS?

- 24 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 69, ACTUALLY I THINK YOU'RE VERY
- 25 HYPOCRITICAL REGARDING THIS ITEM. I'VE COME BEFORE THIS COUNTY



- 1 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NUMEROUS TIMES ON BUDGETARY ITEMS, ON THE
- 2 BUDGET FOR THE HOMELESS WHERE YOU SPENT HALF A MILLION, OVER A
- 3 HALF MILLION DOLLARS INTERVIEWING HOMELESS PEOPLE DOWNTOWN
- 4 REQUESTING WHY THEY COST. ON THE M.T.A. BOARD MEETING, THE
- 5 LAST BOARD MEETING, YOU HAD AN ITEM ON THE M.T.A. BOARD WHERE
- 6 COMPANIES THAT INITIATE CERTAIN BIDS, IF THEY'RE NOT PICKED,
- 7 THEY GET A MILLION DOLLARS BACK FROM THE M.T.A. THAT MAY BE
- 8 THE POLICY, BUT NOT ONE SUPERVISOR STOOD UP OR ASKED WHAT KIND
- 9 OF POLICY DOES THE M.T.A. HAVE THAT THEY'RE PUTTING OUT A
- 10 MILLION DOLLARS, HOW MUCH MONEY ARE THEY ROLLING IN THAT THEY
- 11 CAN AFFORD TO GIVE FAILED BIDS, COMPANIES THAT MAKE FAILED
- 12 BIDS A MILLION DOLLARS? THIS IS A PRESIDENTIAL INAUGURATION.
- 13 THERE'S GOING TO BE MORE PEOPLE AT THIS INAUGURATION THAN
- 14 PROBABLY THE LAST FIVE INAUGURATIONS TOGETHER. YOU TALKED
- 15 ABOUT FUNDING THAT GOES FOR THE ROSE BOWL. IF THERE'S A
- 16 NATURAL DISASTER, IF THERE'S A SITUATION THAT WOULD OCCUR IN
- 17 THE THREE DAYS THAT THE PEOPLE WILL BE GONE, THERE'S GOT TO BE
- 18 SOME KIND OF CROSS-AGREEMENTS WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS, WITH
- 19 OTHER COUNTIES THAT IF IT CAME TO COVERING FOR 300 PEOPLE, A
- 20 LITTLE UNDER 300 PEOPLE, BECAUSE YOU WANT 67 THAT WENT, IT
- 21 WOULD BE LIKE 285 PEOPLE THAT YOU'RE ASKING TO BE INCLUDED,
- 22 THAT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO FIND AN AGREEMENT WITH ORANGE COUNTY
- 23 OR RIVERSIDE COUNTY OR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OR ANY OF THE
- 24 LOCAL DIVISIONS, POLICE DEPARTMENTS, TO COVER THOSE -- THAT
- 25 INCREDIBLE NEED, WHETHER IT'S TSUNAMI THAT OCCURS, WHEN A



- 1 NUCLEAR BOMB GOES OFF, FROM A PLANE THAT CRASHED AT EDWARDS
- 2 AIR FORCE BASE, TO A TORNADO AND A MUDSLIDE AT THE SAME TIME.
- 3 IF THOSE THINGS WERE TO OCCUR, THEN YOU'RE GOLDEN. BUT TO BE
- 4 ABLE TO SIT HERE AND SAY "WE'RE GOING TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT
- 5 THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET." YOU'RE JUST PAYING FOR THE SHERIFFS TO
- 6 GO TO THE M.T.A.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S A LOT MORE COMPLICATED THAN THE
- 9 SHERIFF'S BUDGET, MR. SACHS. YOU HEARD THE CONVERSATION. IT IS
- 10 A LOT MORE COMPLICATED THAN THAT. WE HAVE ISSUES IN
- 11 UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF DEPUTIES ON THE STREET. YOUR TIME'S
- 12 UP.

13

- 14 ARNOLD SACHS: I UNDERSTAND THAT ALSO, SIR, BUT YOU'RE LOOKING
- 15 AT A CONTRACT TO PUT SHERIFFS ON THE M.T.A. BUS LINES.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: UNRELATED. UNRELATED.**

18

- 19 ARNOLD SACHS: OKAY. IT'S UNRELATED. IT'S PART OF THE
- 20 SHERIFF'S DEPLOYMENT.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: EXACTLY. OKAY, WE NEED ITEM 47, DID WE
- 23 NEED TO VOTE ON IT?



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YOU APPROVED ALL ITEMS EXCEPT FOR 69 WHICH
- 2 IS STILL ON THE TABLE.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? SUPERVISOR MOLINA STILL
- 7 HAS?

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. I THINK THAT WE'RE GOING TO MOVE OVER
- 10 TO ITEM 68 THAT THE C.E.O. HAS AN ITEM. 68, I'M SORRY. DIDN'T
- 11 HAVE MY MIC. ON.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ITEM 68 IS BEFORE US.

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE STAFF JOIN US AT THE
- 16 CENTER TABLE TO SPEAK TO IT, BUT AS THEY'RE WALKING UP, I WAS
- 17 GOING TO ASK IF WE COULD AMEND THE MOTION. BECAUSE I KNOW THAT
- 18 THE INITIAL DIRECTION TO US WAS TO DEVELOP AN R.F.P. BUT I
- 19 THINK WE'D BE BETTER SERVED IF WE WENT FORWARD WITH AN R.F.O
- 20 STRUCTURE. BUT WE ALSO TWEAK AT THE STATE THAT THE REVIEWS CAN
- 21 BE DONE BOTH THROUGH THAT INDEPENDENT PROCESS. BUT MAYBE THERE
- 22 MAY BE A CASE WHERE THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT SOME OF THE
- 23 REVIEWS, RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE IS TO ALLOW THE PERSON TO
- 24 CONDUCT THE REVIEWS ON AN INDEPENDENT BASIS. BUT GIVEN THAT
- 25 THIS POSITION WILL REPORT TO THE BOARD, IT SHOULD ALSO BE



- 1 STRUCTURED SO IT'S RESPONSIVE TO THE BOARD'S REQUEST. BUT WE
- 2 ALSO HAVE ELLEN AND MARTIN HERE TO SPEAK TO THIS ISSUE IF YOU
- 3 HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON THE AMENDMENT PART OF IT, I DON'T KNOW
- 6 WHERE MY THING IS? THANK YOU. THERE'S ONE OTHER ITEM, AND I
- 7 SUPPORT WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING, MR. FUJIOKA. AND I WOULD ADD
- 8 ONE OTHER THING. IN THE DRAFT, WHAT WAS THE R.F.P. WHICH MAY
- 9 NOW BECOME AN R.F.Q., IT HAD SAID THAT THE PROPOSERS, THAT THE
- 10 C.E.O.'S OFFICE WOULD NARROW IT DOWN TO ONE PROPOSER, AND THEN
- 11 SUBMIT IT TO THE BOARD. I WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND THAT ALL OF
- 12 THE PROPOSERS, NOT IF IT'S 30, BUT IF IT'S IN THE FIVE TO SIX
- 13 RANGE, IF THERE ARE THAT MANY, THAT THE BOARD-- TWO THINGS.
- 14 NUMBER ONE, THIS IS A POSITION THAT SHOULD REPORT DIRECTLY TO
- 15 THE BOARD. I THINK THAT'S WHAT YOU INTENDED ORIGINALLY, WASN'T
- 16 IT, WHEN YOU FIRST MADE THIS MOTION?

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE FIRM OR THE POSITION. SECONDLY, THE
- 21 BOARD SHOULD HAVE MORE THAN ONE TO LOOK AT, THAT'S ALL. SO
- 22 THAT AND WHAT SUPERVISOR -- C.E.O. FUJIOKA HAS RECOMMENDED, LET
- 23 ME JUST MAKE SURE I AM COVERING ALL MY NOTES HERE. YEAH. AND,
- 24 BILL, I ASSUME THAT THE LAST COMMENT YOU MADE IS IN REFERENCE
- 25 TO THAT ISSUE. MAYBE IT'S NOT. ON THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER PIECE



- 1 OF THIS? I DON'T THINK THAT WAS-- ONE OF THE THINGS YOU SAID
- 2 IN THE DRAFT WAS THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WOULD BE
- 3 LIMITED IN ITS ABILITY TO ISSUE DIRECTIVES TO THIS POSITION TO
- 4 THOSE AREAS WHICH FALL OUT-- SORRY. WOULD LIMIT THE BOARD IN
- 5 ISSUING DIRECTIVES ONLY TO THOSE ISSUES THAT FALL OUTSIDE THE
- 6 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER'S FIELD.

8 SUP. MOLINA: NO, IT SAYS THE OTHER WAY AROUND. AT ANY TIME

9 THEY COULD ASK FOR IT.

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK IT SAYS IT WOULD LIMIT THE BOARD OF

- 12 SUPERVISORS' ABILITY TO ISSUE DIRECTIVES TO THOSE AREAS WHICH
- 13 "FALL OUTSIDE THE AREA OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER."

15 SUP. MOLINA: IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY TIME THE BOARD

- 16 COULD ASK THE CONTRACTOR TO INVESTIGATE, ANALYZE OR VERIFY--
- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 3, UNDER BOARD
- 19 DIRECTIVES. "THIS MAY BE REOUESTED BY THE BOARD ON AN AS-
- 20 NEEDED BASIS FOR THOSE AREAS WHICH FALL OUTSIDE THE EXPERTISE
- 21 OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER."
- 23 SUP. MOLINA: WHICH MEANS THAT AT ANY TIME THEY CAN ASK.

24

22

7

10

14



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: MAYBE IT COULD BE AMENDED. WE CAN CLARIFY IT,
- 2 TO MAKE IT REAL CLEAR THAT-- JUST GOT TO MAKE IT, THE BOARD
- 3 HAS THE ABSOLUTE RIGHT TO DIRECT.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BECAUSE WHAT IT SAYS NOW, I WANT TO MAKE
- 6 SURE I'M NOT LOSING MY MIND, BUT IT SAYS NOW THAT THE BOARD
- 7 MAY PROVIDE PERIODIC INSTRUCTION AND DIRECTIVES TO THIS ENTITY
- 8 RELATED TO AREAS OF CONCERN THEY WISH TO BE INVESTIGATED,
- 9 ANALYZED OR SOLVED. THIS MAY BE REQUESTED BY THE BOARD ON AN
- 10 AS-NEEDED BASIS FOR THOSE AREAS THAT FALL OUTSIDE THE
- 11 EXPERTISE OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD
- 12 BE LIMITED JUST TO THOSE THINGS. IF THE BOARD CHOOSES TO ASK
- 13 THIS GROUP TO DO SOMETHING THAT MAY FALL UNDER THE BUT IT
- 14 OVERLAPS THE EXPERTISE, THAT'S ALL I'M SAYING.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** NO PROBLEM.

17

- 18 ELLEN SANDT: WE WANTED TO DO TWO THINGS THERE. WE WANTED THE
- 19 PROPOSERS TO BE AWARE THAT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER MIGHT BE
- 20 DOING INVESTIGATIONS OF THEIR OWN AT THE BOARD'S DIRECTION
- 21 DURING THAT SAME TIME.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER SHOULD BRING THAT TO
- 24 OUR ATTENTION AT THAT TIME. BUT I JUST DIDN'T WANT US TO BE



- 1 PRECLUDED FROM-- THERE WILL BE OVERLAP. I'M TRYING TO AVOID A
- 2 PROBLEM.

3

ELLEN SANDT: SURE.

5

- 6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SO WE'LL HANDLE THIS LIKE ANY OTHER
- 7 RECRUITMENT PROCESS FOR A POSITION THAT REPORTS DIRECTLY TO
- 8 THE BOARD AND WE'LL BRING YOU THE HIGHEST-RANKED CANDIDATES.
- 9 WE'LL SHOW YOU THE LIST OF ALL PROPOSERS AND WITH A BREAKDOWN
- 10 OF WHO WE FEEL ARE-- WHO AND WHY THEY CONSTITUTE THE LIST OF
- 11 THE HIGHEST-RANKED CANDIDATES WITHOUT A PRESCRIBED NUMBER.
- 12 THERE COULD BE 20 COMPANIES COMING IN. AND WE KNOW THAT SOME
- 13 WON'T EVEN MEET YOUR QUALIFICATIONS. BUT THE PROCESS WILL
- 14 DESCRIBE--

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MAYBE THAT SCREENING COMMITTEE SHOULD
- 17 INCLUDE THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, NOT JUST YOUR OFFICE. I'M NOT
- 18 PICKING ON YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO GET A HYBRID. BILL, ONE LAST
- 19 THING, THE FIRST THING YOU MENTIONED, I THINK THIS WAS ON THE
- 20 POINT-- AND I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THAT-- THIS POSITION, WE'RE
- 21 GOING TO DIRECT WHAT THIS POSITION, THE SCOPE OF WHAT THEY'RE
- 22 GOING TO LOOK AT.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE SCOPE OF WORK IS IN HERE, BUT I THINK
- 2 ONE OF THE THINGS IN THE ENSUING WEEK, THERE WILL BE A FOCUSED
- 3 SCOPE OF WORK. BUT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE UP TO THAT INDIVIDUAL
- 4 TO ROAM AND RUN UP A BUDGET OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS.

5

- 6 ELLEN SANDT: YOU'RE EXACTLY RIGHT. WHAT WAS, WE WANTED TO DO
- 7 WAS TO SEE WHAT THE PROPOSERS PROPOSED IN TERMS OF HOW MUCH
- 8 HOMEWORK DID THEY DO ON DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES? HOW
- 9 AWARE WERE THEY OF THE ISSUES? WE THOUGHT THAT IN ADDITION TO
- 10 THEM LISTING THEIR EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE AND ALL THE THINGS
- 11 THEIR COMPANY HAD DONE, WE WANTED TO SEE WHAT THEY THOUGHT THE
- 12 TOP PRIORITY AREAS WERE. BUT IT WOULD BE ABSOLUTELY UP TO YOUR
- 13 BOARD TO DECIDE WHAT THEY ACTUALLY WANTED THE PROPOSER TO LOOK
- 14 AT. BUT THIS IS PART OF THE HOW WELL DID THEY DO THEIR
- 15 HOMEWORK?

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT NEEDS TO BE, AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME
- 18 NEEDS, TO BE MADE CRYSTAL CLEAR BECAUSE IT WASN'T TO ME GOING
- 19 IN. SO IN ADDITION TO THAT, TO WHAT MR. FUJIOKA HAS
- 20 RECOMMENDED, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU HAVE IT CLEAR
- 21 FROM ME ON THE ITEM I RAISED, WHICH WAS ON THE FALLING OUTSIDE
- 22 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER PIECE. AND I THINK YOU HAVE ENOUGH
- 23 THAT, CORRECT? OKAY, THANK YOU.



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE THERE OTHER QUESTIONS IN REGARDS TO

2 THIS ITEM?

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OH, AND, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY. AND THE
- 5 OTHER THING WAS ON THE PROCESS OF THE SELECTION. YOU HAVE
- 6 THAT, AS WELL, RIGHT? THANK YOU. AND THEN MR. FUJIOKA'S ITEMS,
- 7 AS WELL. BILL, YOU MIGHT WANT TO REPEAT, BECAUSE I'M NOT SURE
- 8 THEY GOT IT.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE SCREENING COMMITTEE TO INCLUDE
- 11 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, DID YOU WANT THAT INCLUDED, AS WELL?
- 12 SCREENING COMMITTEE TO INCLUDE THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER?

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. JUST A HYBRID, INCLUDING THE AUDITOR-
- 15 CONTROLLER.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IT'S ONE OF THREE ITEMS THAT YOU BROUGHT
- 18 FORWARD.

19

20 **SUP. MOLINA:** HE AMENDED THREE ITEMS NOW?

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. YOU CAN LEAVE THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER
- 23 OUT. I THINK WE HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING THAT CAN BE PART OF THE
- 24 AMENDMENT. BUT THERE IS TWO.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE IS TWO. THE FIRST IS TO HAVE FIVE TO
- 2 SIX PROPOSERS OR THE ONES THAT WERE HIGHEST RANKED THAT WILL
- 3 BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE BOARD FOR REVIEW. THE SECOND WAS TO
- 4 CLARIFY THE LANGUAGE ON PAGE 3 TO ENSURE THAT THE BOARD CAN
- 5 DIRECT THE INDEPENDENT ENTITY TO REVIEW ANY AREA.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: BUT NOT EXCLUSIVELY.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BUT NOT EXCLUSIVELY.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU SAID IT BETTER. THAN I DID.

12

13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AND TO CHANGE IT FROM AN R.F.P. TO AN R.F.Q.?

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WAS THAT?**

16

17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: FROM R.F.P. TO AN R.F.Q.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: RIGHT. AND THAT THE POSITION WILL REPORT
- 20 DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD. THAT WAS THE OTHER THING. GREAT.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THAT IS?

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FOR NOW. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I THINK THIS IS
- 2 AN IMPORTANT STEP IN THE DIRECTION IN WHICH WE SHOULD BE
- 3 HEADED, THAT IS TO INCREASE THE LEVEL OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND
- 4 TRANSPARENCY WITHIN THIS PARTICULAR DEPARTMENT. THERE HAS BEEN
- 5 A POINT OF VIEW THAT TO SOME EXTENT SUGGESTS THAT MANY OF THE
- 6 CHALLENGES FACED BY D.H.S. ARE ISOLATED. MY VIEW HAS BEEN AND
- 7 REMAINS THAT SOME OF THE CHALLENGES ARE SYSTEMIC IN NATURE. TO
- 8 HAVE AN INSPECTOR GENERAL AS SUCH AS I BEGAN WORKING ON IN
- 9 2005 WITH LEGISLATION ON THIS MATTER, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WHAT
- 10 IS IMPORTANT AS WE MOVE FORWARD TO CAPTURE A SENSE OF CHANGE
- 11 THAT WE CAN ALL EMBRACE, IS THAT WE HAVE THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF
- 12 FLEXIBILITY TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE IN THE CONTEXT OF MORE
- 13 ACCOUNTABILITY. THOSE TWO PRINCIPLES AND FEATURES STRIKE ME AS
- 14 BEING VERY, VERY IMPORTANT. TO PROCEED WITH AN R.F.Q. AFFORDS
- 15 US THAT. AND MAY I ADD, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND COLLEAGUES, THAT THE
- 16 MORE INDEPENDENT, AND I WANT TO SAY THIS FOR THE RECORD, THE
- 17 MORE INDEPENDENT, THE BETTER. ALONG WITH THE FLEXIBILITY THAT
- 18 WILL BE AFFORDED US AS WELL AS THE ACCOUNTABILITY ATTENDANT
- 19 THERETO. THIS IS THE WAY IN WHICH I BELIEVE WE CAN ADDRESS
- 20 SOME OF THE FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS THAT HAVE PLAGUED THIS
- 21 DEPARTMENT. AND IF WE WERE TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION, I THINK
- 22 WE WILL FIND AN OUTCOME THAT WILL BE MORE SATISFACTORY THAN
- 23 WHAT WE'VE EXPERIENCED IN THE PAST.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME WAVELENGTH
- 2 AS FAR AS THE INDEPENDENCE. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. FIRST OF ALL I WANT TO THANK THE
- 5 C.E.O. AND THE STAFF FOR RESPONDING TO THIS. I APPRECIATE IT.
- 6 I THINK THAT WE'RE UNFORTUNATE IN THE SITUATION THAT WE'RE IN.
- 7 I HAVE FOUND MYSELF IN THE LAST THREE YEARS, EVEN BEFORE THAT,
- 8 THAT IT WAS HARD FOR ME TO UNDERSTAND COMPLETELY WHEN THE
- 9 DEPARTMENT WAS REPORTING TO ME WHETHER THEY WERE REPORTING
- 10 FACTS AND INFORMATION AND TRUTH OR WHETHER THEY WERE TELLING
- 11 ME WHAT I NEEDED TO HEAR. AND I'VE BEEN TROUBLED WITH THAT
- 12 FROM DAY ONE. BUT THE MOST TROUBLING ASPECT OF IN THE HEALTH
- 13 DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN THERE IS SO MANY VARIATIONS OF THE TRUTH,
- 14 I GUESS, OR VARIATIONS OF WHAT IS A FACT THERE. I'M MORE
- 15 RECENTLY TROUBLED BY CONSENT FORMS THAT I'M VERY, VERY
- 16 TROUBLED WITH. YOU THINK WE WOULD HAVE CONSISTENCY ON CONSENT
- 17 FORMS. YOU THINK THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WHEN YOU LOOK AT
- 18 AN ISSUE, THAT WHEN YOU HAVE A HOSPITAL AND THEY'RE DOING AN
- 19 OPERATION, THERE WOULD BE CONSISTENCY. I MEAN WHY SHOULD ONE
- 20 HOSPITAL, ONE CLINIC HAVE ONE DIFFERENT THAN ANOTHER? THINGS
- 21 LIKE THAT, THAT WOULD LEAD TO A MUCH MORE SEAMLESS SYSTEM.
- 22 WHEN WE LOOKED AT THE ISSUES THAT WERE BROUGHT ON BY MARTIN
- 23 LUTHER KING AND THE TESTING OF THE NURSES, I MEAN FINDING OUT
- 24 THAT THE TEST HAS TO BE DEVELOPED. YOU THINK ONE WOULD HAVE
- 25 THE SAME SET OF TESTS, WHETHER IT BE PEDIATRIC NURSES OR



- 1 WHETHER THEY BE TRAUMA NURSES OR SURGICAL NURSES, WHATEVER THE
- 2 ISSUE IS, I THINK THAT THIS KIND OF THING CONTINUES TO
- 3 SURPRISE US. THE LIVE SCAN ISSUES CERTAINLY SURPRISED ME. MY
- 4 ASSUMPTION WAS THAT OUR PERSONNEL DO THESE THINGS. AND WHEN
- 5 YOU STUMBLE ACROSS THIS, IT IS VERY, VERY HARD TO DEAL WITH A
- 6 DEPARTMENT THAT EVERY TIME YOU'RE ASSUMING THAT THERE ARE
- 7 CERTAIN STANDARDS OF CARE, CERTAIN STANDARDS IN ADMINISTERING
- 8 HOSPITALS, CLINICS AND PATIENT CARE, THAT YOU FIND THESE
- 9 EXCEPTIONS. VERY RECENTLY WE HAD A LAWSUIT THAT WE PAID OUT
- 10 THAT WE COULDN'T EVEN DEFEND BECAUSE WE WEREN'T FOLLOWING,
- 11 QUOTE, THE STANDARD OF CARE. EVEN THOUGH WE HAD A VERY
- 12 COMPETENT SITUATION BUT WE'VE HAD NO DEFENSE BECAUSE WE
- 13 WEREN'T. SO THESE KINDS OF THINGS ARE TROUBLING FOR ME BECAUSE
- 14 I, AS A SUPERVISOR, AND I SAID THIS WITH MARTIN LUTHER KING,
- 15 WE'RE NOT GOING IN TO MICROMANAGE. THAT IS NOT OUR INTEREST.
- 16 OUR ASSUMPTION IS THAT EVERYTHING IS WORKING WELL. BUT LIKE
- 17 ANYTHING ELSE, AND WE LOOK TO PROFESSIONALS TO GIVE US THIS
- 18 INFORMATION AND THIS ADVICE AND COUNSEL AND MORE IMPORTANTLY
- 19 INFORMATION SO WE COULD MAKE DETERMINATIONS ABOUT POLICY.
- 20 UNFORTUNATELY WHY WE'RE AT THIS POINT TODAY IS BECAUSE THAT
- 21 HAS NOT BEEN AS CLEAR AS WE LIKE IT TO BE. IT HAS LED TO
- 22 UNFORTUNATELY THE FAILURE OF A HOSPITAL AND POTENTIALLY OTHER
- 23 KINDS OF ESCALATION OF INCIDENTS, WHETHER IT BE IN PATIENT
- 24 CARE, MALPRACTICE OR OTHER KINDS OF ISSUES. SO WE HAVE AN
- 25 OPPORTUNITY HERE TO CREATE A MECHANISM THAT IS GOING TO BE OUR



- 1 RESOURCE, OUR PARTNER. TO GO THROUGH SOMEBODY WHO HAS AN
- 2 EXPERTISE LEVEL BEYOND OURSELVES THAT KNOWS ENOUGH ABOUT
- 3 HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION, HOSPITAL PATIENT CARE, QUALITY OF
- 4 CARE, ISSUES ABOUT PERSONNEL AND SO ON WITHIN A HOSPITAL
- 5 SETTING, THAT COULD COME IN AND AUTOMATICALLY HAVE, I WOULD
- 6 THINK, THE APPROPRIATE ASSUMPTIONS. MY ASSUMPTIONS MIGHT BE
- 7 ONE. BUT THEY MAY NOT BE THE APPROPRIATE ASSUMPTIONS AT WHAT
- 8 LEVEL IT SHOULD OPERATE THAT COULD ASSIST US IN MAYBE ALREADY
- 9 HAVING AN IDEA, THESE ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT YOU SHOULD
- 10 HAVE, COULD QUICKLY INVESTIGATE AND AUDIT, AND SAY, "I WOULD
- 11 RECOMMEND THAT YOU WOULD DO THE FOLLOWING THINGS, OR CHANGE TO
- 12 OTHER KINDS OF THINGS, OR HAVE A CONSISTENCY ACROSS THE
- 13 BOARD." SO WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. AND EVERY SO
- 14 OFTEN, WE ALSO HAVE A NEED THAT WE'RE SAYING, "LOOK INTO THIS
- 15 ITEM, " BECAUSE WE COME ACROSS THESE INCIDENTS THAT MAY BE OUT
- 16 OF THE FRAME OF WHAT SOMEBODY ELSE IS DOING, BUT THEY'RE VERY
- 17 SIGNIFICANT TO US. SO WE HAVE A SITUATION HERE TO REALLY
- 18 CREATE AN INDEPENDENT ARM, BUT YET AT THE SAME TIME, SOMEONE
- 19 WHO IS GOING TO ASSIST US TO GET TO GO. WE DON'T NEED TO HAVE
- 20 SOMEONE WHO IS GOING TO SUGAR COAT THESE ITEMS. THESE ARE
- 21 CLEARLY ISSUES THAT IT IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY AS A GOVERNING
- 22 BOARD, IT IS OUR DUTY, TO PUT IN PLACE A CORRECTION. BUT THE
- 23 BIGGEST PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE HAD AS A BOARD IS TRYING TO GET
- 24 ALL THE FACTS, TRYING TO GET JUST ALL THE BASIC DATA, REALLY
- 25 TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE THE COMPLEXITY OF THIS



- 1 PROBLEM. A PERFECT EXAMPLE IS RIGHT NOW, THE CONSENT FORM. ONE
- 2 WOULD ASSUME, AND I MADE AN UNFORTUNATE ASSUMPTION, THAT WE
- 3 HAVE CONSENT FORMS THAT ARE FAIRLY GENERAL-- I MEAN, NOT
- 4 FAIRLY GENERAL, BUT CONSISTENT ACROSS THE BOARD, THAT IF I'M
- 5 GOING TO HAVE MY APPENDIX OUT, THERE MUST BE A CONSENT FORM
- 6 FOR THAT. IF I'M A PEDIATRIC PATIENT AND I'M GOING TO HAVE,
- 7 YOU KNOW, SOME KIND OF SURGICAL PROCEDURE, THERE WOULD BE ONE
- 8 FOR A PEDIATRIC PATIENT. BUT THERE'S INCONSISTENCY IN OUR
- 9 SYSTEM. AND I'M TROUBLED BY THAT, PARTICULARLY WHEN WE HAVE
- 10 OVERRIDING RESPONSIBILITIES, WHETHER THE RIGHT TO KNOW FOR OUR
- 11 PATIENTS. I THINK THERE'S A STATE LAW. I THINK THAT THE
- 12 H.I.P.A.A. RESPONSIBILITIES THAT WE HAVE, ONE WOULD THINK THAT
- 13 AS EVEN IN A GOOD LEGAL DEFENSE MECHANISM, THAT WE WOULD HAVE
- 14 CONSISTENT CONSENT FORMS. SO THIS IS A KIND OF INDIVIDUAL,
- 15 COMPANY, GROUP OF PEOPLE, THAT MIGHT COME IN AND GIVE US THAT
- 16 PATHWAY, SO THAT WE COULD AT LEAST GET ALL OF THE FACTS
- 17 TOGETHER AND CLEARLY UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE, AND HOPEFULLY HAVE
- 18 AN ALLY IN MAYBE TAKING THE STEPS TOWARD THAT CORRECTION.
- 19 DURING MARTIN LUTHER KING, MY BIGGEST CONCERN WAS NOT ONLY
- 20 HAVING TO READ ABOUT IT EVERY DAY IN THE L.A. TIMES, AND THEN
- 21 CHASE AROUND OUR OWN DEPARTMENT AS TO WHAT'S FACT AND WHAT'S
- 22 NOT, HOW DID THIS INFORMATION GET OUT, WHY DIDN'T WE KNOW
- 23 THOSE KIND OF THINGS? THE WORST PART IS WHEN WE WERE TRYING TO
- 24 PUT IT ALL TOGETHER AND FIX IT, WE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO. AND
- 25 WE WERE LEFT, EACH OF US AS FIVE MEMBERS, TRYING TO SORT OUT



- 1 HOW TO FIX IT. AND THAT'S NOT THE WAY IT SHOULD OPERATE.
- 2 HOPEFULLY WE HAVE THE KIND OF THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, AND
- 3 SOMETIMES FOR WHATEVER REASON WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT, EVERYBODY
- 4 RUNS TO PROTECT THEIR BEHINDS. THEY ONLY GIVE YOU AS MUCH
- 5 INFORMATION AS-- THEY DON'T WANT TO TELL ON ANYONE ELSE,
- 6 THERE'S THIS WHOLE THING THAT GOES ON. SO WE REALLY NEED TO--
- 7 WE RUN HOSPITALS, WE RUN CLINICS, WE HAVE THE LARGEST TRAUMA
- 8 SYSTEM, A VERY SIGNIFICANT SAFETY NET. WE CANNOT AFFORD
- 9 ANOTHER FAILURE AT ALL. SO CONSEQUENTLY, FOR US TO BE
- 10 EFFECTIVE, WE NEED THIS KIND OF GUIDANCE. SO I APPRECIATE THE
- 11 WORK THAT'S BEEN DONE. I LOOK FORWARD TO THE R.F.Q.S WHEN THEY
- 12 COME BACK. AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL HAVE AN ARRAY OF PEOPLE THAT
- 13 ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO MEET OUR NEEDS. BUT I THINK THE MOST
- 14 IMPORTANT PART OF IT IS THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE AN ADVISOR.
- 15 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A RESOURCE THAT IS GOING TO GUIDE US TO
- 16 BECOME A MORE EFFECTIVE GOVERNING BOARD, OF WHICH WE WERE
- 17 CRITICIZED, CHASTISED AND SANCTIONED FOR NOT ACTING PROPERLY
- 18 WITH MARTIN LUTHER KING. SO THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO STEP UP
- 19 AND MAKE ALL OF THOSE CORRECTIONS AND TO GET THAT GUIDANCE AND
- 20 ADVICE. SO I LOOK FORWARD TO R.F.Q. I HOPE IT WILL BE A QUICK,
- 21 SOLID PROCESS THAT CAN COME BACK AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE, THAT
- 22 WE WILL HAVE AN ARRAY OF PEOPLE TO SELECT FROM, OR GROUPS, AND
- 23 THAT THIS BOARD CAN START ON ITS WAY TO REALLY GETTING AN
- 24 INDEPENDENT VOICE OR AN INDEPENDENT BODY WHO CAN SHARE WITH US
- 25 AND LET US KNOW WHAT IS THE BEST MECHANISM TO MAKE SURE WE ARE



- 1 PROTECTING THE SAFETY NET OF OUR CLINICS AND OUR HOSPITALS. SO
- 2 I THANK YOU FOR THAT WORK.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? AS
- 5 AMENDED.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST WANT TO MAKE ONE BRIEF COMMENT. THIS
- 8 IS A WONDERFUL IDEA, ASSUMING WE DO THIS RIGHT, BUT IT IS ONLY
- 9 AS GOOD AS WHAT WE DO WITH THE INFORMATION ONCE WE GET IT.
- 10 JUST TO TAKE IT BEYOND WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA JUST SAID, AND
- 11 THAT'S GOING TO BE CRITICAL. WE HAD A LOT OF INFORMATION ALONG
- 12 THE WAY, A WHOLE LOT OF THINGS. SOMETIMES FOR A VARIETY OF
- 13 REASONS, SOMETIMES GOOD REASONS, SOMETIMES NOT SO GOOD, WE
- 14 DON'T ACT. SO THIS POSITION IS ONLY AS VALUABLE AS THIS
- 15 BOARD'S WILLINGNESS TO RESPOND AND ENGAGE ON THE ISSUES THAT
- 16 ARE BROUGHT TO THE FORE AND I HOPE THAT IT WILL HAVE THE
- 17 DESIRED RESULT.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, MR. SACHS, YOU SIGNED UP TO
- 20 SPEAK ON ITEM 68? IF YOU STAY CLOSE, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. GO
- 21 AHEAD, MR. SACHS.

- 23 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, ARNOLD SACHS. I'M
- 24 GLAD THAT YOU ARE NOT GOING TO GET INTO THE MICROMANAGEMENT,
- 25 BECAUSE THAT WOULD ASSIGN YOU AS SOME KIND OF BLAME FOR WHAT



- 1 GOES WRONG. BUT REALLY, THE FACT THAT YOU'RE BRINGING THIS
- 2 POSITION INTO THE FOLD, WHY NOT FROM THE OTHER POINT OF VIEW
- 3 LOOK AT THE SUCCESS OF THE OTHER FOUR COUNTY HOSPITALS AND THE
- 4 CLINICS THAT ARE RUN, AND SAY, " WHY ARE THEY SO SUCCESSFUL,
- 5 AND M.L.K. WAS SUCH A FAILURE AT MAINTAINING POLICIES, BASIC
- 6 POLICIES?" EVEN FROM THE METRO PLAN THAT WAS PUT ABOUT BY THE
- 7 COUNTY -- COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEAD THAT NEVER WENT ANYWHERE.
- 8 GETTING THE INFORMATION IS REALLY IMPORTANT. BUT HOLDING
- 9 PEOPLE ACCOUNTABLE FOR A TIMELINE WITH THE INFORMATION IS JUST
- 10 AS IMPORTANT. IF THE INFORMATION HAD BEEN MADE PUBLIC OR BEEN
- 11 AVAILABLE OR BEEN ABLE TO BE ACTED ON OR SOMEBODY HAD SAID,
- 12 "WE KEEP PASSING THIS ON, WE KEEP PUTTING THESE MEETINGS ON
- 13 HOLD OR HOLDING THE ITEM FOR ANOTHER MONTH OR TWO MONTHS,"
- 14 THEN IT WOULD HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED THAT THE METRO PLAN THAT
- 15 HAD BEEN PROPOSED WAS JUST SMOKE, BECAUSE ACTUALLY NOTHING
- 16 HAPPENED. IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE A REVIEW OF ALL EMPLOYEES AND
- 17 THAT NEVER HAPPENED. THE REQUEST FOR THE LEADERSHIP, WILL THIS
- 18 BE A DEPARTMENT-- THIS EXECUTIVE OFFICER POSITION, WOULD IT
- 19 NOT BE BETTER JUST TO HAVE HIM REPORT TO THE C.E.O., TO KEEP
- 20 IT EVEN MORE OUTSIDE THE REACH OF WHATEVER THE BOARD WANTS TO
- 21 DO? LET HIM MAKE HIS REPORT TO THE C.E.O. AND LET THE C.E.O.
- 22 BRING HIS RECOMMENDATION IN FRONT OF THE BOARD? THAT WAY THERE
- 23 IS ABSOLUTELY NO INFLUENCE BY ANY BOARD MEMBERS. THAT WAY TO
- 24 HAVE HIM THEN BE OPEN TO ANY KIND OF CONFLICT FROM THE BOARD?
- 25 IT JUST SEEMS MUCH MORE TRANSPARENT. EVERYBODY USES THAT WORD.



- 1 AND FINALLY, WHAT PROCESS WOULD WE USE TO SELECT THIS PERSON
- 2 TO ADMINISTRATE, BE THE ADMINISTRATOR IN THIS POSITION?

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS BOARD LETTER.

5

6 ARNOLD SACHS: WOULD IT BE THE CONSENSUS SCORING?

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: (LAUGHING) R.F.Q.S. THE ITEM AS AMENDED IS
- 9 BEFORE US. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, YOU
- 10 HAD-- SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE QUESTION THAT I WANTED TO ASK IS WHY
- 13 CAN'T THE C.E.O.'S HEALTH TEAM, IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE
- 14 EXPERTISE OF OUR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES, THE C.I.O. AND
- 15 THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, ANALYZE THE DEPARTMENT'S MANAGEMENT
- 16 STRUCTURE AND THEN RECOMMEND AND IMPLEMENT CHANGES?

- 18 **ELLEN SANDT:** I GUESS MY RESPONSE TO THAT IS THERE PROBABLY
- 19 ARE PORTIONS OF IT THAT WE COULD DO. BECAUSE WE ARE FAMILIAR
- 20 OBVIOUSLY WITH COUNTY MANAGEMENT PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES AND
- 21 HUMAN RESOURCES PROCESSES AND THOSE KINDS OF THINGS. AND I
- 22 THINK IT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD TO DECIDE HOW THEY WANT TO
- 23 DIVIDE UP THAT WORK. YOU MIGHT WANT SOMEBODY WHO'S GOT THAT
- 24 EXPERTISE, SPECIFICALLY WITH THE HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY, AS
- 25 VERSUS AT OTHER TIME YOU MIGHT WANT COUNTY STAFF TO DO



- 1 SOMETHING THAT'S REALLY SPECIFIC TO COUNTY PROCESSES. SO I
- 2 THINK WHAT WE WERE TRYING TO DO IS CREATE SOMETHING THAT GIVES
- 3 YOU THE OPTION.

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THIS WOULD NOT REPLACE OUR ROLE, OUR FUNCTION
- 6 OR YOUR ABILITY TO DIRECT EITHER OUR OFFICE OR THE AUDITOR-
- 7 CONTROLLER OR EVEN, TO SOME EXTENT-- WELL, WE HAVE OTHER
- 8 INVESTIGATIVE AGENCIES IN THE COUNTY. THIS IS TO CREATE THAT
- 9 INDEPENDENT ENTITY THAT CAN GO IN AND SOLELY FOCUS ON HEALTH
- 10 SERVICES, WHEREAS OUR FOCUS IS AT YOUR DISCRETION, AND IT
- 11 COULD BE ANY ENTITY IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THAT THE
- 12 INTENT OF THIS IS TO HAVE SOMEONE TO FOCUS ON D.H.S.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE CONSULTANT MADE HIS RECOMMENDATIONS
- 15 BASED UPON THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER'S REPORT. SO WHY WOULDN'T
- 16 YOU HAVE THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER ANALYZE THE DEPARTMENT THEN GO
- 17 TO THE CONSULTANT?

- 19 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL THAT COULD WORK. IF THE BOARD-- SEE,
- 20 ONCE WE MOVE FORWARD AND IDENTIFY THIS ENTITY, THE BOARD HAS
- 21 MANY OPTIONS AT ITS DISPOSAL. ONE OPTION WOULD BE TO GET THE
- 22 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. ANOTHER, AS I MENTIONED, WOULD BE OUR
- 23 OFFICE. THE THIRD WOULD BE THIS ONE ENTITY THAT DEVELOPS--
- 24 SEE, WHEN YOU HAVE SOMEONE -- THAT'S WHY WE RECOMMEND THE
- 25 R.F.Q., BECAUSE WHEN YOU HAVE SOMEONE WITH VERY SPECIFIC



- 1 EXPERIENCE, TRAINING WHATEVER SKILL SETS THAT FOCUS ON A
- 2 PUBLIC HEALTHCARE ENTITY IN A CIVIL SERVICE OR PUBLIC
- 3 STRUCTURE, THAT BRINGS US A HIGHER LEVEL OF EXPERTISE INTO
- 4 THAT REVIEW PROCESS AND A VERY FOCUSED EXPERTISE.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT HAVE YOU ANALYZED WHAT THE COSTS WOULD
- 7 BE?

8

- 9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE WILL COME BACK WITH THAT FOLLOWING THE
- 10 CONCLUSION OF THE R.F.Q. PROCESS. WE WILL BRING THAT TO THE
- 11 BOARD.

12

SUP. ANTONOVICH: ARE WE TALKING ABOUT 50,000? 300,000?

14

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THE COSTS WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE TYPE OF
- 16 REVIEWS AND THE NUMBER OF REVIEWS. AND SO I IMAGINE THERE
- 17 WOULD BE PROBABLY AN HOURLY STRUCTURE THAT WE WOULD COME BACK
- 18 TO THE BOARD. IT'S UP TO THE BOARD'S DISCRETION HOW OFTEN AND
- 19 HOW EXTENSIVE THE BOARD'S REVIEWS ARE.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF HAVING THE R.F.Q.
- 22 VERSUS THE R.F.P.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES, SIR.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER HAS CONDUCTED
- 2 MANAGEMENT STUDIES IN THE PAST. WHY CAN'T HE DO IT ON THIS
- 3 PROPOSAL?

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL AGAIN, THE ANSWER IS YES, THEY COULD.
- 6 BUT WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DEVELOP HERE IS A TEAM THAT HAS A
- 7 SPECIAL LEVEL OF EXPERTISE IN HEALTHCARE, AND SO OUR AUDITORS,
- 8 ALTHOUGH I THINK THEY DO A VERY GOOD JOB, YOU HAVE FOLKS THAT
- 9 ARE MORE GENERALISTS, VERSUS HAVING SOMEONE WHO CAN COME IN
- 10 AND UNDERSTAND THE C.M.S. OR THE JOINT COMMISSION LICENSING
- 11 ACCREDITATION STANDARDS, FOR EXAMPLE, OR THE EXAMPLE IS GIVEN
- 12 ABOUT CONSENT DECREES-- I MEAN, CONSENT STATEMENTS. THE
- 13 KNOWLEDGE A PERSON MUST HAVE TO UNDERSTAND HOW THOSE CONSENT
- 14 STATEMENTS ARE DEVELOPED. AND THEN YOU HAVE SOME DEGREE OF
- 15 MEDICAL UNDERSTANDING AND EXPERTISE, THAT'S IMPORTANT.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE CONSULTANT THAT WE BROUGHT IN HAD A
- 18 VERY NARROW SCOPE, RIGHT? VERY NARROW FOCUS? MERRICK BOBB? SO
- 19 HOW DO YOU PROPOSE TO LIMIT THAT SCOPE BY THIS CONTRACT TO
- 20 ENSURE THAT THE CONSULTANTS' WORK WOULD RESULT IN A
- 21 CONSTRUCTIVE CHANGE AND KEEP THE COSTS WITHIN REASON TO AVOID
- 22 ANY TYPE OF WHAT WE HAD EXPERIENCED WITH NAVIGANT, WHICH WAS A
- 23 COMPLETE DISASTER, WHICH I HAD ALWAYS OPPOSED?



- 1 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I BELIEVE THE WAY THIS IS STRUCTURED, TO A
- 2 LARGE EXTENT, THAT WILL FALL UNDER THE BOARD'S CONTROL.
- 3 BECAUSE IF THE COSTS ARE GETTING OUT OF LINE, GIVEN THAT THIS
- 4 POSITION REPORTS DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD AND PROVIDING THE
- 5 BOARD-- WILL BE PROVIDING THE BOARD WITH ONGOING REPORTS,
- 6 INCLUDING THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE REVIEWS, THE
- 7 STRUCTURE'S IN PLACE TO CONTROL COSTS SO THEY DON'T ESCALATE
- 8 TO SOMETHING UNMANAGEABLE.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO LET'S SAY THE RECOMMENDATIONS COME IN AND
- 11 THEY'RE JUST WHAT WE ALL NEED BUT THEY'RE NEVER IMPLEMENTED,
- 12 SO HOW DO WE ENSURE THAT THEY ARE GOING TO BE IMPLEMENTED.

13

- 14 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL THE IMPLEMENTATION AT THAT POINT YOU
- 15 WILL HOLD BOTH THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES, THE FACILITY
- 16 MANAGER-- I WOULDN'T SAY BOTH. YOU WOULD HOLD THE FACILITY
- 17 MANAGER WITH THE RECOMMENDATION EXISTS, THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH
- 18 SERVICES AND THEN MYSELF ACCOUNTABLE TO MAKING SURE THEY'RE
- 19 IMPLEMENTED.

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? ALL RIGHT. THEN
- 22 THE ITEM IS BEFORE US AS AMENDED. ANY OBJECTIONS? SO ORDERED.
- 23 I BELIEVE, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, I BELIEVE WE'RE READY TO BRING
- 24 ITEM 69 BACK? IS THAT CORRECT? THE QUESTION SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 25 GAVE TO ME WAS: WHAT WOULD I SUPPORT SO WE WON'T WASTE



- 1 ANYBODY'S TIME? OKAY? MY WILLINGNESS TO ACCEPT ANYTHING WOULD
- 2 BE I WILL ACCEPT UP TO 100 DEPUTIES, WHICH IS A LITTLE OVER
- 3 TWO PLATOONS. AND WOULD PUT THAT ON THE TABLE. UP TO 100
- 4 DEPUTIES.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LESS THAN TWO PLATOONS.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S A TAD OVER TWO PLATOONS. IT'S RIGHT
- 9 AROUND TWO PLATOONS. I WILL SUPPORT THAT. I WILL PUT IT ON THE
- 10 TABLE.

11

- 12 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: A PLATOON IS 56 PEOPLE. IT WOULD
- 13 BE 112 PEOPLE FOR TWO PLATOONS.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: TWO PLATOONS, THEN. TWO PLATOONS.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** OVER 100, THOUGH.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IT'S 112.

20

21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IN LIGHT OF WHERE WE BEGAN --

- 23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IF LIGHT OF WHERE WE BEGAN, IF I MAY, IF
- 24 I'M IN ORDER, 500 THEN TO LESS THAN 350, IN LIGHT OF THE FACT
- 25 THAT THERE IS A NEED, WHICH HAS BEEN I THINK REASONABLY



- 1 DEMONSTRATED, AND BALANCE THAT AGAINST THE DISCUSSION, IT
- 2 WOULD SEEM TO ME TO BE IN THE INTEREST OF REASONABLENESS AND
- 3 SENDING AN APPROPRIATE MESSAGE THAT WE LAND AT LEAST IN THE
- 4 AREA OF 250. AND I WOULD SO MOVE IF THERE IS A SUBSTITUTE
- 5 MOTION IN ORDER. IF THAT'S MAIN MOTION AS THE CHAIR SEES
- 6 APPROPRIATE, I AM PREPARED TO SUPPORT 250 DEPUTIES TO BE A
- 7 PART OF THE DELEGATION TO GO TO THE NATION'S CAPITOL TO
- 8 PROTECT THE PRESIDENT-ELECT AT THE PROPER TIME AND PLACE.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SUBSTITUTE MOTION BEFORE US FOR 250
- 11 DEPUTIES. IS THERE A SECOND TO THAT?

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: YES, THERE IS.

14

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ROLL CALL, PLEASE.

16

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** AYE

20

21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

22

23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AYE.

24

25 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** NO.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE MOTION FAILS. 6 7 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'RE BACK TO MY ORIGINAL RECOMMENDATION, BUT MY ONE IS TO SUPPORT -- YOU'RE TELLING ME 112 WHICH ARE TWO 9 PLATOONS HERE OR SOMEWHAT LESS THAN A HUNDRED. I DON'T KNOW 10 WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS. 11 12 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : WE CUT BACK. WE WERE TRYING TO 13 STRETCH OUT THREE PLATOONS, SO WE WENT BACK TO THE DRAWING 14 BOARD AND DELETED AND CUT DOWN TO 48, WHICH IS BELOW THE 15 16 NORMAL PLATOON STRENGTH. BUT TWO ACTUAL PLATOONS RUN 56 17 PEOPLE. 18 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND NO COST TO THE COUNTY. 20 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO COST 21 22 WHATSOEVER. I ASKED MR. FUJIOKA TO--23

SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE'RE TALKING TWO PLATOONS.

25



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I WILL MOVE 112.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY'RE GOING TO FULLY REIMBURSE YOUR
- 4 TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 112?

5

- 6 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : WELL, THEY WILL REIMBURSE UP TO
- 7 \$1500 A PERSON ON THE PLANE, SO WE HAVE TO WORK OUT THE PLANE
- 8 DETAILS. FIRST THING IS, IS TO GET CONCURRENCE OF WHAT WE'RE
- 9 GOING TO WILL SEND AND THEN GO FROM THERE.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR:: HANG ON JUST A SECOND. GO AHEAD.

12

- 13 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: JUST A POINT OF CLARIFICATION. WOULD
- 14 THERE HAVE BEEN ANY NUMBER IF THE NUMBER WAS 250?

15

16 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : NO.

- 18 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: SEE, I WAS GOING TO ASK IF IT WOULD BE
- 19 REASONABLE IF WE CAN ASK THE UNDER SHERIFF TO HAVE A
- 20 DISCUSSION ABOUT THE IMPACT IT WOULD HAVE-- WE TALKED ABOUT
- 21 TWO PLATOONS. THEN A THIRD PLATOON. AND NO YOU JUST VOTED
- 22 AGAINST WHICH I WOULD BE FIVE PLATOONS AT ONE POINT IF WE'RE
- 23 AROUND THE 250 RANGE. BUT WHAT THE IMPACT WOULD BE IF THERE
- 24 WERE FOUR PLATOONS OR THREE PLATOONS TO THE COST FACTOR AND



- 1 ALSO THE FLIGHT AND SO ON? SO THAT WE HAVE EVERYTHING CLEAR ON
- 2 THE TABLE WHEN WE MAKE THE VOTE.

3

- 4 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : IF YOU SEND TWO PLATOONS, THREE
- 5 PLATOONS OR FOUR PLATOONS, THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO COST TO THE
- 6 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND I THINK THERE IS AN ISSUE THAT
- 7 SHOULD BE CLARIFIED. NO OTHER AGENCY HAVE BEEN GIVEN THESE
- 8 E.N.B. COSTS WHATSOEVER, THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES, OF THE
- 9 4,000 OFFICERS. I THINK ACTUALLY, THAT'S NOT TRULY A COST TO
- 10 US, THAT E.N.B. IF THEY WERE HERE, THEY WOULD GET THE SAME
- 11 MONEY, ANYWAY, AND WERE GOING TO BE PAID THE SALARIES.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE BENEFITS, WE'RE GOING TO ABSORB THE
- 14 BENEFITS AS WE DO WITH OUR CONTRACT CITIES.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CONTRACT CITIES PAY FOR THEM.

17

- 18 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WHEN DEALING WITH GOVERNMENT
- 19 OFFICIALS, THERE'S A DIFFERENT RATE THAT WHEEZE CHARGE FOR
- 20 THESE BENEFITS. THERE IS A DIFFERENT RATE RATHER THAN THE
- 21 NORMAL, WHAT ARE THE PERCENTAGES.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WILL THEY PAY THAT RATE METRO? ARE THEY
- 24 GOING TO PAY THAT RATE?



1 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : THEY ARE NOT PAYING EMPLOYEE

2 BENEFITS.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THERE IS A COST. THERE IS A COST.

5

- 6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA WELL, IT IS A COST WE'RE INCURRING NOW. BUT
- 7 THE QUESTION I WAS GOING TO ASK ABOUT THE OPERATIONAL IMPACT.
- 8 NOT ONLY ON THE FINANCIAL, BUT THERE'S A LOT OF FOCUS ON
- 9 WHETHER OR NOT OUR SENDING THE DEPUTIES AND OTHER STAFF THAT
- 10 THE BACKFILL ISSUE AND THE IMPACT IT COULD HAVE ON OUR
- 11 COMMUNITIES. COULD YOU SPEAK TO THAT?

- 13 >> UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : THERE IS -- WE'VE ARRANGED FOR
- 14 THAT TO MAKE SURE WE HAD THE SAME AMOUNT OF COVERAGE AND THE
- 15 SAME ABILITY TO BACK UP. A COUPLE OF ISSUES NEED TO BE
- 16 ADDRESSED HERE. L.A.P.D., WE CALLED AND ASKED THEM. THEY
- 17 DIDN'T GO BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO PROTECT THE CITY. THAT WAS
- 18 NOT THEIR ISSUE. WE TALKED TO THE ASSISTANT CHIEF LAST NIGHT.
- 19 IT WAS A LOGISTICAL NIGHTMARE FOR THEM. THEY CHOSE NOT TO TAKE
- 20 IT ON. N.Y.P.D., WE TALKED TO THE CHIEF IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 21 WAS NOT ASKED BECAUSE IN 2004, THEY IN FACT OBJECTED TO THE
- 22 LIABILITY ASPECTS OF THE M.O.U. AND DECIDED NOT TO GO ALONG
- 23 WITH IT. SO THEY WERE NOT ASKED THIS YEAR. THAT WAS THE REASON
- 24 FOR THAT BECAUSE THEY GAVE SUCH A STRUGGLE LAST YEAR IN 2004
- 25 ON THOSE PARTICULAR ISSUES.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WAS THE LIABILITY ISSUE? 3 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : THEY DIDN'T LIKE THE LANGUAGE OF 4 5 THE CONTRACT. OUR COUNTY COUNSEL SAID THE LANGUAGE IS OKAY FOR THE LIABILITY. THE REALITY IS THERE IS NO COST. IF THOSE 6 DEPUTIES TODAY GO TO WASHINGTON, D.C., IF THEY GO TO 7 8 WASHINGTON, D.C. TODAY, WE'RE GOING TO PICK UP THOSE COSTS. IF THEY STAY HOME, WE'RE GOING TO PICK UP THOSE COSTS. 9 10 SUP. MOLINA: AND THERE ARE GOING TO BE OVER 4 MILLION PEOPLE. 11 12 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: 4 TO 5 MILLION, YES. 13 14 15 SUP. MOLINA: THAT ARE COMING INTO WASHINGTON, D.C. 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M GOING TO ASK YOU THIS. I'M SORRY, ARE 17 18 YOU-- MR. CHAIRMAN? I'D LIKE TO ASK THE COUNTY COUNSEL ON THE INDEMNIFICATION ISSUE IN THIS CONTRACT. ARE WE FULLY 19 INDEMNIFIED? ARE OUR OFFICERS FULLY INDEMNIFIED IN TERMS OF 20 21 THE CONTRACT IF THEY GO? 22 RAY FORTNER: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I BELIEVE 23

THAT THE M.O.U. CALLS FOR THE FEDERAL DEPUTIZATION OF THE

DEPUTIES. IN THAT EVENT, IF THE DEPUTY IS INJURED ON THE JOB,



- 1 HE WOULD BE, HE OR SHE WOULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE FEDERAL
- 2 WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS AND THAT SORT OF THING. BY AND
- 3 LARGE, HOWEVER, THE RISKS OF LITIGATION WOULD BE BORNE BY EACH
- 4 PARTY. IF WE ARE NAMED IN LITIGATION, WHILE WE COULD
- 5 ULTIMATELY REQUEST INDEMNITY FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, NOT
- 6 DEFENSE BUT INDEMNITY, IT IS PERMISSIVE RATHER THAN MANDATORY.
- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PERMISSIVE RATHER THAN MANDATORY FOR THE
- 9 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?
- 11 RAY FORTNER: ON THE PART OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THAT'S
- 12 CORRECT.

7

10

13

18

20

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IF ONE OF OUR OFFICERS GETS INVOLVED IN
- 15 AN ALTERCATION AND IS SUED, WE MAY VERY WELL-- AND WE ARE
- 16 WRONG, FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT OR THE COURT FINDS THAT WE ARE
- 17 WRONG, WE MAY END UP BEING LIABLE FOR THE COSTS?
- 19 RAY FORTNER: THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.
- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S A COST THAT I WAS NOT AWARE OF. A
- 22 POTENTIAL COST I WAS NOT AWARE OF. BUT THANK YOU, MR. WALDIE,
- 23 FOR BRINGING THAT UP.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. BEFORE US, THEN, IF
- 2 THERE'S ANY CONVERSATION, I PUT TWO PLATOONS OUT THERE FOR
- 3 APPROVAL. IF THERE'S A SECOND TO THAT? FOR TWO PLATOONS?

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT IS THE HARD NUMBER YOU ARE
- 6 CONTEMPLATING GOING IF TWO PLATOONS-- ?

7

- 8 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: 112. 56 PEOPLE PER PLATOON, 112
- 9 PEOPLE.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT'S A HARD NUMBER?

12

13 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE : YES, SIR.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WOULD WE BE APPROVING HERE?**

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHAT WE'RE APPROVING IS OUR WILLINGNESS TO
- 18 ASSIST THE UNITED STATES IN PROTECTION OF--

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND, BUT IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT
- 21 WAS BEFORE US TODAY. WHAT WAS BEFORE US TODAY IS THE AGREEMENT
- 22 OF THE REIMBURSEMENT OF THE CHARTERING OF AN AIRCRAFT. IT WAS
- 23 NOT TO APPROVE THE NUMBER OF PERSONNEL. IS THAT CORRECT?

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT COST--



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW, I HEAR YOU. BUT I WANT TO GET A

3 LEGAL UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE'RE ACTUALLY DOING.

4

- 5 RAY FORTNER: WHAT IS BEFORE YOU TODAY IS BOTH THE APPROVAL OF
- 6 THE COST OF THE AIR FARE, AUTHORIZATION OF THE AUDITOR TO PAY
- 7 THE CHARTER FARE AND IN ADDITION AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO THE
- 8 M.O.U. BECAUSE IT HAS THE STAFFING AND THE REIMBURSEMENT
- 9 REQUIREMENTS IN IT THAT MUST BE A CONTRACT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE M.O.U. DOES SPECIFY THE NUMBER OF
- 12 OFFICERS?

13

14 RAY FORTNER: I DON'T KNOW THAT IT IS SET FORTH--

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IN THE LETTER IT IS SET FORTH.

17

- 18 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: NO, I DON'T THINK THE NUMBER IS
- 19 IN THE M.O.U.

20

- 21 RAY FORTNER: THE NUMBER HAS BEEN SOMEWHAT OF A MOVING TARGET.
- 22 IT HAS BEEN EXPRESSED IN LETTERS THAT WOULD BE INTEGRATED INTO
- 23 THIS AGREEMENT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN YOU MAKE-- BECAUSE MY OUESTION IS WHEN
- 2 YOU MAKE THAT AMENDMENT, WHICH I UNDERSTAND YOU WANT TO MAKE,
- 3 HOW IS THAT BINDING? HOW DO WE MAKE SURE THAT THAT'S WHAT--
- 4 THAT YOU GET WHAT YOU WANTED?

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I THINK THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY
- 7 SLASH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND THE METROPOLITAN POLICE
- 8 WOULD BE FOR TWO PLATOONS, 112 INDIVIDUALS; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 9 THE NUMBERS WOULD CHANGE TO REFLECT WHAT WE'RE AUTHORIZED.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE WERE NO NUMBERS IN THE AGREEMENT.

12

- 13 RAY FORTNER: THE AGREEMENT COVERS THE OPERATING STRUCTURE.
- 14 WHATEVER NUMBER IS ULTIMATELY ACCEPTED--

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL I'M SAYING, RAY, IS THAT THE NUMBER--
- 17 THIS IS SO SIMPLE. WHEN THEY WERE AT 347, THERE WAS NOTHING IN
- 18 THE AGREEMENT THAT SAID IT WAS GOING TO BE 347. THERE IS
- 19 NOTHING IN THE AGREEMENT NOW THAT WOULD SAY IT'S 112.
- 20 SOMEWHERE YOU'VE GOT TO BE ABLE-- IF YOU WANT THIS TO BE 112,
- 21 YOU'VE GOT TO SAY IT'S 112 AND NOT LET THEM USE THEIR
- 22 DISCRETION TO SEND--

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE WOULD AMEND THE M.O.U. TO AUTHORIZE TWO
- 25 PLATOONS, 112 INDIVIDUALS.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND IF 112 IS NOT IN THE M.O.U., IT WOULD BE

3 INSERTED IN THE M.O.U. OF 112.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: BECAUSE THAT WOULD COVER ALL THE COSTS.
- 6 THAT'S WHAT THEY'D BE PAYING FOR IS THE 112, NOT THE 3--
- 7 THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GIVE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT MONEY FOR
- 8 356 DEPUTIES WHEN WE'RE ONLY SENDING 112.

9

- 10 RAY FORTNER: THE SPECIFIC NUMBER COULD BE SET FORTH IN A
- 11 SEPARATE LETTER SIGNED BY THE SHERIFF WITH YOUR AUTHORITY AND
- 12 THE M.P.D., AND THAT WOULD BE A PART OF THIS CONTRACT THAT
- 13 WOULD GOVERN. MR. GROSSMAN, ARE YOU--?

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: A POINT OF INFORMATION ON PLATOON. YOUR
- 16 INFORMATION HAS 48 PER PLATOON THAT WE HAVE BEEN. THAT'S 96..

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THIS IS BASED ON A THREE-PLATOON OPERATION
- 19 PIECE WHERE THEY REDUCED THE PLATOONS BY SIX INDIVIDUALS.

- 21 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: WE WANTED TO SEND THREE
- 22 PLATOONS, HALF THE ONES WE HAD ORIGINALLY PROMISED THEM. SO WE
- 23 CUT THE NUMBERS DOWN TO DO THAT. BUT THE ORIGINAL PLATOON, AS
- 24 IT SHOULD BE, IS 56 PEOPLE. YOU NEED TO KNOW SOMETHING HERE,
- 25 THOUGH. I WAS JUST ADVISED BY COMMANDER GROSSMAN. WASHINGTON



- 1 WILL NOT CHANGE OR ALTER THE M.O.U. THE AGREEMENT FOR 112 HAS
- 2 TO COME VIA LETTER TO US SAYING THAT'S WHAT WE WILL PROVIDE
- 3 AND THAT'S ALL WE WILL PROVIDE. AND WE WILL PROVIDE THAT
- 4 LETTER FOR YOUR INFORMATION TO SEE THAT.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO THEN LET'S CLARIFY THE MOTION. SO
- 7 THE MOTION BASICALLY IS THAT THIS BOARD AUTHORIZES THE SHERIFF
- 8 TO SUBMIT A LETTER TO THE METRO POLICE THAT WE WILL SEND TWO
- 9 PLATOONS, 56 EACH. AND THAT WE WILL GET FULL REIMBURSEMENT FOR
- 10 TRAVEL AND OF COURSE THEIR TIME THERE. AND THAT IS WHAT THIS
- 11 BOARD HAS AUTHORIZED THE SHERIFF TO CARRY OUT.

12

- 13 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: YES, AND THEN THE COST OF THE
- 14 AIRCRAFT.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: THE COST OF THE AIRCRAFT REIMBURSEMENT.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH AIRCRAFT?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S PINK. I HEARD IT'S A PINK AIRCRAFT.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO, IS IT THE \$553,000 AIRCRAFT?

- 24 UNDER SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: NO, WE'RE LOOKING AT-- WE'RE
- 25 WORKING WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO ADJUST THE NUMBER,



- 1 BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY THEY AUTHORIZED 533 NO MORE THAN AND UP TO
- 2 \$1,500 A PERSON. SO WE HAVE TO WORK WITH THEM TO ADJUST THAT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: IS THERE A SECOND TO THE MOTION?
- 5 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH SECONDS. ROLL CALL.

6

7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ALL RIGHT. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: AYE.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS? ABSTAINING FROM
- 12 THE VOTE. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO.

15

16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE.

19

20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR SUPERVISOR KNABE.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: AYE.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE MOTION PASSES.



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, YOU ARE STILL UP.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: YES. AND I HAVE ONE OTHER ITEM, MR. CHAIRMAN. I
- 4 HAVE A MOTION THAT I'D LIKE TO PASS OUT. AND I'D LIKE TO READ
- 5 IN. IT'S FOR A REPORT. HOPEFULLY BACK AT OUR JANUARY 6TH
- 6 MEETING. "PRESIDENT-ELECT OBAMA HAS PLEDGED TO INITIATE A
- 7 FEDERAL RECOVERY PROGRAM THAT WILL INCLUDE MASSIVE INVESTMENT
- 8 IN READY-TO-GO INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, GREEN ENERGY
- 9 INITIATIVES AND ELECTRONIC MEDICAL RECORDS MODERNIZATION.
- 10 WHILE THE CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF PROJECTS IS NOT YET
- 11 ESTABLISHED, CONGRESS HAS BEGUN TO WORK ON AN ECONOMIC
- 12 RECOVERY LEGISLATION AND AN ENACTMENT IS EXPECTED EARLY AFTER
- 13 PRESIDENT OBAMA IS INAUGURATED. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
- 14 SHOULD MAXIMIZE PARTICIPATION IN EACH CATEGORY OF THE ECONOMIC
- 15 RECOVERY PACKAGE BOTH TO ENHANCE OUR LOCAL ECONOMY AND TO
- 16 IMPROVE COUNTY ROADS, FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS. I THEREFORE
- 17 MOVE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.E.O. ALONG WITH THE
- 18 ASSISTANCE OF THE DEPARTMENTS, OUR LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATES AND
- 19 BOARD OFFICES TO REPORT BACK AT THE BOARD MEETING ON JANUARY
- 20 6TH WITH A FINAL LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND INITIATIVES THAT
- 21 ARE MOST LIKELY TO QUALIFY FOR FUNDING BY THE FEDERAL ECONOMIC
- 22 RECOVERY LEGISLATION AND A LEGISLATIVE STRATEGY TO MAXIMIZE
- 23 FUNDING FOR THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PROJECTS. " THAT IS BEFORE
- 24 US. I SO MOVE.

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THE CHAIR WILL SECOND THAT. WITHOUT 1 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 2 3 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. THOSE ARE ALL MY ITEMS. AND I HAVE NO 4 5 OTHER ADJOURNMENTS. 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, YOU'RE UP 8 NEXT. 9 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I'LL PLEASE YOU, MR. CHAIR, WITH NO ITEMS 10 11 TODAY. 12 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NO ADJOURNMENTS OR ANYTHING? 14 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF. 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, YOU'RE UP. 17 18 THIRD DISTRICT? 19 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN? 20 21 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES.



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: JUST ONE ITEM IN TERMS OF ADJOURNMENTS IF
- 2 I MAY, THAT WAS CALLED TO MY ATTENTION. I'LL PROCEED WITH YOUR
- 3 PERMISSION.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. GO RIGHT AHEAD.

6

- 7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF EARL
- 8 PORTLOCK OFFICE OF FATHER OF CITY ATTORNEY STAFF MEMBER, ZNA
- 9 HOUSTON, WHO RECENTLY PASSED ON NOVEMBER 10 AT THE AGE OF 82.
- 10 HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS DAUGHTERS, ZNA AND L'TANYA
- 11 ALONG WITH A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. THANK YOU, MR.
- 12 CHAIRMAN.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE THREE ADJOURNING MOTIONS, ACTUALLY.
- 17 FIRST SEYMOUR NEWMAN, LONG TIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT AND
- 18 PAST PRESIDENT OF TEMPLE ADAT ARI EL IN THE SAN FERNANDO
- 19 VALLEY, WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE
- 20 EVELYN, TWO DAUGHTERS, JOHANNA NEWMAN AND HILDE LYDDAN, AS
- 21 WELL AS FOUR GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY
- 22 OF MILTON MCAULEY, LONG TIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT, A
- 23 GUIDING AND PATRON SAINT OF GENERATIONS OF WILDERNESS HIKERS
- 24 IN THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS, WHO PASSED WAY AT HIS CANOGA
- 25 PARK HOME AT THE AGE OF 89. HE WROTE AND SELF-PUBLISHED HIS



- 1 FIRST BOOK "HIKING TRAILS OF THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS" IN
- 2 1980. HE WENT ON TO PUBLISH SIX MORE BOOKS ON HIKING AND
- 3 WILDLIFE IN THE MOUNTAINS INCLUDING A VOLUME ON WILDFLOWERS
- 4 WHICH HE ILLUSTRATED, CONSIDERED BY MANY TO BE THE LAST WORD
- 5 ON THE SUBJECT. HE WAS A GIANT IN THE FIELD OF CONSERVATION
- 6 AND IN HIKING IN THE OUTDOORS, ESPECIALLY THE WESTERN PART OF
- 7 OUR COUNTY. FOUGHT VERY HARD TO ACQUIRE THE BACKBONE TRAIL,
- 8 WHICH IS A 60-MILE STRETCH OF TRAIL THAT TRAVERSES THE RIDGE
- 9 LINES OF THE SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS OF WILL ROGERS PARK TO
- 10 POINT MUGU IN VENTURA COUNTY. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 66
- 11 YEARS, MAXINE, TWO DAUGHTERS, PAT RAMOLO AND BARBARA FRISK,
- 12 SOUTHERN WILLIAM MCAULEY, EIGHT GRANDCHILDREN AND SEVEN GREAT
- 13 GRANDCHILDREN. AND I ALSO LEARNED THIS MORNING AND I'M TRYING
- 14 TO FIND IT HERE, THAT THE DAUGHTER OF A VERY CLOSE FRIEND OF
- 15 MINE GOING BACK TO HIGH SCHOOL DAYS PASSED AWAY. THE DAUGHTER
- 16 OF BARRY RUBE, A CONSTITUENT OF MINE FOR MANY YEARS. I CAN'T
- 17 FIND IT ON MY COMPUTER BUT I WILL GET THE INFORMATION TO YOU.
- 18 HIS DAUGHTER HAD COMPLICATIONS RELATED TO DIABETES, AND VERY
- 19 TRAGIC AND SUDDEN PASSING. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THOSE ARE
- 20 MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS.

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE ANY ITEMS?

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, I DO.

25

21



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK THE DIRECTOR OF PROBATION
- 4 DEPARTMENT TO COME FORWARD? CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER, I'M
- 5 SORRY. MR. TAYLOR? WHERE IS JAN TAKADA? IS HE GOING TO BE THE
- 6 ONE, BECAUSE HE KNOWS ABOUT-- HERE'S THE DEAL, MEMBERS. I
- 7 THINK THIS IS ONE THAT THIS CAME TO MY ATTENTION SINCE THE
- 8 POSTING OF THE AGENDA. THANK YOU. THIS IS KIND OF A TIME-
- 9 SENSITIVE ISSUE. AND IF THERE'S GOING TO BE ANY KIND OF
- 10 DIRECTION, I'LL MAKE THE MOTION TO MAKE THE FINDING. BUT I
- 11 JUST WANT TO GET A QUICK DISCUSSION ON THIS. THERE IS A GRANT
- 12 THAT'S BEEN POSTED BY C.S.A., WHAT'S THE ACRONYM STAND FOR
- 13 NOW?

14

15 ROBERT TAYLOR: THE CORRECTIONAL STANDARDS AUTHORITY.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CORRECTIONAL STANDARDS AUTHORITY. IT'S A
- 18 FORMER BOARD OF CORRECTIONS, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS THAT I
- 19 USED TO SERVE ON. IT'S A \$35 MILLION GRANT, AVAILABLE TO LARGE
- 20 COUNTIES, CORRECT? WHY DON'T YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THIS IS INSTEAD
- 21 OF ME?

- 23 ROBERT TAYLOR: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. UNDER SENATE BILL 81,
- 24 THERE IS A FACILITIES GRANT THAT'S AVAILABLE FOR ALL COUNTIES
- 25 IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THE C.S.A. HAS DETERMINED THAT THE



- 1 ALLOCATION FOR THIS \$100 MILLION GRANT WILL BE SORT OF A
- 2 SOLEMN AND KIND OF JUDGMENT. THEY'RE SPLITTING IT INTO THIRDS.
- 3 SO 1/3 IS AVAILABLE FOR SMALL COUNTIES. 1/3 IS AVAILABLE FOR
- 4 MEDIUM SIZED COUNTY. AND ANOTHER THIRD IS AVAILABLE FOR LARGE
- 5 COUNTIES. SO OUR PART OF IT COULD BE BETWEEN \$33, 35 MILLION
- 6 FOR THE LARGE COUNTIES. THIS IS ALL PART OF THE SENATE BILL
- 7 81, WHICH REALLY HAD TO DO WITH A DECISION TO SEND SOME OF THE
- 8 MINORS THAT WERE HOUSED IN STATE INSTITUTIONS BACK TO
- 9 COUNTIES. PART IS THAT THIS GRANT WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE SO
- 10 THAT COUNTIES COULD INCREASE THEIR FACILITY CAPACITY TO HANDLE
- 11 THAT POPULATION. SO WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY RIGHT NOW TO APPLY
- 12 FOR THAT GRANT, FOR A PART OF IT OR FOR ALL OF IT. I AM AWARE
- 13 THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL OTHER COUNTIES THAT ARE LIKELY SITUATED
- 14 AS LARGE COUNTIES THAT WILL BE APPLYING FOR THE GRANT,
- 15 INCLUDING ALAMEDA COUNTY.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. AND THE ISSUE FOR ME, I HOPE IT'S FOR
- 18 THE REST OF THE BOARD, TOO, IS THAT WE OUGHT TO MAKE EVERY
- 19 EFFORT TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS IS IN OUR BEST INTEREST AND
- 20 NOT FORGO THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPLY FOR THIS MONEY AND THE
- 21 DEADLINE IS JANUARY 6TH, WHICH IS THE DAY WE COME BACK AFTER
- 22 THE FIRST OF THE YEAR. AND SO THERE ARE ISSUES THAT MR.
- 23 CAMPBELL AND MR. TAKADA HAVE SHARED WITH MR. TAYLOR AND WITH
- 24 US, ISSUES OF COLLATERALIZATION OF THE PROPERTY THAT THEY
- 25 REQUIRE, ALL KINDS OF THINGS THAT MAY BE REQUIRED. BUT WE



- 1 DON'T KNOW ALL THE FACTS AS WE SIT HERE TODAY. AND WHAT I
- 2 WANTED TO BE SURE IS THAT WHILE WE'RE --DURING THE
- 3 CHRISTMAS/NEW YEAR'S VACATION PERIOD HERE, THAT WE AUTHORIZE
- 4 YOU TO PROCEED TO MAKE AN APPLICATION FOR THIS, UNLESS IT'S AN
- 5 ABSOLUTE DEAD BANG LOSER FOR US, OR THERE'S SOMETHING THAT
- 6 DOESN'T-- THAT IS A POISON PILL IN THIS THING FOR THE COUNTY,
- 7 AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIR, IF NECESSARY, TO SIGN WHATEVER
- 8 APPLICATION NEEDS TO BE SIGNED DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME, I'D
- 9 RATHER MAKE AN APPLICATION, TRY TO WORK OUT -- HAVE THE OPTION
- 10 TO MAKE THE APPLICATION, EVEN MAKE THE APPLICATION, WORK OUT
- 11 THE ISSUES THAT WE MAY HAVE ON IDENTIFYING A PROPERTY THAT WE
- 12 NEED TO COLLATERALIZE OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS THE STATE MAY HAVE
- 13 FOR US. AND THEN IF IT DOESN'T WORK FOR US, TO PULL BACK
- 14 RATHER THAN NOT GET INTO IT AT ALL. I SERVED ON THE BOARD OF
- 15 CONTROL. I DID THAT, TOO. ON THE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS FOR A
- 16 NUMBER OF YEARS. AND I KNOW HOW HARD IT IS TO GET THESE FUNDS.
- 17 AND THE COMPETITION, WE MAY NOT GET ANY OF IT DEPENDING ON
- 18 WHAT THE OTHER PROPOSALS ARE. BUT I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD
- 19 SURRENDER BEFORE WE EVEN GET INTO THE ARENA. SO I'D LIKE TO
- 20 PROPOSE THAT WE AUTHORIZE -- THIS CAME TO THE ATTENTION OF THE
- 21 BOARD AFTER THE POSTING OF THE AGENDA-- THAT WE AUTHORIZE THE
- 22 CHAIR OF THE BOARD TO-- WE DIRECT YOU, THE C.E.O. AND THE
- 23 PROBATION CHIEF TO WORK TOGETHER TO TRY TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE
- 24 AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIR OF THE BOARD TO SIGN WHATEVER
- 25 DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO MAKE AN APPLICATION. LET'S JUST SAY



- 1 CONSISTENT WITH PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS WE'VE MADE FOR BOARD OF
- 2 CORRECTIONS OR C.S.A. TYPES OF FUNDING. AND YOU CAN KEEP US
- 3 POSTED DURING THE HOLIDAY IF THERE'S ANYTHING CRUCIAL. BUT
- 4 DOES THAT MAKE SENSE TO YOU?

5

- 6 ROBERT TAYLOR: YES. IT MAKES SENSE. AND WE CAN DO THAT. WE
- 7 CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN I WOULD MAKE THAT MOTION. AND THE
- 10 FINDING.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. MAKE A FINDING FIRST?

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO MOVED.

15

- 16 RAY FORTNER: CHAIRMAN, YOU HAVE TO FIND THAT IT CAME TO THE
- 17 ATTENTION SUBSEQUENT TO THE POSTING AND THAT THERE IS A NEED
- 18 TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION. AND GIVEN THAT THERE ARE THREE
- 19 MEMBERS, YOU WOULD WANT TO MAKE IT UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU FOR THAT ADVICE. WE APPRECIATE
- 22 THAT. NOW, WE FOUND THAT. SO ORDERED. AND THEN THE MOTION AS
- 23 ARTICULATED BY ZEV IS BEFORE US, THEN. AND MOVED BY
- 24 YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- ORDERED.

22

23

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 ROBERT TAYLOR: THANK YOU. 3 SPEAKER: THANK YOU. 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT DOESN'T INCLUDE ME KEEPING ZEV POSTED 6 DURING THE HOLIDAYS, DOES IT? 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DON'T CALL COLLECT. THE RAPE KIT ISSUE, CAN 9 WE-- WHAT NUMBER IS THAT? 70. 10 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ITEM 70? 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S A REPORT. HERE THEY COME. BEFORE YOU 14 15 GET STARTED, LET ME JUST SAY TO THE BOARD SECRETARY, THE NAME 16 OF IN WHOM I ADJOURNED JENNIFER RUBE. 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SIR, IDENTIFY. 18 19 EARL SHIELDS: HELLO I'M COMMANDER EARL SHIELDS FROM THE 20 21 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, COMMANDER OF TECHNICAL SERVICE DIVISION.

25 WE WERE CONDUCTING. AND THE THREE PHASES REAL BRIEFLY WERE

AND I'M HERE TO GIVE YOU AN UPDATE, A STATUS REPORT REGARDING

THE SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT ISSUE. I APPEARED BEFORE THE BOARD ON

NOVEMBER 12TH. AND AT THAT TIME OUTLINED A THREE-PHASED STUDY



- 1 PHASE ONE, COUNT THE NUMBER OF KITS WE HAVE, PHASE TWO,
- 2 DETERMINE HOW MANY OF THOSE KITS WERE UNTESTED. AND PHASE
- 3 THREE WAS TO DETERMINE THE STATUS OF THOSE KITS SO THAT WE
- 4 COULD PRIORITIZE THEM FOR TESTING. AS OF THE NOVEMBER 12TH
- 5 MEETING WE HAD FINISHED PHASE ONE, WHICH WAS TO COUNT THE
- 6 KITS. WE HAVE NOW, SINCE NOVEMBER 12TH, FINISHED PHASE 2,
- 7 WHICH WAS TO DETERMINE HOW MANY UNTESTED KITS THERE WERE, AND
- 8 THAT IS IN THE LETTER THAT WAS PROVIDED TO YOU, THAT'S 4,727
- 9 AND WE ARE NOW IN THE MIDST OF PHASE THREE, WHICH IS, WHAT WE
- 10 DID WAS WE TOOK ALL THE UNTESTED KITS, WE DIVIDED THOSE CASES
- 11 UP BY WHOSE CASES THEY WERE, SENT THEM OUT TO ALL THE UNITS IN
- 12 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THAT THOSE CASES BELONGED TO AND TO
- 13 SPECIAL VICTIMS' BUREAU, AND ALSO TO ALL THE INDEPENDENT
- 14 AGENCIES ALONG WITH A QUESTIONNAIRE. BASED ON THE RETURN OF
- 15 INFORMATION THAT'S INCLUDED IN THAT QUESTIONNAIRE, WE WOULD
- 16 THEN BE ABLE TO DETERMINE THE STATUS OF THE CASE, AND FROM
- 17 THAT BE ABLE TO DETERMINE HOW TO PRIORITIZE THOSE CASES. THE
- 18 FOUR MAIN CATEGORIES WE'RE USING RIGHT NOW INITIALLY, AS THESE
- 19 THINGS ARE JUST NOW STARTING TO COME IN, THIS IS THE WEEK THAT
- 20 WE ASKED TO HAVE THEM BACK, SO THEY'RE JUST NOW STARTING TO
- 21 COME IN IN ANY NUMBER. WE'RE LOOKING AS MAIN CATEGORIES OR
- 22 CASES, THAT HAVE NO SUSPECT NAME, THAT ARE UNADJUDICATED.
- 23 CASES THAT HAVE SUSPECT'S NAME THAT ARE UNADJUDICATED, CASES
- 24 THAT WERE DECLINED FOR FILING, AND CASES THAT ARE ADJUDICATED.
- 25 WHAT WE'RE ALSO DOING WITH THOSE IS SINCE WE ARE LOOKING AT A



- 1 TIMEFRAME OF COMPLETING THIS STUDY IN MID-JANUARY-- WE HAVE
- 2 THE HOLIDAYS COMING UP, SOME ISSUES THERE-- BUT WE'RE LOOKING
- 3 AT THIS COMPLETELY DONE BY MID-JANUARY. SO WHAT WE'RE DOING IN
- 4 THE INTERIM AS THESE QUESTIONNAIRES ARE COMING IN, WE'RE
- 5 PULLING THEM OUT INDIVIDUALLY AND SCREENING THEM TO FIND THE
- 6 CASES WHICH RELATE DIRECTLY TO THOSE COMING UP TO A TWO-YEAR
- 7 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS AND THOSE COMING UP ON A 10-YEAR
- 8 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS SO WE CAN EVALUATE THOSE QUESTIONNAIRES
- 9 AS THEY COME IN AND WE CAN MOVE THOSE THAT ARE COMING UP ON
- 10 ANY KIND OF STATUTORY LIMITS, WE CAN MOVE THOSE TO THE FRONT
- 11 OF THE LINE RATHER THAN WAITING FOR THE END RESULT OF PHASE 3.
- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GO AHEAD.

12

14

17

- 15 EARL SHIELDS: THEN I WAS GOING TO MOVE ON TO OTHER THINGS. DO
- 16 YOU HAVE A QUESTION ON PHASE 3?
- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL WAIT UNTIL YOU'RE DONE.
- 20 EARL SHIELDS: IN ADDITION TO THAT STUDY, WE'VE ALSO TAKEN
- 21 SOME OTHER STEPS, ARE IN THE PROCESS OF TAKING SOME OTHER
- 22 STEPS. THAT'S INCLUDED ON PAGE 2 OF THE LETTER. THAT INCLUDES,
- 23 AS BEFORE, WE HAD THE DEPARTMENT POLICY THAT WAS MODIFIED TO
- 24 MANDATE THE IMMEDIATE TRANSFER OF THOSE SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS TO
- 25 THE LAB AND THE LAB WOULD ASSUME COMPLETE CONTROL OVER THOSE



- 1 KITS. ALSO, A MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE WAS ESTABLISHED IN
- 2 SCIENTIFIC SERVICES BUREAU REQUIRING THE EXAMINATION OF ALL
- 3 SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS THAT THEY RECEIVE. THE FIELD OPERATIONS
- 4 DIRECTIVE WAS MODIFIED TO REQUIRE AN ANNUAL INSPECTION OF ALL
- 5 APPLICABLE UNITS TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY'RE COMPLYING WITH THE
- 6 SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIMS' BILL OF RIGHTS ACT. THAT IS FIELD
- 7 OPERATIONS DIRECTIVE 0507. AND CURRENTLY WE'RE CONDUCTING A
- 8 DEPARTMENT-WIDE AUDIT TO DETERMINE OUR PRIOR COMPLIANCE WITH
- 9 THAT SECTION TO SEE WHERE WE ARE. AND WE HAVE ALSO SENT A
- 10 LETTER OUT TO ALL INDEPENDENT POLICE AGENCIES ADVISING THEM OF
- 11 THE SHERIFF'S NEW POLICY IN THE HANDLING AND PROCESSING OF
- 12 KITS. AND I AM ALSO GOING TO BE ATTENDING THE JANUARY 15TH
- 13 MEETING OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CHIEFS OF POLICE ASSOCIATION
- 14 WHERE I WILL AGAIN BE EXPLAINING THE NEW POLICY TO THEM AND
- 15 ANSWERING OUESTIONS THAT THEY HAVE. WE ARE ALSO IN THE PROCESS
- 16 OF PUTTING TOGETHER A PLAN OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO ADDRESS NOT
- 17 ONLY THE TESTING OF ALL THOSE UNTESTED KITS THAT WE HAVE, BUT
- 18 ALSO HOW ARE WE GOING TO HANDLE THE NUMBER OF KITS THAT ARE
- 19 CURRENTLY COMING IN? AND THAT PLAN, WE SUSPECT THAT WE WILL
- 20 HAVE THAT DONE WITHIN JUST A MATTER OF A COUPLE OF WEEKS. IT
- 21 WILL INVOLVE SIGNIFICANT REQUESTS FOR FUNDING IN BOTH
- 22 OUTSOURCING AND IN STAFFING. AND OUR PLAN AT THIS POINT IS
- 23 ONCE THAT PLAN IS PUT TOGETHER IN DRAFT FORM, TO TAKE IT TO
- 24 THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE, GO OVER THAT PLAN WITH THEM, GET THEIR



- 1 INPUT, MODIFY THAT PLAN, IF NEEDED, AND MAKE THAT PART OF OUR
- 2 DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET REQUEST FOR THE UPCOMING YEAR.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. FIRST OF ALL, LET ME THANK YOU FOR
- 5 YOUR RESPONSIVENESS TO THE ORIGINAL REQUEST AND TO THE
- 6 SUBSEQUENT DEADLINES WE PUT ON YOU TO GET BACK WITH THAT
- 7 INFORMATION. YOU CALLED THEM AS YOU SAW THEM. YOUR PEOPLE HAVE
- 8 DONE A GREAT JOB. AND YOU'RE MOVING. AND WE APPRECIATE THAT.
- 9 WE DON'T WANT TO HOLD YOU UP IN ANY WAY. I'M GOING TO BE VERY
- 10 BRIEF. I HAD A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. NUMBER ONE, DO YOU
- 11 GENERALLY HAVE ANY IDEA HOW THE SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS THAT YOU
- 12 HAVE FOUND ARE BREAKING DOWN AS TO DATE?

- 14 EARL SHIELDS: WE HAVE SOME IDEAS FROM THE DATE OF THE KITS.
- 15 HOWEVER, IN TERMS OF USING THAT INFORMATION FOR ANY
- 16 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS, WE'RE VERY HESITANT RIGHT NOW BECAUSE
- 17 IT'S PRELIMINARY. THOSE ARE UNVERIFIED NUMBERS. UNTIL WE GET
- 18 THE SURVEYS BACK, WHICH THESE SURVEYS ARE VERY COMPLEX. IT'S A
- 19 LOT OF HAND RESEARCHING IS WHAT I'M SAYING. BECAUSE WE'RE
- 20 ASKING NOT ONLY-- WE'RE ASKING WHAT THE STATUS OF THAT CASE
- 21 IS, BUT WHAT HAPPENED? WHAT KIND OF INFORMATION HAVE YOU GOT
- 22 ON THIS CASE? AND SOME OF THESE CASES GO BACK YEARS AND YEARS.
- 23 FOR EXAMPLE, SPECIAL VICTIMS BUREAUS, WHICH HANDLES
- 24 PREDOMINANTLY SEX TYPE CRIMES, THEY HAVE A HUGE NUMBER, ABOUT
- 25 3,700 OF THOSE CASES WHICH BELONG TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,



- 1 A DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE. THEY HAVE GOT FOUR PEOPLE WORKING
- 2 FULL-TIME ON THIS PROJECT ALONE TRYING TO GATHER THAT
- 3 INFORMATION. AS YOU HAVE CASES THAT ARE COMPLETELY ADJUDICATED
- 4 IN THOSE NUMBERS, OBVIOUSLY STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ISSUES
- 5 DON'T APPLY IF THE CASE IS COMPLETELY ADJUDICATED. SO WE'RE
- 6 VERY HESITANT TO RELEASE ANY NUMBERS UNTIL WE GET ALL THOSE
- 7 SURVEY FORMS BACK AND WE CAN LOOK ACTUALLY WHAT THE STATUS OF
- 8 THESE CASES ARE.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN DO YOU THINK THAT WILL BE? WHEN WILL
- 11 YOU COMPLETE THAT SURVEY?

12

- 13 EARL SHIELDS: LIKE I SAID, WE'RE LOOKING AT COMPLETING PHASE
- 14 THREE, WHICH IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE, MIDDLE PART OF
- 15 JANUARY.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT I'D LIKE TO-- YOU'RE
- 18 GOING TO PRIORITIZE, I THOUGHT I HEARD YOU SAY.

19

- 20 EARL SHIELDS: WE ARE GOING TO PRIORITIZE AS WE GO ALONG. WE
- 21 WON'T WAIT.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IS THE NUMBER
- 24 ONE PRIORITY FOR--



- 1 EARL SHIELDS: CORRECT. BOTH THE TWO-YEAR AND THE 10-YEAR.
- 2 WE'RE LOOKING AT BOTH OF THOSE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT GOING TO TAKE ANY MORE TIME. CAN WE
- 5 GET A STATUS REPORT, SAY IN THE FOURTH MEETING OF JANUARY,
- 6 WHATEVER DATE THAT IS? THAT WOULD GIVE YOU MORE THAN SIX
- 7 WEEKS. JANUARY 13TH OR THE 20TH WAS CANCELED TODAY.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** 27TH.

10

11 EARL SHIELDS: THAT WOULD BE FINE. TWENTY-SEVENTH?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GREAT. THANK YOU.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE, CHAIR:** CAN I JUST ASK ONE QUESTION?

16

17 EARL SHIELDS: YES, SIR.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARE YOU USING PROP 69 DOLLARS TO REDUCE
- 20 THE CASELOAD?

- 22 EARL SHIELDS: YES, WE ARE. WE ARE USING PROP 69 DOLLARS. IN
- 23 FACT, WE HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED, BECAUSE THE BOARD APPROVED THE
- 24 FACT THAT WE COULD USE THAT FOR ADDITIONAL STAFFING, WE HAVE
- 25 ADDED NINE POSITIONS. WE'VE HIRED ONE. WE HAVE SEVERAL IN



- 1 BACKGROUNDS. I BELIEVE SIX OR SEVEN ARE IN BACKGROUNDS OR
- 2 EIGHT IN BACKGROUNDS. AND ONE WE'RE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF
- 3 HIRING. SO WE ARE ADDING STAFF. WE'RE USING THOSE FUNDS FOR
- 4 ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT. AND WE ARE ALSO USING SOME OF THOSE
- 5 FUNDS FOR OUTSOURCING.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I MOVE WE CAN PUT THIS OVER TO JANUARY
- 8 27TH.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 11 THANK YOU.

12

13 EARL SHIELDS: THANK YOU, SIR.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, COMMANDER. I THINK THAT'S ALL I
- 16 HAVE.

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I HAVE SEVERAL ADJOURNMENTS,
- 19 UNFORTUNATELY. FIRST OF ALL, THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 20 OFFICER JOSEPH SANDERS, THE CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL OFFICER
- 21 WHO WAS KILLED YESTERDAY MORNING ATTENDING TO A TRAFFIC
- 22 ACCIDENT ON THE EASTBOUND 60 IN HACIENDA HEIGHTS. OFFICER
- 23 SANDERS WAS A MARINE VETERAN WHO FOUGHT IN IRAQ, RETURNED FROM
- 24 DUTY IN JULY 2006, WAS WITH C.H.P. FOR ONLY ONE YEAR. HE WAS A
- 25 DEVOUT FAMILY MAN, SURVIVED BY HIS THREE BEAUTIFUL CHILDREN.



- 1 AND UNFORTUNATELY HIS WIFE IS EXPECTING THEIR FOURTH CHILD. IT
- 2 IS VERY TRAGIC. SANTA FE SPRINGS C.H.P. OFFICE SERVICES THE
- 3 MAJORITY OF MY DISTRICT OUT THERE, AND THIS IS THE THIRD
- 4 OFFICER TO DIE IN THE LINE OF DUTY SINCE 2004 IN THE 214TH
- 5 DEATH OF THE HISTORY OF THE CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL. SO OUR
- 6 THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS ARE WITH THE MEN AND WOMEN OUT OF SANTA
- 7 FE SPRINGS AND MOST IMPORTANTLY WITH THE FAMILY. SO ALL
- 8 MEMBERS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF CHIEN CHEN WHO WAS
- 9 FATHER-IN-LAW OF CERRITOS CITY COUNCIL MEMBER CAROL CHEN, WHO
- 10 PASSED AWAY RECENTLY. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, SON TONY AND
- 11 HIS WIFE CAROL, TWO GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 12 MEMORY OF YONG CHO, FATHER OF CERRITOS CITY COUNCILMEMBER, DR.
- 13 JOSEPH CHO, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 93. SURVIVED BY FIVE
- 14 CHILDREN, 13 GRANDCHILDREN AND EIGHT GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO
- 15 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF PATRICIA CLUGSTON, THE SISTER OF
- 16 MY DEAR FRIEND AND NEIGHBOR, DUANE DANNECKER, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 17 RECENTLY. SHE WILL BE SORELY MISSED BY OUR FAMILY AND FRIENDS.
- 18 SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER TWO CHILDREN AND HER BROTHER DUANE.
- 19 ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ANOTHER LONG-TIME FRIEND,
- 20 ESTELLE UNDERWOOD, A RESIDENT OF LA MIRADA WHO A VALIANT
- 21 STRUGGLE WITH CANCER. SHE WAS A LIFE LONG MEMBER OF THE
- 22 WHITTIER COMMUNITY THEATER COMMITTEE GROUP, AN ACTIVE MEMBER
- 23 OF THE WOMEN'S REPUBLICAN CLUB, IN WHITTIER AND LA MIRADA. SHE
- 24 IS SURVIVED BY HER DAUGHTER DIANE, SON TOM AND GRANDDAUGHTER.
- 25 GREAT LADY, GREAT AMERICAN. ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF THE



- 1 HONORABLE THOMAS MAYFELD, SUPERVISOR AND HE WAS CHAIRMAN OF
- 2 THE BOARD OF THE STANISLAUS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. HE
- 3 PASSED AWAY ON DECEMBER 9TH AFTER A LONG ILLNESS. HE WAS ON
- 4 THE STANISLAUS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR 16 YEARS AND
- 5 WAS A STRONG VOICE FOR AGRICULTURE. HE WAS A DEDICATED PUBLIC
- 6 SERVANT AND WE SEND OUR CONDOLENCES TO HIS WIFE ANITA AND
- 7 FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND WOULD ASK THAT ALL MEMBERS JOIN IN
- 8 THAT. ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF VICTOR EVERS, A LONG TIME
- 9 RESIDENT OF LAKEWOOD AND LONG BEACH AREA, THE FATHER OF C.E.O.
- 10 EMPLOYEE VICKIE EVERS, WHO PASSED AWAY ON DECEMBER 8TH AT THE
- 11 AGE OF 84. HE IS ONE OF 12 CHILDREN WHO GREW UP IN TENNESSEE.
- 12 HE JOINED THE MERCHANT MARINES AND SERVED HIS COUNTRY DURING
- 13 WORLD WAR II. HE VOLUNTEERED AT THE LONG BEACH RESCUE MISSION,
- 14 ST. MARIA GORETTI CHURCH AND THE KNIGHTS OF COLUMBUS. HE IS
- 15 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 56 YEARS, DOROTHY. EIGHT CHILDREN AND
- 16 THEIR SPOUSES, 14 GRANDCHILDREN, 7 SIBLINGS, NIECES AND
- 17 NEPHEWS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF CHUCK GERARD,
- 18 ANOTHER LAKEWOOD RESIDENT WHO WAS A VERY ACCOMPLISHED
- 19 MUSICIAN. HE WAS VERY ACTIVE IN THE SPANISH MUSIC GROUP AND
- 20 WORKED ON THE COMPTON INITIATIVE TO HELP REVITALIZE THE
- 21 COMMUNITY THROUGH EMANUEL REFORM CHURCH IN PARAMOUNT. HE IS
- 22 SURVIVED BY WIFE, SORAYA, THREE CHILDREN, FATHER AND
- 23 STEPMOTHER, THREE SIBLINGS AND THEIR SPOUSES. ALSO THAT WE
- 24 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JULIANNA HUERTA, WHO PASSED AWAY ON
- 25 DECEMBER 6TH AFTER A FIVE-YEAR BATTLE WITH BREAST CANCER. SHE



- 1 WAS ONLY 39 YEARS OLD. SHE WAS THE PRINCIPAL CONSULTANT TO OUR
- 2 CALIFORNIA STATE ASSEMBLY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS FOR BOTH BUDGET
- 3 AND APPROPRIATION. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER PARENTS, RAYMOND AND
- 4 ELIZABETH-ANNE, SISTER TERESA, BROTHER AS WELL AS NEPHEWS,
- 5 MICHAEL AND SHAWN. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH WOULD LIKE TO BE
- 6 ADDED TO THAT. ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF SHARON KEEHAN, WHO
- 7 WAS BORN IN LONG BEACH, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 65. SHE'S
- 8 SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND OF 44 YEARS, JAMES, CHILDREN
- 9 CHRISTINA, JAMES AND THEIR SPOUSES. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 10 MEMORY OF JOHN LAWRENCE, FIRE CAPTAIN OF THE CITY OF LOS
- 11 ANGELES WHO PASSED AWAY VERY SUDDENLY ON DECEMBER 14TH. HE IS
- 12 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, SON AND DAUGHTER, CHRISTY GUYOVICH, WHO
- 13 WAS CAPTAIN OF OUR LAKEWOOD STATION, AND HER HUSBAND TOM,
- 14 GRANDSON BRANDON. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ROGER
- 15 MARSHALL. HE IS SURVIVED SURVIVED BY HIS SONS JAMES AND
- 16 RANDALL, FIVE GRANDCHILDREN, SEVEN GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. HIS
- 17 WIFE PRECEDED HIM IN DEATH THIS PAST AUGUST. ALSO THAT WE
- 18 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ALVIN MIZOGUCHI, HE PASSED AWAY AT THE
- 19 AGE OF 66. HE SPENT OVER 44 YEARS WITH BOEING, DOUGLAS,
- 20 ROCKWELL, AND NORTHROP-GRUMMAN. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS MOTHER
- 21 SAWAYO, AND WIFE ELLEN AND CHILDREN JASON AND MAILE, THEIR
- 22 SPOUSES, GRANDCHILDREN, AND GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE
- 23 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF LEE THOMAS OLSON WHO IS SURVIVED BY HIS
- 24 WIFE CHERYL, THREE DAUGHTERS, A GRANDSON, HIS PARENTS AND
- 25 THREE SIBLINGS. WILL BE MISSED BY ALL. GREAT GUY. ALSO THAT WE



- 1 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MAURICE RONDEAU, A 90 YEAR OLD DECORATED
- 2 AIR FORCE COLONEL. HE HAD A ZEST FOR LIFE AND WILL BE
- 3 REMEMBERED FOR HIS DESIRE TO HELP PEOPLE AND MAKE THEM LAUGH.
- 4 HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF ALMOST 65 YEARS, MARY JANE, SONS
- 5 STEVE AND DAN, SIX GRANDCHILDREN AND 11 GREAT GRANDCHILDREN.
- 6 ALSO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ELIZABETH SERBELL, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 7 RECENTLY. SHE TAUGHT IN WHITTIER UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
- 8 UNTIL HER RETIREMENT. SHE WAS VERY DISTINGUISHED. SHE SERVED
- 9 ON THE WHITTIER CULTURAL ARTS COMMISSION, WAS ACTUALLY
- 10 RECOGNIZED RECENTLY BY THEM, AS WELL, TOO. SURVIVED BY THREE
- 11 DAUGHTERS, SUSAN, SHERRY AND BEVERLY. FINALLY ADJOURN IN
- 12 MEMORY OF EARL SORENSON WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY. HE SERVED
- 13 HIS COUNTRY IN WORLD WAR II AND WAS A PROFESSIONAL PILOT. AND
- 14 AFTER RETIREMENT, JUST ENJOYED FLYING IN HIS HOMEMADE AIRCRAFT
- 15 IN THE '50S. HE BUILT THE ONLY ADOBE HOUSE IN BELLFLOWER AND
- 16 IT'S STILL STANDING TODAY. HE'S SURVIVED BY HIS FOUR CHILDREN
- 17 AND THEIR SPOUSES, 12 GRANDCHILDREN AND 13 GREAT
- 18 GRANDCHILDREN. AND THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS. SO ORDERED.
- 19 OKAY. I AM GOING TO CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 23. COULD I ASK STAFF,
- 20 PLEASE, TO COME FORWARD. WELCOME.

22 KERRY SILVERSTROM: GOOD AFTERNOON. EXCUSE ME FOR MY VOICE. IT

23 JUST HAPPENED THIS MORNING.

21

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT!



1

- 2 KERRY SILVERSTROM: I'M KERRY SILVERSTROM, CHIEF DEPUTY
- 3 DIRECTOR FOR BEACHES AND HARBORS.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I HELD THE ITEM. I THINK WE HAVE SOMEONE
- 6 ELSE FROM THE PUBLIC THAT HELD THE ITEM. I'LL CALL HIM UP IN A
- 7 BIT. BUT OBVIOUSLY MY CONCERN IS THE DOCK ISSUE OUT THERE. AND
- 8 THAT THE LESSEE FAILED TO BUILD NEW DOCKS OVER THE LAST FOUR
- 9 YEARS UNDER THE PRIOR LEASE AMENDMENT. SO I REALLY WANT TO
- 10 KNOW. I KNOW THAT THEY HAVE TO PAY SO MUCH PER YEAR. AND WHILE
- 11 THAT WOULD BE NICE, I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHAT STAGE IN THE PROCESS
- 12 THEY ARE NOW.

13

- 14 KERRY SILVERSTROM: THEY ARE JUST COMMENCING THE PROCESS. WE
- 15 HAVE A PROPOSED RECONSTRUCTION PLAN.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: HAS IT BEEN APPROVED?

18

- 19 KERRY SILVERSTROM: IT HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED BY THE REGULATORY
- 20 AGENCIES, NO. BUT THERE ARE SEVERE PENALTIES IF THEY DON'T ACT
- 21 SWIFTLY.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RIGHT. I TAKE IT THE AMOUNT IS \$110,000 A
- 24 YEAR.



- 1 KERRY SILVERSTROM: IT'S \$110,000 A YEAR. THAT'S JUST FOR THE
- 2 EXTENSION. IF THEY DON'T MEET THE REQUIREMENTS TO HAVE ALL
- 3 REGULATORY APPROVALS AND PERMITS BY 2010, WITHIN 15 DAYS, BY
- 4 JULY 15TH, THEY OWE ANOTHER \$250,000. IF THEY THEN DON'T
- 5 COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION BY 2012, THEY START PAYING MONTHLY \$100
- 6 PER UNFINISHED SLIP PER MONTH.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY NEXT QUESTION.
- 9 WHAT HAPPENS AFTER 2012 IF THEY DON'T DO THAT. SO THE DOLLARS
- 10 ARE SIGNIFICANT. WHILE I'D LOVE TO HAVE THE DOLLARS, WE WANT
- 11 DOCKS.

12

- 13 KERRY SILVERSTROM: WE UNDERSTAND. THAT'S WHY WE MADE THESE
- 14 VERY ONEROUS PROVISIONS.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. NANCY MARINO SIGNED UP TO SPEAK
- 17 ON THIS? THANK YOU, KERRY, I HOPE YOU'RE FEELING BETTER.

- 19 NANCY MARINO: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, MY NAME
- 20 IS NANCY VERNON MARINO. I HAD A QUESTION HERE NOT ABOUT THE
- 21 DOCKS PER SE BECAUSE THAT SEEMS TO BE A LITTLE PREMATURE, BUT
- 22 THE FACT THAT THIS AMENDMENT ALSO UPDATES THE INSURANCE
- 23 SECTION. AND A NEW DEFINITION REFLECTS THE SUBSEQUENT SALE OF
- 24 CONDOMINIUMS AND ESTABLISHES A BASIS MORE IN CONFORMITY WITH
- 25 THE GENERAL BASIS STRUCTURE OF OTHER RECENT MARINA



- 1 TRANSACTIONS. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO TAKE A GOOD LONG LOOK AT
- 2 WHAT THIS NEW DEFINITION IS. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE IS
- 3 SOMETHING JUST KIND OF SHOVELED INTO THIS LEASE AMENDMENT THAT
- 4 IS A REALLY KEY IMPORTANT THING. I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO
- 5 LOOK THROUGH IT AND ANALYZE IT MYSELF, BUT I WOULD ASK THAT
- 6 YOU BE SURE TO DO SO. THANK YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU, NANCY. OKAY. THE ITEM IS BEFORE
- 9 US. I'LL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. WITHOUT
- 10 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. WE HAVE FOUR VOTES HERE. I'M GOING TO
- 11 CALL UP ITEM 71. ITEM 71, CALL FOR A STAFF REPORT, ANYBODY
- 12 FROM REGIONAL PLANNING HERE?

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 71, IF I COULD JUST READ THE SHORT
- 15 TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS THE COMBINED HEARING ON THE
- 16 REAPPROVAL OF PROJECT NUMBER R-2005-00234-4, COASTAL
- 17 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT 2005-00002-4, PARKING PERMIT NUMBER 2005-
- 18 00004-4 AND VARIANCE NUMBER 2005-000004-4. AND ADDITIONAL
- 19 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT C.E.Q.A. ANALYSIS,
- 20 ADOPTION OF ANY C.E.Q.A. FINDING NECESSARY TO RECERTIFY THE
- 21 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, WITH THE ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS, AND
- 22 ON THE RE-CERTIFICATION OF THE E.I.R. FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT
- 23 4201 VIA MARINA, PLAYA DEL REY ZONE DISTRICT, APPLIED FOR BY
- 24 DEL REY SHORES JOINT VENTURE, NORTH POINT VENTURE. ALL THOSE



- 1 WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY ON THIS ITEM, CAN YOU PLEASE STAND BEFORE
- 2 THE BOARD AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND TO BE SWORN IN?

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT SIGNED
- 5 UP, SO IF YOU WOULD STAND UP TO BE WORN IN.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE BEFORE THIS
- 8 BOARD DO YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE AND
- 9 NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD? THANK YOU. YOU MAY BE
- 10 SEATED.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. WE WILL FIRST CALL ON REGIONAL
- 13 PLANNING. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO SIGNED UP THE LAST
- 14 REGIONAL PLANNING. WE'LL ASK THOSE IN FAVOR TO TESTIFY, THOSE
- 15 OPPOSED. AND WE'LL GO THERE. YES, SIR.

- 17 MICHAEL TRIPP: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 18 BOARD. MY NAME IS MICHAEL TRIPP, AND I'M THE PRINCIPAL
- 19 REGIONAL PLANNING ASSISTANT WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
- 20 PLANNING. TO THE LEFT OF ME IS DENNIS HUNTER WITH THE
- 21 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I AM THE CASE PLANNER FOR HEARING
- 22 ITEM NUMBER 71. ON DECEMBER 13TH, 2006, THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 23 COMMISSION APPROVED A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, PARKING
- 24 PERMIT AND VARIANCE TO AUTHORIZE THE DEMOLITION OF AN EXISTING
- 25 202-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX AND SUBSEQUENT CONSTRUCTION OF A



- 1 544-UNIT COMPLEX IN THE RESIDENTIAL FIVE CATEGORY OF THE
- 2 MARINA DEL REY SPECIFIC PLAN. THE MARINA STRAND COLONY 2
- 3 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION APPEALED THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 4 COMMISSION'S DECISION TO YOUR BOARD AND ON MARCH 27TH, 2007
- 5 YOUR BOARD DENIED THIS APPEAL. FOLLOWING THE DENIAL, THE
- 6 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION PETITIONED THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS
- 7 ANGELES FOR A WRIT OF MANDATE TO INVALIDATE THE ENVIRONMENTAL
- 8 IMPACT REPORT. THE COURT FOUND THAT SIGNIFICANT NEW
- 9 INFORMATION WAS INCLUDED IN THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 10 REPORT FOR THE PROJECT AND THAT THIS NEW INFORMATION RELATED
- 11 TO APPROXIMATELY 25,940 CUBIC YARDS OF CUT MATERIAL HAD NOT
- 12 BEEN SUBJECT TO PRIOR PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENTS. THE COURT
- 13 DIRECTED THE COUNTY TO SET ASIDE ITS APPROVALS OF THE PROJECT
- 14 PERMITS, ITS ADOPTION OF THE STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING
- 15 CONSIDERATIONS, ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS OF FACT, MITIGATION
- 16 MONITORING PROGRAM, CONDITIONS OF PROJECT APPROVAL AND ITS
- 17 CERTIFICATION TO PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT. THE
- 18 COURT ALSO DIRECTED THAT THE NEW INFORMATION REGARDING THE
- 19 PROJECT'S RATING IMPACTS TO L.A. COUNTY LANDFILL CAPACITY AND
- 20 THE ASSOCIATED SECONDARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF _____
- 21 TRAFFIC, AIR QUALITY AND NOISE ENVIRONMENTS BE ANALYZED AND
- 22 RECIRCULATED FOR PUBLIC, AGENCY REVIEW AND COMMENTS.
- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THAT WAS SPECIFICALLY RELATED TO THE
- 25 GRATING ISSUE, IS THAT CORRECT?



- 2 MICHAEL TRIPP: THAT'S RIGHT. FOR OFF-SITE TRANSPORT OF CUT
- 3 MATERIAL. THE DRAFT ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS WAS MADE
- 4 AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC ON OCTOBER 4TH, 2008. STAFF HAS
- 5 RECEIVED 13 LETTERS IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROJECT PERIOD WITHIN
- 6 THE COMMENT PERIOD. THE FINAL ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 7 ANALYSIS ADDRESSES THE RELEVANT COMMENTS MADE IN THESE
- 8 LETTERS. AN ADDITIONAL LETTER WAS RECEIVED VIA EMAIL ON
- 9 DECEMBER 11TH FROM ROSE ZOYA, COUNSEL TO THE HOMEOWNERS'
- 10 ASSOCIATION THAT ORIGINALLY FILED SUIT AGAINST THE COUNTY.
- 11 THIS LETTER WAS RECEIVED AFTER THE COMMENT PERIOD. THE
- 12 ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING
- 13 CONSIDERATIONS HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED-- HAVE BEEN REVISED TO
- 14 ADDRESS MISS ZOYA'S LETTER, AND A COPY OF THIS HAS BEEN
- 15 PROVIDED TO THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE PRIOR TO THE HEARING. THE
- 16 SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 4201 VIA MARINA IN THE PLAYA
- 17 DEL REY ZONE DISTRICT. THE SURROUNDING LAND USES ARE: MULTI-
- 18 FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO THE NORTH, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND
- 19 OPEN SPACE TO THE EAST AND SOUTH AND MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
- 20 IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES TO THE WEST. BEFORE I CONCLUDE MY
- 21 PRESENTATION, I WOULD LIKE TO MENTION THAT STAFF HAS NOTICED A
- 22 TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN THE DRAFT ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 23 ANALYSIS. ON THE PAGE 3.03-3 IT STATES THAT THE DISPOSAL OF
- 24 THE PROJECT'S EXCESS CUT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY .003 PERCENT
- 25 OF THE REMAINING LANDFILL CAPACITY IN 2010. IT SHOULD SAY .3



- 1 PERCENT. THIS ERROR DOES NOT CHANGE OUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING
- 2 THE LANDFILL IMPACTS. THIS CONCLUDES MY REPORT, AND I'M
- 3 AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MAY HAVE.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, ANY OTHER
- 6 COMMENTS?

7

- 8 DENNIS HUNTER: NO ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. WE'RE HERE FOR
- 9 QUESTIONS IF YOU HAVE ANY.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. CALL FORWARD TO TESTIFY MR.
- 12 DAVID LEVINE, R.J. COMER, AND DARYL KOUTNIK.

- 14 DAVID LEVINE: GOOD AFTERNOON, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. AND THANK
- 15 YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER THIS MORNING. MY NAME IS
- 16 DAVID LEVINE, I'M CHIEF OF STAFF TO JERRY B. EPSTEIN WHO IS
- 17 THE MANAGING PARTNER OF DEL REY SHORES JOINT VENTURE, AND DEL
- 18 REY SHORES NORTH JOINT VENTURE, THE SPONSORS OF THE PROJECT. I
- 19 WANT TO USE MY TIME THIS MORNING TO MAKE A FEW KEY POINTS.
- 20 NUMBER 1, THIS SITE HAS BEEN ZONED FOR REDEVELOPMENT, INDEED,
- 21 HIGH-RISE AND HIGH DENSITY REDEVELOPMENT, SINCE 1984. NUMBER
- 22 2, AT 544 UNITS AND 75 FEET IN HEIGHT ABOVE GRADE, THE PROJECT
- 23 BEFORE YOU IS 13 PERCENT LESS DENSE AND 150 FEET LOWER THAN
- 24 THE POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS CALLED FOR IN CERTIFIED
- 25 LOCAL PLANS SINCE 1984. WE HAVE BEEN ENGAGED IN AN ONGOING



- 1 DIALOGUE WITH THE COMMUNITY AND THE COUNTY ON THIS PROJECT
- 2 SINCE 2001. THE FIRST PROPOSAL FOR REDEVELOPMENT IN THIS
- 3 PROJECT REQUESTED A PROJECT OF 780 UNITS, WHICH WOULD HAVE
- 4 BEEN THE FULL DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL PLUS A DENSITY BONUS
- 5 PERMITTED UNDER STATE LAW. WE HAVE MET WITH THE RESIDENTS OF
- 6 OUR COMPLEX ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS. WE HAVE PROMISED CERTAIN
- 7 ECONOMIC INCENTIVES FOR THEM TO PARTICIPATE IN DURING A
- 8 TRANSITION, SHOULD THE PROJECT GO FORWARD. THIS PROJECT HAS
- 9 ALSO BEEN THE SUBJECT OF NEARLY ONE DOZEN PUBLIC HEARINGS
- 10 BEFORE THE SMALL CRAFT HARBOR COMMISSION, BEFORE THE DESIGN
- 11 CONTROL BOARD, BEFORE THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION AND
- 12 BEFORE THE BOARD ITSELF. AN IMPORTANT FEATURE OF THIS PROJECT
- 13 IS THE COMMITMENT TO THE PROVISION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING ON
- 14 SITE. YOU MAY RECALL THAT WHEN THIS PROJECT WAS IN ITS EARLY
- 15 STAGES, COUNTY POLICY CALLED FOR PAYMENT OF AN IN LIEU FEE
- 16 THAT WOULD HAVE PROVIDED FUNDS FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING OFF-
- 17 SITE. THIS PROJECT IS A PIONEER IN PROVIDING ONE OF, IF NOT
- 18 THE LARGEST, COMMITMENT TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING WITHOUT
- 19 PROVISION OF A DENSITY BONUS IN THE COASTAL ZONE. AND YOUR
- 20 BOARD IS TO BE APPLAUDED FOR ITS EXAMINATION OF THIS ISSUE.
- 21 NUMBER 5, THE E.I.R. PROCESS HAS BEEN WELL SERVED. ALL LAWS
- 22 AND RULES HAVE BEEN FOLLOWED. NO SHORTCUTS, NO CONCEALMENTS.
- 23 EVERY QUESTION HAS BEEN ANSWERED. NUMBER 6, IT BEARS REPEATING
- 24 THAT THIS PROJECT IS ESSENTIAL TO SECOND GENERATION
- 25 DEVELOPMENT IN MARINA DEL REY AND THAT IT IS CONSISTENT WITH



- 1 THE CERTIFIED LOCAL PLAN, COASTAL PLAN. MARINA DEL REY IS THE
- 2 LARGEST INCOME-PRODUCING ASSET OWNED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE
- 3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND MORE THAN 50 PERCENT OF THE GROUND
- 4 RENT GENERATED BY THIS AND OTHER MARINA DEL REY PROJECTS
- 5 SUPPORT THE SOCIAL SERVICE SAFETY NET FOR ALL OF THE CITIZENS
- 6 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THEREFORE, THE COUNTY
- 7 HAS AN IMPORTANT INTEREST IN THIS PROJECT GOING FORWARD. AND
- 8 FINALLY I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT IN THESE ECONOMIC
- 9 TIMES, IT'S EVEN MORE CRITICAL THAN EVER TO SUPPORT THIS
- 10 PROJECT. THIS MORNING'S NEWS ABOUT THE HISTORIC DECLINE IN
- 11 HOUSING STARTS AND IN BUILDING PERMITS CONSTITUTES MUCH
- 12 SOBERING NEWS ABOUT THE ECONOMY. THE BOARD SHOULD KNOW THAT
- 13 THE SPONSORS OF THIS PROJECT, YOUR LESSEES AND YOUR PARTNERS
- 14 FOR OVER 40 YEARS, ARE ANXIOUS TO PROCEED. IN SHORT, NO
- 15 PROJECT IS MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE CERTIFIED L.C.P., NO
- 16 PROJECT HAS BEEN MORE TRANSPARENT, NO PROJECT HAS BEEN MORE
- 17 CAREFULLY PLANNED. AND ON BEHALF OF THE OWNERSHIP, I WANT TO
- 18 THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THIS PROJECT THIS
- 19 AFTERNOON. THANK YOU.

23

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WHOEVER'S NEXT, KEEP IT UNDER 3 MINUTES
- 22 BECAUSE HE OVERRAN HIS TIME THERE, SO YOU WILL PROCEED.

24 R. J. COMER: THANK YOU, DAVID. MAY I NAME IS R.J. COMER. AND

25 I'M A PARTNER AT ARMBRUSTER AND GOLDSMITH. I SERVED AS THE



- 1 LITIGATION PARTNER DURING ITS LITIGATION AND I ALSO SERVED AS
- 2 C.E.Q.A. COUNSEL FOR THE COMPLIANCE WITH THAT LITIGATION.
- 3 THANK YOU, HONORABLE BOARD, FOR ALLOWING US TO SPEAK, AND
- 4 THANK YOU STAFF, FOR YOUR HARD WORK ON THE PROJECT. WHAT I
- 5 WANT TO SPEAK ABOUT IS THE PROCEDURE WE FOLLOWED. THROUGHOUT
- 6 HEARING PROCESS ON THE ORIGINAL PROJECT, SEVERAL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 7 IMPACT ISSUES WERE RAISED. MANY CLAIMS WERE MADE THAT THE
- 8 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE WAS NOT ADEQUATE AND MANY OF THOSE
- 9 ISSUES, IN FACT ALL OF THOSE ISSUES, WENT TO THE SUPERIOR
- 10 COURT AND THEY WERE LAID BEFORE THE SUPERIOR COURT. AND THEY
- 11 INVOLVED TRAFFIC, ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS, CUMULATIVE ANALYSIS
- 12 AND THE LIST GOES ON. SUPERIOR COURT DETERMINED THAT EVERY
- 13 SINGLE ONE OF THOSE ISSUES RAISED BEFORE IT COMPLIED WITH
- 14 C.E.Q.A. AND FOUND THAT ONLY THE ISSUE OF EXPORTING 25,000
- 15 CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL DID NOT COMPLY WITH C.E.Q.A. AND SO IT'S
- 16 IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER THAT MANY OF THOSE ISSUES WERE BEFORE
- 17 THE COURT AND THE COURT FOUND THAT THE COUNTY COMPLIED WITH
- 18 C.E.O.A. ON ALL BUT ONE OF THEM, THE ONE THAT WAS STUDIED IN
- 19 THE ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS. EN. AND SO WHAT WE DID
- 20 IS WE STUDIED THE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE IMPACTS OF THAT
- 21 25,000 CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL EXPORT. AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO KNOW
- 22 THAT THE COUNTY HAS A THRESHOLD FOR REQUIRING A CONDITIONAL
- 23 USE PERMIT FOR EXPORT OF SOIL. THAT THRESHOLD IS 100,000 CUBIC
- 24 YARDS. SO WE ARE JUST A LITTLE OVER A QUARTER OF THAT
- 25 THRESHOLD FOR THIS ANALYSIS. WE FOLLOWED A MODEL THE COUNTY

13

15

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 HAD ALREADY TRIED ONCE AND SUCCESSFULLY IN THE WEST CREEK
- 2 CASE. IN THE WEST CREEK CASE, LIKE THIS CASE, ONE ITEM WAS
- 3 FOUND TO BE IN NONCOMPLIANCE. AN ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS WAS
- 4 PREPARED, BROUGHT TO THE BOARD. THE BOARD APPROVED IT. THAT
- 5 ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS WAS AGAIN CHALLENGED AND THE COURT UPHELD
- 6 THAT VERY SAME PROCEDURE THAT WAS FOLLOWED HERE. ALL OF THE
- 7 IMPACTS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH EXPORT OF SOIL HAVE BEEN
- 8 FULLY ANALYZED. ALL THE PUBLIC COMMENTS HAVE BEEN THOROUGHLY
- 9 RESPONDED TO. AND WHAT'S BEFORE YOU TODAY IS FULLY COMPLIANT
- 10 WITH THE COURT'S ORDER. I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU
- 11 MAY HAVE OR COME BACK TO ANSWER QUESTIONS THAT MAY COME UP
- 12 DURING THE HEARING. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

16 DARYL KOUTNIK: YEAH, MY NAME IS DARYL KOUTNIK. I WORK FOR

- 17 IMPACT SCIENCES. OUR COMPANY PREPARED THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
- 18 REPORT ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY. I'M JUST HERE TO SAY THAT
- 19 WE'VE LOOKED AT ALL OF THE COMMENTS THAT WERE RECEIVED ON THE
- 20 ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS, CAREFULLY ANALYZED AND THEY
- 21 HAVE BEEN RESPONDED TO. THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION ABOUT THE
- 22 CALCULATIONS OF NUMBERS. AND WE HAVE A SUMMARY TABLE THAT WE'D
- 23 LIKE TO ENTER INTO THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD THAT DOCUMENTS
- 24 THE PROGRESSION OF THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE, BASICALLY THAT
- 25 THE AMOUNT OF TOTAL WASTE THAT WOULD BE EXPORTED FROM THE



- 1 PROJECT SITE INCLUDING DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING PROJECT AND
- 2 THE WASTE FROM CONSTRUCTION HAD REMAINED THE SAME THROUGHOUT
- 3 THE ENTIRE ENVIRONMENTAL PROCESS. IT'S PRIMARILY THE ON SITE
- 4 GRADING THAT HAS BEEN MODIFIED AND ACTUALLY ON SITE HAS BEEN
- 5 REDUCED. BUT THERE WILL BE SOME MATERIALS THAT WOULD BE
- 6 EXPORTED TO A LANDFILL. AND THAT THOSE NUMBERS HAVE BEEN
- 7 CONSISTENT WITHIN THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FROM THE VERY
- 8 BEGINNING. I'M HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU MAY HAVE
- 9 NOW OR SUBSEQUENT.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE APPRECIATE THAT. AND IF THERE ARE
- 12 FOLLOW UP QUESTIONS, STAY AROUND. I'LL CALL THOSE THAT ARE
- 13 OPPOSED TO THE PROJECT. FIRST OF ALL DANIEL CHRISTY, MICHAEL
- 14 ROSENFELD AND IT'S DON WITMAN OR WITMAR, I'M NOT SURE. AND
- 15 THEN NANCY VERNON MARINO, IF YOU WOULD JOIN THEM, THERE ARE
- 16 FOUR SEATS UP THERE.

- 18 DANIEL CHRISTY: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. MY
- 19 NAME IS DANIEL CHRISTY AND I'M A 20-YEAR RESIDENT AND
- 20 HOMEOWNER OF MARINA DEL REY. I'M ALSO A DIRECTOR OF THE MARINA
- 21 STRAND COLONY 2 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, REPRESENTING 68
- 22 HOMEOWNERS AND ABOUT 135 RESIDENTS. WE EMPHATICALLY OPPOSE
- 23 CERTIFICATION OF THE SHORE'S PROJECT. THE PROJECT, ALTHOUGH
- 24 WITHIN ALL OF THE COUNTY PARAMETERS, IS MUCH TOO LARGE, TOO
- 25 INTRUSIVE AND NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE MARINA COMMUNITY



- 1 AND LOS ANGELES RESIDENTS. TO MY KNOWLEDGE, TWO OF THE
- 2 SUPERVISORS HAVE PUBLICLY NAMED AND CALLED THE MARINA AS THE
- 3 COUNTY CASH COW. WELL, GENTLEMEN, I ASK: WHAT WAS THE INTENT
- 4 WHEN THIS PARCEL WAS ORIGINALLY GIVEN TO THE COUNTY? WAS IT TO
- 5 BE A CASH COW, OR WAS IT TO BE USED FOR RECREATIONAL PURPOSES
- 6 THAT BY THE RESIDENTS OF THE COUNTY? THE INCREASING NUMBER OF
- 7 HIGH-END RENTAL BUILDINGS, CONDOMINIUMS AND RESTAURANTS IS
- 8 QUICKLY CHANGING THE CHARACTER OF THIS AREA INTO A DESTINATION
- 9 AREA. THIS WAS NOT THE INTENT WHEN THIS AREA WAS SET ASIDE.
- 10 THE SHORES PROJECT, WHICH YOU'RE HEARING TODAY, IS ANOTHER ONE
- 11 OF THE HIGH-END RENTAL PROPERTIES WHICH HAVE PROLIFERATED IN
- 12 THE PAST FEW YEARS WITH ABYSMAL OCCUPANCY RATES. THE MARINA
- 13 DOES NOT NEED ANY MORE OF THESE. THE MARINA NEEDS MORE
- 14 AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND NEEDS TO PRESERVE AS MUCH OPEN AREA AS
- 15 POSSIBLE IN ORDER TO FULFILL ITS ORIGINAL INTENT. THANK YOU
- 16 VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I SEE NANCY THERE. WHO AM I
- 19 MISSING? I CALLED FOUR. PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF, PLEASE. YOUR
- 20 NAME FOR THE RECORD?

- 22 DON WITMAN: DON WITMAN. THE COUNTY'S CURRENT STRATEGIC PLAN
- 23 INCLUDES YOUR GOAL OF IMPROVING THE OUALITY OF LIFE FOR THE
- 24 RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. AND THE 68 HOMEOWNERS ON VIA
- 25 DULCE PRAY THAT THAT SENTIMENT INCLUDES US WHO WILL BE FORCED



- 1 TO FACE THE APPROXIMATELY 100-FOOT MONOLITH RIGHT OUTSIDE OUR
- 2 WINDOWS THAT WILL SURELY SEVERELY REDUCE OUR SUN AND DIAGONAL
- 3 LIGHT, CONTRARY TO QUESTIONABLE SHADOW STUDIES, AND ANY VIEW
- 4 WE NOW HAVE. OUR HOME VALUES WILL SURELY FALL. AFTER ALL WHO
- 5 WANTS TO BUY A DARK HOME FACING A WALL OF APARTMENTS? IT ONLY
- 6 BECOMES WORSE AS THE BUILDING IN THE MARINA CONTINUALLY
- 7 EXPANDS WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF ONE PROJECT AFTER ANOTHER.
- 8 TRAFFIC ACCESS TO OUR HOMES AND WORK WILL BECOME INCREASINGLY
- 9 ENCUMBERED. A HOME THAT IS DIFFICULT TO REACH LOSES VALUE.
- 10 WITHOUT SEEMING MENDACIOUS, LET'S SAY OUR VALUE DECREASES BY
- 11 120 TO 140,000 PER UNIT. OUR CUMULATIVE LOSS WILL RANGE
- 12 BETWEEN 8 MILLION AND \$10 MILLION NOT EASILY RECOVERABLE IN A
- 13 STRESSED REAL ESTATE MARKET. THE SCENARIO IS NOT ANYTHING TO
- 14 LOOK FORWARD TO AS THE TOXIC DUST SWIRLS AROUND US AND THE
- 15 CONTINUAL NOISE DISTURBS OUR VERY SOULS DURING THE YEARS OF
- 16 CONSTRUCTION. IF YOU WANT TO SAVE OUR QUALITY OF LIFE, THE
- 17 PEOPLE ON VIA DULCE, LET THE DEVELOPERS BUILD THEIR APARTMENTS
- 18 NEAR THE SITES OF THE OLD APARTMENTS. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE
- 19 DEVELOPERS WANT TO MAXIMIZE THEIR FINANCIAL GAINS WITH THIS
- 20 PROJECT, AND THERE WILL BE A FINANCIAL LOSS WITH LESS
- 21 APARTMENTS. BUT THEY LOSE SOME OR WE LOSE A LOT. AND WE DON'T
- 22 UNDERSTAND WHY WE HAVE TO PAY SUCH A HIGH PRICE WHEN WE ARE
- 23 THE MOST ILL EQUIPPED TO ABSORB THE FINANCIAL LOSS. YOUR
- 24 ABILITY TO RE-VISIT OUR CONCERNS IS GREATLY APPRECIATED. THANK
- 25 YOU.



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: NANCY BEFORE YOU START, I WILL CALL UP

3 LYNNE SHAPIRO, DANIEL GOTTLIEB AND LAWRENCE FALKIN.

4

5 NANCY MARINO: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. NANCY

6 VERNON MARINO. MISS MOLINA, ABOUT AN HOUR AGO YOU SPOKE VERY

7 ELOQUENTLY OF THE IMPORTANCE OF TRUTH IN GUIDING GOVERNING

8 DECISIONS. AND WHAT WE HAVE HERE TODAY ARE GRADING ISSUES. THE

9 TRUTH IS, THE APPLICANT HAS MANIPULATED THIS EARTH MOVING

10 INFORMATION TO OBSCURE ITS INTENTION TO RAISE THE GRADE LEVEL

11 OF THE UNDERLYING PARCELS IN ORDER TO SUPPORT THIS PROJECT,

12 WHICH IS OTHERWISE UNFEASIBLE AS IT IS PRESENTED. CONTRARY TO

13 WHAT THEY CLAIMED, THEY DIDN'T REALLY ANSWER MY QUESTION IN

14 LETTER 7, RESPONSE NUMBER 7-6, I WOULD LIKE TO READ TO YOU.

15 THIS COMMENT REFERENCES THE FINAL GRADE OF THE PROJECT AT THE

16 TIME CONSTRUCTION HAS BEEN COMPLETED. ALTHOUGH THE MARINA DEL

17 REY SPECIFIC PLAN ALLOWS STRUCTURES MUCH TALLER THAN THE

18 PROPOSED 75-FOOT BUILDING HEIGHT, THE APPLICANT, AS DESIGNED--

19 I THINK IT MEANS HAS DESIGNED -- THE PROJECT TO STAY BELOW THE

20 75-FOOT HEIGHT TO AVOID THE BUILDING BEING CLASSIFIED AS A

21 HIGH-RISE STRUCTURE. THE BUILDING HEIGHT ABOVE FINAL GRADE

22 COULD BE LOWERED BY EXCAVATING DEEPER, BUT THIS WOULD REQUIRE

23 GREATER AMOUNTS OF CUT MATERIAL TO BE EXPORTED OFF-SITE. AND

24 THEN IT CONCLUDES BY THE SAME-- THEIR RESPONSE CONCLUDES BY

25 SAYING "REGARDLESS OF THE FINAL GRADE FOR THE PARKING GARAGE



- 1 OR THE BOTTOM LEVEL OF RESIDENTIAL APARTMENTS, THE COUNTY AND
- 2 PROJECT ENGINEERS FIND THE BUILDINGS TO BE FEASIBLE." IT DOES
- 3 NOT ADDRESS MY CONCERN THAT THIS PROJECT IS NOT FEASIBLE ON
- 4 THE EXISTING PARCELS. THEY DO NOT ACKNOWLEDGE, A, THAT THEY
- 5 INTEND TO RAZE THE GRADE LEVEL. SORRY. THAT THEY INTEND TO
- 6 RAISE THE GRADE LEVEL OF THE BUILDING, NOR DO THEY ACKNOWLEDGE
- 7 THAT IF THEY DON'T DO THAT, THEN THEY HAVE TO EITHER
- 8 RECLASSIFY THE BUILDING AS A HIGH-RISE AND THOSE CONSTRUCTION
- 9 STANDARDS ARE MUCH DIFFERENT THAN THE MID RISE THAT THEY HAVE
- 10 CURRENTLY PROPOSED, OR THEY NEED TO SCALE BACK THE PROJECT. SO
- 11 I WOULD LIKE YOU TODAY TO PLEASE FIND OUT THE TRUTH ABOUT THIS
- 12 PROJECT. WHY IS IT THAT THEY'RE DOING THIS? I LOOKED IN THE
- 13 L.C.P. I DON'T FIND ANYTHING IN OUR CERTIFIED LOCAL COASTAL
- 14 PROGRAM THAT ADDRESSES THE ISSUE OF CHANGING THE LAND FORM,
- 15 THE TOPOGRAPHY OF COASTAL LAND. AND I THINK AT A MINIMUM, THE
- 16 COASTAL COMMISSION OUGHT TO REVIEW THAT ASPECT OF THIS PROJECT
- 17 IF INDEED THEY ARE INTENDING TO RAISE THE GRADE LEVEL. WE
- 18 DON'T WANT A HILL IN MARINA DEL REY. WE DON'T WANT MORE HILLS
- 19 IF THIS IS A PRECEDENT. SO PLEASE LOOK INTO THOSE QUESTIONS
- 20 FOR US. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. LYNNE, I BELIEVE YOU'RE NEXT.



- 1 LYNNE SHAPIRO: IT'S DISTURBING TO ME THAT TWO OF THE
- 2 SUPERVISORS ARE MISSING AND ONE IS ON THE TELEPHONE. WE'VE
- 3 BEEN WAITING ALL MORNING TO ADDRESS YOU.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GO AHEAD.

6

7 LYNNE SHAPIRO: WOULD YOU START MY TIME OVER, PLEASE?

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES, START AT 3.

- 11 LYNNE SHAPIRO: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS, IN THE REPLY TO MANY
- 12 HOMEOWNERS' LETTERS, WHO SAID THAT THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE
- 13 MARINA WILL BE IMPROVED BY THE SHORE'S 342 ADDITIONAL UNITS?
- 14 BY 60 FEET OF ADDITIONAL HEIGHT IN 12 BUILDINGS, IN EACH OF 12
- 15 BUILDINGS. WHOSE QUALITY OF LIFE? THEIR IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORS,
- 16 MARINA STRAND COLONY 1 WILL BE LEFT IN SHADOW AFTER BEING
- 17 SUBJECTED TO AT LEAST 3-1/2 YEARS OF DIGGING, HAULING AND
- 18 HAMMERING, MUCH OF IT RIGHT OUTSIDE THEIR BEDROOM WINDOWS.
- 19 25,940 ADDITIONAL CUBIC YARDS OF DIRT. THE HAULING OF THESE IN
- 20 NON-PEAK HOURS INDICATES 56 HUGE TRUCKS PER DAY AND WILL
- 21 CONTRIBUTE TO THE TIED-UP INTERSECTIONS, AT MARQUESAS IN VIA
- 22 MARINA, PANAY AND VIA MARINA, ADMIRALTY AND VIA MARINA AND
- 23 WASHINGTON AT BOTH VIA MARINA AND VIA DULCE. THAT'S PROBABLY
- 24 THE REASON FOR THE OMISSION OF THESE 25,940 CUBIC YARDS OF
- 25 DIRT FROM THE ORIGINAL E.I.R. SCALE BACK THESE PROJECTS. IN



- 1 ACCORDANCE WITH GREEN CONSTRUCTION AND MARKET DEMAND AND
- 2 DECENCY TO OUR VIA MARINA AND SILVER STRAND COMMUNITY. THE
- 3 NUMBER OF PROJECTS ON THIS STREET INDICATES -- DICTATES A SCALE
- 4 BACK. THE CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL TO BE REMOVED DICTATES A SCALE
- 5 BACK. THE TOSSING OUT OF 202 FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS DOES NOT
- 6 IMPROVE THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE OR OURS. THE ADDITION OF
- 7 SUBSIDIZED LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING IS COMPENSATED FOR
- 8 THE BUILDERS BY THE ADDITIONAL HEIGHT AND DENSITY. IT IS NO
- 9 GIFT TO THE COMMUNITY AND ONLY REPLACES THE CURRENT UNITS,
- 10 WHICH ARE ALL AFFORDABLE. I AM A 24-YEAR RESIDENT OF THE VIA
- 11 DULCE HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, OPPOSED TO THE HAULING OF
- 12 25,940 ADDITIONAL CUBIC YARDS OF DIRT AS WELL AS TO OTHER
- 13 ASPECTS OF THIS PROJECT. BOTH THE 1996 L.C.P. AND THE L.U.P.
- 14 ARE TOO OLD TO REPRESENT AN EFFECTIVE AND FAIR MASTER PLAN AND
- 15 SHOULD NOT BE CITED IN THIS CASE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, THOSE
- 16 OF YOU WHO ARE PRESENT.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. GOTTLIEB?

- 20 DANIEL GOTTLIEB: MY NAME IS DANIEL GOTTLIEB, PROFESSOR OF
- 21 MATHEMATICS. I LIVE AT MARINA STRAND COLONY II. AND I RECEIVED
- 22 THE NEW FINAL DRAFT JUST YESTERDAY, AND I DIDN'T GET THE USUAL
- 23 NOTICE FOR IT. AND IT CONTAINED MANY INACCURACIES EVEN ABOUT
- 24 MY OWN TESTIMONY. AND I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR A CONTINUANCE SO
- 25 THAT I CAN GO BACK AND ENTER THINGS IN TO CORRECT THOSE



- 1 ERRORS. MUCH OF THIS IS BASED ABOUT QUESTIONS I ASKED. AND I
- 2 DON'T FEEL THAT THEY HAVE BEEN CORRECTLY ANSWERED. I SENT YOU
- 3 A LARGE DOCUMENT, WHICH PROBABLY YOU HAVEN'T READ. AND IN IT
- 4 IS CONTAINED THE SUPERIOR COURT'S COURT ORDER, WHICH I BELIEVE
- 5 READS QUITE DIFFERENTLY FROM THE REPRESENTATION THAT WAS
- 6 PRESENTED TO YOU JUST BEFORE US. AND I LOOKED AT THE COVERING
- 7 LETTER FOR THIS FINAL DRAFT E.A.E.A., AND IT RECOMMENDS THE
- 8 APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT, BUT IT DOESN'T SAY YOU SHOULD
- 9 APPROVE THE PROJECT BECAUSE YOU ANSWERED THE JUDGE'S
- 10 STATEMENTS. INSTEAD, THERE'S NO MENTION OF THE PUBLIC'S RIGHT
- 11 TO KNOW THE BASIS OF THE DECISION MADE BY THE REPRESENTATIVES.
- 12 THERE IS NO MENTION OF THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF SOLID WASTE AND
- 13 REMOVAL OF 92,576 CUBIC YARDS, ACTUALLY IT IS A TOTAL. THERE
- 14 IS NO MENTION OF THE WORDS "RECIRCULATED E.I.R." INSTEAD, WHAT
- 15 WAS CIRCULATED WAS SOMETHING WITH AN UNUSUAL TITLE, UNUSUAL BY
- 16 MEANS OF-- I DID A GOOGLE SEARCH TO SEE IF THERE WAS ANY--
- 17 THAT WORDING WAS USED AS A TITLE. NOW, THIS ISN'T SUCH A
- 18 NARROW POINT BECAUSE I SAW THAT WHEN I LOOKED AT-- TRIED TO
- 19 LOOK AT THE CALCULATIONS FOR THE MOVEMENT OF DIRT, THAT ALL
- 20 KINDS OF LITTLE SUBTLE DISTINCTIONS WERE BETWEEN THE DIRT THAT
- 21 WAS CUT AND THE DIRT THAT WAS FILLED AND THE GRAVEL THAT WAS
- 22 MOVED IN, THIS AND THAT, ALL THESE LITTLE DETAILS SOMEHOW THE
- 23 NUMBERS DIDN'T ADD UP. I COULD NEVER GET A TOTAL FROM THAT.
- 24 AND I ALSO FOUND PARTS IN THE FINAL DOCUMENT WHICH SAID THAT



- 1 THAT-- I ALSO FOUND OTHER DISCREPANCIES WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO
- 2 HAVE A CHANCE TO RESPOND TO. THANK YOU.

- 4 SPEAKER: I DO FEEL THAT IT WOULD BE FAIR FOR US TO REQUEST A
- 5 CONTINUANCE. I DO HAVE A STATEMENT TODAY, BUT THE SHORES WAS
- 6 GIVEN FROM NOVEMBER 25TH TO DECEMBER 16TH TO RESPOND TO US.
- 7 EXTEND US THE SAME COURTESY TO PREPARE A RESPONSE TO THEM. I
- 8 FOUND ERRORS INVOLVING SOIL MOVEMENT CALCULATIONS AND SOIL
- 9 TOXICITY BUT HAD NO TIME TO PRESENT A PROPER REBUTTAL. I'M
- 10 SURE OTHERS HAVE ALSO FOUND OTHERS IN THE NEW REPORT THAT NEED
- 11 TO BE ADDRESSED. NOW, I KNOW THAT SHOULDN'T COUNT AGAINST MY
- 12 TIME, BUT I AM MAKING A POINT THAT I FEEL WE SHOULD HAVE A
- 13 CONTINUANCE. I DO HAVE A STATEMENT THAT I WANTED TO MAKE.
- 14 CURRENTLY ON VIA DULCE, WE HAVE A LARGE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT
- 15 UNDERWAY. THE RULES OF THE PERMIT REQUIRE NO WORK BEFORE 8
- 16 A.M. SO NO CARS ARE ALLOWED ONTO THE SITE BEFORE 8 A.M. THE
- 17 WORKERS DRIVE GREAT DISTANCES TO WORK THERE. AND TO AVOID
- 18 TRAFFIC, ARRIVE AT 6:30 AND PARK ON BOTH SIDES OF OUR STREET
- 19 IN THE ALLEYS AND THE ENTIRE CENTER ISLAND FOR 1-1/2 HOURS
- 20 EACH MORNING. IT IS INCONVENIENT BUT NOT A LARGE PROBLEM
- 21 BECAUSE OUR STREET HAS A CENTER ISLAND AND STREET PARKING. THE
- 22 SHORES WILL HAVE NEITHER. WHEN THE DELIVERY TRUCKS FOR DRYWALL
- 23 AND LUMBER NEED TO BE UNLOADED WITH FORKLIFTS, IT TOOK QUITE
- 24 SOME TIME BUT AGAIN DID NOT BLOCK TRAFFIC BECAUSE OF THE
- 25 ISLAND AND OUR WIDER STREET. WHEN DEBRIS NEEDED TO BE HAULED,



- 1 THOSE LARGE TRUCKS ALSO WAITED ON THE ISLAND FOR THEIR LOAD.
- 2 IT FILLED THE WHOLE STREET FOR THE DAY. THE SAME WAS TRUE FOR
- 3 THE CEMENT TRUCKS. ALL THESE CRITICAL ISSUES HAVE BEEN
- 4 TRIVIALIZED IN THE E.I.R. THE SHORE'S TRAFFIC TRIPS ARE HUGELY
- 5 UNDERESTIMATED AND IF DONE HONESTLY WOULD FAR EXCEED THE
- 6 TRAFFIC OF THE SHORES. I'M NOT PREPARED WITH THE ACTUAL
- 7 NUMBERS AS I ONLY SAW YESTERDAY THAT OUR OPPOSITION REGARDING
- 8 TRAFFIC WAS TRIVIALIZED. AT A PREVIOUS HEARING, I SPECIFICALLY
- 9 ASKED IF A NEW SOIL REPORT WAS DONE AND WAS TOLD THAT IT HAD
- 10 BEEN. IF THIS WERE TRUE, WHY DOES THE E.I.R. CONTINUE TO REFER
- 11 TO THE OUTDATED AND FAR FROM THOROUGH 2001 REPORT? IF YOU READ
- 12 THAT REPORT, YOU'LL SEE THAT THE SAMPLES WERE TAKEN FROM VERY
- 13 SHALLOW DEPTHS, EXCEPT FOR ONE SAMPLE THAT WENT DEEP, BUT
- 14 INSTEAD OF BEING SENT FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS, THE CORE WAS
- 15 ACTUALLY PHYSICALLY SNIFFED TO DETECT PETROCHEMICALS AND SOME
- 16 WERE DETECTED. IF A NEW SOIL STUDY WAS DONE, AS I WAS TOLD, I
- 17 WOULD ASSUME THE FINDINGS OF THAT STUDY MUST HAVE SHOWN THE
- 18 PRESENCE OF TOXIC MATERIAL IN THE SOIL. SO IT WAS NOT INCLUDED
- 19 IN THE E.I.R. CLEARLY, A CURRENT COMPREHENSIVE SOIL REPORT HAS
- 20 TO BE DONE TO PROTECT US FROM BEING EXPOSED TO TOXIC
- 21 SUBSTANCES FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME. MANY RESIDENTS OF
- 22 OUR COMPLEX ARE SENIORS, OR ALMOST SENIORS AND THEIR HEALTH
- 23 HAS TO BE PROTECTED TO ALLOW THEM TO CONTINUE THEIR OUALITY OF
- 24 LIFE. THE SOIL MOVEMENT NUMBERS ARE ALSO BEING MISINTERPRETED.
- 25 AND I CAN EXPLAIN WHY. BUT IN THREE MINUTES I CAN'T.



1

SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: STATE YOUR NAME?

3

- 4 LARRY FALKIN: LARRY FALKIN. OH, THE SOIL NUMBERS NEED TO BE
- 5 ANALYZED. THEY THREW A LOT OF NUMBERS AT US THAT ARE
- 6 DIFFICULT. WE HAVE A MATHEMATICS PROFESSOR HERE. AND HE'S
- 7 HAVING TROUBLE INTERPRETING THE NUMBERS. SO WE NEED TIME. WE
- 8 ONLY FOUND ABOUT THIS YESTERDAY. AND NOT BECAUSE MR. TRIPP
- 9 NOTIFIED US BY EMAIL. ONLY BECAUSE MR. GOTTLIEB, PROFESSOR
- 10 GOTTLIEB CHECKS THE WEBSITE FOR THE COUNTY VIRTUALLY ALMOST
- 11 EVERY OTHER DAY.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THIS HAS BEEN CONTINUED 30 DAYS AGO.
- 14 PEOPLE FROM REGIONAL PLANNING, IF YOU WANT TO RESPOND?

15

- 16 MICHAEL TRIPP: YES. PRIOR TO THE PREVIOUS HEARING, I DID
- 17 CONTACT ANYONE WHO SENT A COMMENT LETTER. AND I LET THEM KNOW
- 18 THAT THIS WAS THE NEW HEARING DATE, THAT THE PREVIOUS CASE
- 19 THAT WAS BEING CONTINUED TO THIS DATE.

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. THANK YOU. I CALLED ON MR.
- 22 ROSENFELD, HE DIDN'T COME UP. SO WE WILL PROCEED. THANK YOU. I
- 23 WILL ASK REGIONAL PLANNING TO RESPOND TO SOME OF THE ISSUES
- 24 THAT WERE RAISED BY THE OPPONENTS, PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES
- 25 TO THE GROUND LEVEL ISSUE AND TOPOGRAPHY CHANGE.



1

2 MICHAEL TRIPP: I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S GOING TO BE A

- 3 TOPOGRAPHY CHANGE, BUT MAYBE PUBLIC WORKS COULD ADDRESS THAT.
- 4 BUT THE ADDITIONAL, THE CHANGE TO THE PROJECT HERE IS REMOVING
- 5 MORE CUT OFF THE SITE. AND IF THEY ARE DOING A TOPOGRAPHY
- 6 CHANGE, I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY WOULD BE MOVING MORE DIRT FROM
- 7 THE SITE. THAT SEEMS LIKE THAT WOULD LOWER THE ELEVATION OF
- 8 THE PROPERTY.

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. I HAVE A MOTION THAT I'D LIKE
- 11 TO ENTER INTO THE RECORD. FOR THE COMBINED PUBLIC HEARING ON
- 12 THE REAPPROVAL OF THE SHORES APARTMENT PROJECT R-2005-00234
- 13 LOCATED AT 4201 VIA MARINA, MARINA DEL REY, I THEREFORE MOVE
- 14 THAT THE BOARD, ONE, CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AND CERTIFY THAT
- 15 THE FINAL ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS, FINAL ADDITIONAL
- 16 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS PREPARED FOR THE SHORES PROJECT NUMBER
- 17 R-2005-00234 IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE C.E.Q.A. AND WITH THE
- 18 JUNE 2008 WRITTEN MANDATE ISSUED BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 19 SUPERIOR COURT. 2, ADOPT THE C.E.Q.A. ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS
- 20 OF FACT, STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS AND THE
- 21 MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT,
- 22 NECESSARY TO RE-CERTIFY THE FINAL E.I.R. WITH THE FINAL
- 23 ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS. THREE, RE-CERTIFY THE F.E.I.R. WITH THE
- 24 FINAL ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS. FOUR, INDICATE ITS
- 25 INTENT TO REAPPROVE THE SHORES APARTMENT PROJECT NUMBER R-



- 1 2005-00234, INCLUDING THE COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NUMBER
- 2 2005-00002, PARKING PERMIT NUMBER 2005-00004. AND THE
- 3 VARIANCE NUMBER 2005-00004. AND, FIVE, INSTRUCT COUNTY COUNSEL
- 4 TO PREPARE THE FINAL FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS NECESSARY FOR
- 5 THESE APPROVALS TO BRING THEM BACK ON JANUARY 27TH, 2009 FOR
- 6 THIS BOARD'S CONSIDERATION. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.
- 7 ANY OTHER DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS? SO ORDERED. THANK YOU. DID
- 8 I HOLD ANYTHING ELSE?

9

10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM 12, I BELIEVE YOU WERE GOING TO.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I'M GOING TO RELEASE THAT TO THE
- 13 CONTINUANCE. I THINK THE C.E.O. WANTED THAT CONTINUED TO
- 14 JANUARY 6TH, IS THAT CORRECT?

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** CORRECT.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: SO ORDERED.

19

20 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND THEN ITEM NUMBER 20?

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ITEM NUMBER 20, WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE
- 23 THIS. BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE A BRIEF COMMENT BECAUSE I'M
- 24 ASKING FOR IT TO BE CONTINUED TILL THE END OF JANUARY FOR A
- 25 COUPLE REASONS. ONE, IT'S REALLY A WORTHY PROGRAM AND I'M NOT



- 1 QUESTIONING ABOUT THE BENEFITS OF THE RESEARCH. I AM CONCERNED
- 2 ABOUT THE FACT THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IS FACING
- 3 SOME SEVERE FISCAL CHALLENGES TO ITS CORE MISSION. WE ARE
- 4 BEING ASKED TO COMMIT OVER A MILLION DOLLARS IN COUNTY GENERAL
- 5 FUNDS OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS FOR SOMETHING THAT'S CLEARLY
- 6 VALUABLE, BUT IT IS ONLY CRITICAL FROM THE STANDPOINT OF LONG-
- 7 TERM PLANNING. SO WE NEED TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT CORE
- 8 MISSION RIGHT NOW. ALSO, I UNDERSTAND FIRST FIVE WAS
- 9 APPROACHED TO INCREASE THEIR FUNDING COMMITMENT AND THEY
- 10 DECLINED. HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE TO FOLLOW-UP ON THIS IN
- 11 JANUARY WHEN I BECOME CHAIR OF FIRST FIVE AND EXPLORE THE
- 12 ISSUE WITH THEM. SO I WOULD LIKE TO JUST PUT THAT ON THE
- 13 RECORD BUT CONTINUE THIS ITEM UNTIL THE END OF JANUARY, LAST
- 14 MEETING IN JANUARY. AND WITHOUT OBJECTIONS? 20. SO ORDERED.
- 15 CONTINUED TO THE LAST MEETING IN JANUARY. ANYTHING ELSE?

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES. ITEM NUMBER 74-A, THERE WERE TWO
- 18 INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE HOLDING THAT ITEM.

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I'D LIKE TO ASK ARNOLD SACHS AND
- 21 JAMIE GARCIA IF YOU WOULD COME FORWARD, PLEASE? JUST FOR THE
- 22 RECORD, THE INTENT OF MY MOTION WAS NOT TO FOCUS JUST ON
- 23 COUNTY HOSPITALS, BUT BOTH COUNTY AND PRIVATE. SO THAT YOU
- 24 KNOW THAT. AND I'M GOING TO EXTEND THE REPORT BACK BY
- 25 AMENDMENT TO 60 DAYS VERSUS 30.



1

- JAMIE GARCIA: GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE
- 3 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ON BEHALF OF THE HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION,
- 4 I'M HERE TO VOICE OUR SUPPORT FOR THE MOTION THAT YOU HAVE
- 5 BEFORE YOU TODAY. AS YOU KNOW, THE SEISMIC ISSUE HAS BEEN A
- 6 CHALLENGING ISSUE FOR THE HOSPITALS. AND IN 2007, R.A.N.D. DID
- 7 A STUDY WHICH CONCLUDED THAT IT WOULD COST ABOUT \$110 BILLION
- 8 TO SEISMICALLY RETROFIT ALL HOSPITALS IN THE STATE OF
- 9 CALIFORNIA. THAT DID NOT INCLUDE THE FINANCING COSTS. AND THE
- 10 SEISMIC MANDATE COMBINED WITH WORKFORCE, I.T. AND
- 11 REIMBURSEMENT HAVE ALL CREATED THAT IN SOME INSTANCES HAS
- 12 RESULTED IN HOSPITAL CLOSURES, WHICH MANY OF YOU ARE QUITE
- 13 FAMILIAR WITH AROUND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THE CURRENT
- 14 DOWNTURN IN THE ECONOMY DOES NOT HELP THE SITUATION. RECENTLY
- 15 THE CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION COMMISSIONED A STUDY WHICH
- 16 FOUND THAT 26 PERCENT OF HOSPITALS STATEWIDE ARE UNABLE TO
- 17 SECURE THE CAPITAL NEEDED FOR THE SEISMIC RETROFIT. MORE
- 18 IMPORTANTLY, 38 PERCENT OF THE HOSPITALS IN CALIFORNIA ARE
- 19 UNABLE TO MEET THE 2013 AND 2015 DEADLINE. SO WITH THAT I'D
- 20 LIKE TO JUST THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR SUPPORT AND YOUR
- 21 INTEREST IN THIS ISSUE. AND IF WE CAN BE OF ASSISTANCE TO YOU,
- 22 PLEASE DO NOT HESITATE.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I APPRECIATE THAT. AND YOU MIGHT WANT TO
- 25 GIVE SENATOR LOWENTHAL'S OFFICE A CALL BECAUSE WE'RE WORKING



1 IN CONJUNCTION WITH HIS OFFICE IN REGARDS TO ANY POTENTIAL

2 LEGISLATIVE ISSUES.

3

JAMIE GARCIA: OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ARNOLD?

7

- 8 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. ARNOLD SACHS. VERY QUICKLY. SINCE
- 9 THIS CLOSURE, SINCE THIS DATE TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS IS FAST
- 10 APPROACHING, IT WOULD BE CURIOUS TO FIND OUT THAT WITHIN THE
- 11 LAST FOUR YEARS, LET'S JUST SAY, THERE'S BEEN A NUMBER OF
- 12 HOSPITALS THAT HAVE CLOSED IN THE COUNTY, BASICALLY FOR MORE
- 13 OR LESS ECONOMIC REASONS. BUT IS THERE ANY KIND OF STUDY BEEN
- 14 DONE TO FIND IF THERE'S A CORRELATION BETWEEN THE ECONOMIC
- 15 REASONS FOR CLOSURE AND THE FACT THAT MAYBE THESE HOSPITALS
- 16 WEREN'T SEISMICALLY UP-TO-DATE AND WOULD HAVE REQUIRED MASSIVE
- 17 INFUSIONS OF FUNDING TO MEET THIS DEADLINE? THERE WAS AN
- 18 ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER, AND I BROUGHT IT UP LAST WEEK
- 19 REGARDING M.L.K. AND THAT WAS SEISMICALLY-- DID IT NEED
- 20 SEISMIC RETROFITTING? BECAUSE IT WAS NEVER ADDRESSED FOR ALL
- 21 THE TIME, ALL THE PROBLEMS THAT CAME UP AT M.L.K. AND ALL THE
- 22 THINGS THAT WERE DISCUSSED HERE, NO ONE EVER MENTIONED SEISMIC
- 23 RETROFITTING UNTIL RECENTLY WHEN THE NEW SUPERVISOR CAME IN
- 24 AND SAID--

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THAT FOR A LONG 1 2 TIME. 3 ARNOLD SACHS: NOT IN CONJUNCTION OF KEEPING THE HOSPITAL 4 5 OPEN. 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES. YOU GOT TO BE FAMILIAR WITH THE 7 8 ISSUE. THERE IS TWO SEPARATE BUILDINGS OUT THERE. ONE HAS GOT SEISMIC-- SO IT'S BEEN A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF THIS WHOLE 9 10 ISSUE. VERY IMPORTANT. 11 ARNOLD SACHS: NEVER-- I'VE BEEN COMING TO THE MEETINGS, I 12 NEVER HEARD--13 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOU ONLY HEAR WHAT YOU WANT TO HEAR, 15 16 ARNOLD. NO, SERIOUSLY. IT HAS BEEN A VERY IMPORTANT PART. 17 18 ARNOLD SACHS: IT COULD BE A VERY IMPORTANT PART, ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. BUT I'M SAYING WE HEARD METRO PLANS. WE HEARD THESE 19 KINDS OF PLANS, WE HEARD ALL KINDS OF PLANS FOR THE STAFFING. 20 BUT WE NEVER HEARD A PLAN THAT WOULD ALLOW THE HOSPITAL TO 21 22 STAY OPEN THAT WOULD-- OKAY. WE GOT DR. KILDARE, WE GOT 23 GENERAL HOSPITAL. WE GOT ST. ELSEWHERE. WE GOT EVERY HOSPITAL STAFF FROM EVERY T.V. SHOW THAT EVER WAS PRODUCED TO COME IN 24

AND RETROFIT M.L.K. HOSPITAL TO BRING IT TO THE TOP OF THE



- 1 LINE. BUT I NEVER HEARD ANYBODY SAY "OKAY, NOW THAT WE'VE
- 2 COMPLETED THAT CHANGE, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO FOR SEISMIC
- 3 RETROFITTING?" NEVER HEARD ANY DISCUSSION FOR RETROFITTING
- 4 REGARDING THAT.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT BUT THAT'S BEEN A
- 7 VERY IMPORTANT PART OF IT, BECAUSE THAT'S A HUGE ISSUE. WITH
- 8 THAT, ALL THE OTHER COUNTY HOSPITALS AS WELL AS ALL THE
- 9 PRIVATE HOSPITALS. THAT'S WHY I'M TRYING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE
- 10 HERE.

11

- 12 ARNOLD SACHS: WELL, I UNDERSTAND WITH THE COUNTY HOSPITAL.
- 13 THAT'S WHY I UNDERSTAND U.S.C. WAS REOPENED. IT'S A NEW
- 14 HOSPITAL. AND THE OTHER HOSPITALS THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT WERE
- 15 FAIRLY NEW. BUT I'M SAYING, AT WHAT POINT WAS M.L.K. HOSPITAL?
- 16 AND DIDN'T HARBOR-U.C.L.A. GET A VAST INFUSION OF MONEY, A
- 17 BOND THAT WAS PASSED TO HELP-- TO DO THE RETROFITTING? THEY
- 18 GOT A HUGE BOND FOR THAT.

19

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: RETROFITTING OF THE EMERGENCY ROOM.

21

22 ARNOLD SACHS: AND PART OF THE HOSPITAL.

- 24 SUP. KNABE: THERE HAS ALWAYS BEEN A LINE ITEM FOR M.L.K. ON
- 25 THE REOPENING. THERE WAS A LINE ITEM FOR M.L.K. EVEN WHILE IT



- 1 WAS OPEN FOR RETROFITTING. NO ONE IS EXEMPT FROM IT. IT'S NOT
- 2 LIKE WE IGNORED IT. NO ONE, NO HOSPITAL, IS EXEMPT FROM THE
- 3 SEISMIC STANDARDS.

4

5 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. MR. ANTONOVICH, YOUR
- 8 ADJOURNMENTS AND ANY ITEMS YOU HELD? EXCUSE ME WE NEED TO GO
- 9 BACK TO 74-A, I'LL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT
- 10 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: -- RESIDED IN PASADENA, UNIVERSITY OF
- 13 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, FOUNDED LAMBERTI INDUSTRIES FOR
- 14 INDUSTRIAL CONSULTING AND HAD ACQUIRED TOOLS AND PRODUCTION
- 15 COMPANY IN 1975 IN TEMPLE CITY. PARTICIPATED IN THE UNIVERSITY
- 16 OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA'S FOOTBALL, CATHOLIC CHARITIES AND
- 17 SOCIAL PHILANTHROPY. SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, JOAN, AND HIS
- 18 CHILDREN. ALSO BILL BJERKE. HE WAS AEROSPACE ENGINEER WHO
- 19 WORKED ON THE APOLLO AND GEMINI PROJECTS, AND RESIDED IN THE
- 20 ANTELOPE VALLEY. LILLIAN "BUNNY" MINGHELLI OF THE ANTELOPE
- 21 VALLEY WHO WAS A NURSE. PASSED AWAY, IS SURVIVED BY HER FIVE
- 22 CHILDREN. WILLIAM BAIRD KEIGWIN, SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES
- 23 AIR FORCE DURING THE KOREAN WAR AND WAS AN AIR TRAFFIC
- 24 CONTROLLER. WORKED WITH THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
- 25 FOR 38 YEARS. HE WAS A KNIGHT COMMANDER IN THE PASADENA



- 1 SCOTTISH RITE, SERVED HIS COMMUNITY THROUGH HIS ELKS LODGE,
- 2 THE MASONIC LODGE, THE SHRINERS, THE RED CROSS, THE PALMDALE
- 3 METHODIST CHURCH AND THE CHILDREN'S LANGUAGE CENTER. WILLIAM
- 4 JOE KING, JR. WHO WAS FIRST BECAME ASSOCIATED WITH ACCESS
- 5 SERVICES AS A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THEN
- 6 IN 1998 JOINED THE STAFF OF ACCESS SERVICE AS A CONSUMER
- 7 ADVOCATE. RECOGNIZED FOR HIS ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN A FIELD CALLED
- 8 ELIGIBILITY. ASSISTED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRACTICES FOR THE
- 9 FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION, EASTER SEALS PROJECT ACTION
- 10 AND TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD. AND HE ADVOCATED FOR
- 11 IMPROVED ACCESSIBILITY FOR THOSE WITH DISABILITIES. THOSE ARE
- 12 MY ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS. AND ON ITEM NUMBER 10, ON THE \$5
- 13 MILLION APPROPRIATION FOR THE PARKING LOT FOR THE MUSEUM, HAS
- 14 THE FOUNDATION CONTRIBUTED ANY FUNDS TO ANY OF THE POLITICAL
- 15 CAMPAIGNS?

16

17 DR. JANE G. PISANO: NO.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND SINCE THE NEW PARKING FACILITY PROJECT
- 20 IS ESTIMATED TO COST \$11 MILLION FUNDED PARTIALLY BY
- 21 FUNDRAISING, HOW MUCH WILL THE FOUNDATION RAISE FOR THAT
- 22 APPROPRIATION?



- 1 DR. JANE G. PISANO: THE MONEY-- THE FOUNDATION ALREADY HAS THE
- 2 MONEY TO PAY THE DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN THE COUNTY'S
- 3 CONTRIBUTION AND THE TOTAL COST.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT IS THE TOTAL COST OF THE OTHER
- 6 IMPROVEMENTS THAT THE MUSEUM WILL BE FUNDING, INCLUDING THE
- 7 SEISMIC UPGRADES AND GALLERY IMPROVEMENTS?

8

- 9 DR. JANE G. PISANO: THE TOTAL, IT WOULD BE \$115 MILLION PLUS
- 10 6.2 MILLION PLUS 11 MILLION. I'M SORRY I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER
- 11 IN MY HEAD.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WOULD THE COUNTY BE OBLIGATED TO PAY THE
- 14 ADDITIONAL \$6 MILLION FOR THE ENTIRE PROJECT COSTS?

15

16 DR. JANE G. PISANO: FOR THE PARKING?

17

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOR THE PARKING.

19

20 DR. JANE G. PISANO: NO. THE COUNTY HAS ALREADY CONTRIBUTED.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IF THERE ARE OVERRUNS, I'M SAYING.



- 1 DR. JANE G. PISANO: THERE WON'T BE OVERRUNS. AND THE MOTION
- 2 CLEARLY STATES THAT THE FOUNDATION WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY
- 3 CHARGES ABOVE THE COUNTY CONTRIBUTION.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THEN ALL THE MONEY IS IN THERE FOR THE
- 6 PARKING LOT. THERE WILL BE NO MORE COSTS FOR THE COUNTY?

7

8 DR. JANE G. PISANO: CORRECT.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF COUNTY GENERAL
- 11 FUNDS THAT HAVE BEEN APPROPRIATED TO THE MUSEUM?

12

- 13 DR. JANE G. PISANO: IT'S THE 10 MILLION, PLUS I'M SORRY I
- 14 DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER RIGHT OFFHAND.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TOTAL AMOUNT.

17

- 18 DR. JANE G. PISANO: THE COUNTY CONTRIBUTED SOME MONEY TO THE
- 19 SEISMIC RETROFIT AND RESTORATION OF THE 1913 BUILDING AS DID
- 20 THE STATE, AS DID SOME PROPOSITION FUNDING. AND I DON'T HAVE
- 21 THE COUNTY'S COMMITMENT THERE. PLUS THIS 10 MILLION. PLUS 6.2
- 22 MILLION TO RETROFIT THE SEISMICALLY STRENGTHENED AND RETROFIT
- 23 THE 1920S BUILDING.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: I HAVE A QUESTION WITHOUT REGARD TO THIS,
- 2 BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW. THE OTHER MORNING ON
- 3 "GOOD MORNING AMERICA," OUR MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY WAS
- 4 FEATURED, BUT WE HAD NO IDEA IT WAS GOING TO BE ON. IT WOULD
- 5 BE NICE TO GET A LITTLE HEADS UP WHEN WE WOULD GET THAT KIND
- 6 OF PUBLICITY. IT WAS A NICE PIECE THAT THEY DID, ACTUALLY
- 7 SEVERAL PIECES THAT THEY DID FOR THE MUSEUM.
- 9 DR. JANE G. PISANO: YOU'RE RIGHT. I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT.
- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.
- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU ON THAT ONE.
- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: GOT IT BEFORE US. MOVED BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 16 CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEN ON THE QUESTION, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 19 HAD, MR. FUJIOKA? RELATIVE TO PROBATION AND THE GRANT, THE
- 20 VERBAL MOTION, THE QUESTION IS, ARE WE APPLYING FOR A GRANT OR
- 21 ARE WE ASKING FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE GRANT?
- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I BELIEVE IT'S BOTH. WE'LL HAVE INFORMATION,
- 24 BUT BECAUSE OF THE TIMELINE ASSOCIATED WITH APPLYING FOR THIS
- 25 GRANT--

8

10

12

14

17



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU DON'T HAVE ANY INFORMATION ON IT, THAT'S
- 3 THE PROBLEM.

4

- 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND. WE'LL BE GETTING THAT AND
- 6 PROVIDING THAT TO EACH BOARD OFFICE. BUT THIS ISSUE CAME UP
- 7 FAIRLY QUICKLY.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE ALREADY VOTED TO SUPPORT IT, IF WE DON'T
- 10 HAVE THE INFORMATION AS TO WHAT WE'RE SUPPORTING.

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: WE VOTED TO SUPPORT MOVING FORWARD.

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME TELL YOU WHAT THE INTENT WAS BECAUSE
- 15 I KNOW WHERE YOU'RE HEADING. I DON'T THINK YOU NEED TO WORRY
- 16 ABOUT IT. IN FACT I WOULD SUGGEST EVEN ONE THING FURTHER. I
- 17 WANTED US TO BE, EVEN IF WE APPLY NOW, IN THIS NEXT THREE
- 18 WEEKS, AND THEN AFTER WE REVIEW IT DECIDE THAT IT'S NOT
- 19 SOMETHING WE WANT TO PURSUE, WE CAN UNAPPLY, WE CAN WITHDRAW.
- 20 I WOULDN'T RECOMMEND THAT WE DO THAT IF IT'S A GOOD DEAL. BUT
- 21 IF IT'S SOMETHING THAT'S NOT A GOOD DEAL, WE OUGHT TO DO THAT.
- 22 WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS MAYBE NOT ON THE 6TH BECAUSE IT WILL
- 23 BE A HEAVY DAY BUT ON THE 13TH THAT YOU BRING BACK A REPORT TO
- 24 THE BOARD ON WHERE WE STAND ON THAT. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU HAVE



- 1 APPLIED, IT WILL GIVE US AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS IT AND WE
- 2 CAN WITHDRAW.

3

4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE CAN DO THAT.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OR WHATEVER. I JUST DIDN'T WANT US TO GO
- 7 THROUGH THE HOLIDAYS AND NOT APPLY. I THINK WE WILL BE RIGHTLY
- 8 CRITICIZED FOR LEAVING MONEY ON THE TABLE POTENTIALLY, THAT'S
- 9 ALL.

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: AND AS WE GO THROUGH THE PROCESS, WE'LL KEEP
- 12 THE JUSTICE DEPUTIES INFORMED.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT IF THE GRANT COMMITS US TO FUND OR PUT
- 15 UP ADDITIONAL FACILITIES AT CAMP CHALLENGER?

16

- 17 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WELL WHEN IT COMES TO ANY ADDITIONAL
- 18 COMMITMENT, WE WILL BRING THAT TO THE BOARD AS QUICKLY AS
- 19 POSSIBLE.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THEN WE CAN THEN--

- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: APPLYING AND ACCEPTING IS NORMALLY TWO
- 24 DIFFERENT STEPS. SO WE SHOULD GO, WE SHOULD AVAIL OURSELVES OF



- 1 THIS OPPORTUNITY. AND AS WE GO THROUGH THE PROCESS -- NOTHING
- 2 HAPPENS--

3

SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE'RE NOT LOCKED IN WHAT I'M SAYING.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S CORRECT. IN FACT, WE SHOULD
- 7 SCHEDULED IT BEFORE THE MIDDLE OF JANUARY, 13TH, THE LAST
- 8 MEETING BEFORE THE INAUGURATION, SO WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 9 DISCUSS IT AND DO WHATEVER YOU WANT TO DO WITH IT.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU CAN APPLY PENDING BOARD APPROVAL.

12

- 13 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'LL DO THAT. WE'LL BRING IT BACK ON THE
- 14 13TH.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY WHAT DID YOU SAY, 13TH?

17

18 >> C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S ALL I HAVE. NO, I DO. IF WE COULD
- 21 DIRECT THE C.I.O. AND PUBLIC WORKS TO REPORT BACK, LET'S SAY
- 22 ON THE 13TH OR THE 20TH, ON THE NEW REGULATIONS THAT THE THEIR
- 23 RESOURCES BOARD HAS PROMULGATED RELATIVE TO DIESEL ENGINES AND
- 24 DIESEL EQUIPMENT THAT WE OPERATE THROUGHOUT THE STATE, BUT
- 25 THAT THE COUNTY OPERATES SO WE CAN HAVE THE ABILITY TO ANALYZE



```
AS WE'RE PREPARING THE 2009/10 BUDGET HOW WE CAN FACTOR IN, OR
1
    WILL FACTOR IN, THOSE REGULATIONS THAT HAVE JUST COME DOWN.
2
3
     C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE'LL DO THAT.
4
5
     SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ANYTHING ELSE?
6
7
8
     SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S IT.
9
     SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: ANY OTHER ITEMS BEFORE US BEFORE WE GO TO
10
11
    PUBLIC COMMENT?
12
     CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO. JUST PUBLIC COMMENT.
13
14
     SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. I WILL ASK WALTER BECKTEL TO COME
15
16
    FORWARD. LYNNE SHAPIRO, LARRY FALKIN, AND NANCY VERNON MARINO
    TO START WITH. FOLLOWING THEM IS _____, MR. SHAPIRO AND MR.
17
18
    SACHS IF YOU WOULD COME FORWARD AFTER THE FIRST TWO HERE
19
    TESTIFY.
20
    LYNNE SHAPIRO: DID YOU SAY SHAPIRO FIRST?
21
22
23
     SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YES.
24
```



- 1 LYNNE SHAPIRO: THANK YOU. I CAME HERE TODAY STANDING TALL AS
- 2 A CITIZEN WISHING TO SPEAK TO MY LOCAL REPRESENTATIVES. AND
- 3 NOW I FEEL ABOUT THIS BIG BECAUSE DURING THE TIME THAT ALMOST
- 4 ALL OF US WERE SPEAKING, YOU WERE TOO BUSY DOING OTHER THINGS,
- 5 TALKING TO OTHER PEOPLE TO LISTEN TO US. WHAT'S THE POINT?
- 6 IT'S REALLY DISTURBING. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THINGS THAT WE'RE
- 7 GOING TO HAVE TO LIVE WITH FOR THE REST OF OUR LIVES. I AM
- 8 VERY CONCERNED THAT IT IS LEGITIMATE FOR BUILDERS TO PLACE
- 9 THEIR BUILDINGS 75 FEET TALL RIGHT UP AGAINST AN EXISTING
- 10 HOMEOWNERS' PROJECT, BLOCKING THE SUNLIGHT, DESTROYING THE
- 11 VALUE OF THEIR PROPERTY SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE A COURTYARD OR A
- 12 TENNIS COURT OR WHAT ELSE. IT ISN'T FAIR. IT ISN'T RIGHT. IT
- 13 IS NOT AS CLAIMED "IMPROVING THE VALUE, QUALITY OF OUR LIVES."
- 14 THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG WITH THIS PROCESS, GENTLEMEN, VERY,
- 15 VERY WRONG. THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: MR.** BECKTEL?

- 19 WALTER BECKTEL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WANTED TO REITERATE
- 20 SOMETHING THAT I THINK I'VE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT BEFORE,
- 21 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT. I THINK SOME PEOPLE ARE HAVE THE
- 22 IMPRESSION THAT MR. PELICANO, WHO WAS SENTENCED TO 15 YEARS, I
- 23 BELIEVE IT WAS YESTERDAY, IN FEDERAL COURT HAD SOMETHING TO DO
- 24 WITH A CASE THAT I'M ON, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT. I WAS
- 25 NEVER CONTACTED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HE DOES NOT HAVE



- 1 ANYTHING TO DO WITH MY CASE. I'M TALKING ABOUT A SEPARATE CASE
- 2 THAT'S BEEN GOING ON SINCE I WAS A CHILD IN THE CITY OF LOS
- 3 ANGELES AND THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES OF THE LOS ANGELES
- 4 COUNTY, THAT HAVE TO DO WITH ENTERTAINMENT PEOPLE WHO ARE
- 5 INVOLVED WITH THE THEFT OF MY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY. I HAVE
- 6 TRIED TO TAKE SEVERAL CASES IN THE COURTROOMS. I'VE EXHAUSTED
- 7 MY JUDICIAL REMEDIES. I'VE ALSO TRIED TO STAND BEFORE THE CITY
- 8 OF LOS ANGELES POLICE COMMISSION AND I WAS PROMISED I WOULD BE
- 9 TALKED TO BUT NOBODY HAS TALKED TO ME. I'VE MADE HINTS AND
- 10 MENTIONED HERE BEFORE BUT I JUST WANT TO REITERATE THAT THIS
- 11 IS MY LAST REMEDY HERE TO RELATE TO A GOVERNING BODY LIKE THE
- 12 CITY COUNCIL OR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ABOUT THE SITUATION
- 13 THAT'S GOING ON. AND I'M ASKING FOR AN EX REO PROSECUTION OF
- 14 THE SAME SORT OF SITUATION. I CAN GIVE YOU THE DETAILS ON
- 15 THAT. I AM AWARE OF MANY PEOPLE, INDIVIDUALS, BUT MOSTLY MEGA-
- 16 COMPANIES THAT WERE INVOLVED WITH THIS RECEIVING STOLEN
- 17 PROPERTY, COPYRIGHTING IT AND MAKING MUSIC MOSTLY BUT
- 18 OFTENTIMES ALSO MAKING IDEAS FOR MOVIES, ET CETERA. SO IT
- 19 CONSTITUTES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, GRAND LARCENY SITUATION. I
- 20 ALSO NOTE THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS APPEARS TO ALSO
- 21 BE INVOLVED WITH SOME KIND OF MISUNDERSTANDING WITH THEY
- 22 ABOLISHED OF WHAT WAS FORMERLY KNOWN AS WORKS PROJECTS
- 23 ADMINISTRATION. IT WAS ABOLISHED IN 1942 USING PRISONERS TO
- 24 FORCE THEM TO MAKE MUSIC RATHER THAN SIT ON THEIR NOTHING AND
- 25 DO NOTHING. THEY WERE FORCED. IT WAS I BELIEVE ABOLISHED



- 1 BECAUSE IT WAS UNCONSTITUTIONALITY. SOMEHOW IT HAS BEEN
- 2 CONTINUED FORWARD. AND I AM ONE OF THE-- I'M SURE THERE'S
- 3 OTHER VICTIMS, BUT I AM A MAJOR VICTIM IN THAT AREA. I HAVE A
- 4 LOT OF ENTERTAINMENT PEOPLE BACKGROUND IN MY FAMILY, MOSTLY
- 5 VENUE OWNERS, PEOPLE LIKE COLONEL REGINALD BARLOW AND OTHER
- 6 PEOPLE THAT ARE VERY FAMOUS IN ENTERTAINMENT INDUSTRY. AND
- 7 LIKE I SAID, I WOULD LIKE TO RELATE THAT SITUATION. AND I
- 8 WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO SEE SOMETHING OR TALK TO SOMEBODY.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I THINK WHEN YOU TESTIFIED
- 11 PREVIOUSLY, I MEAN YOUR ISSUE'S WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
- 12 OFFICE, MAYBE SOMEBODY FROM COUNTY COUNSEL CAN GIVE YOU A
- 13 VISIT AS IT RELATES TO A CONTACT IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
- 14 OFFICE, OKAY? THANK YOU. SOMEONE WILL TALK TO YOU OVER HERE.

15

- 16 WALTER BECKTEL: THANK YOU. MR. SACHS, COME FORWARD. GOTTLIEB,
- 17 IF YOU'D JOIN US AS WELL. LARRY, THEN NANCY, THEN ARNOLD.

- 19 SPEAKER: OKAY. I JUST WANTED TO BRING UP A LITTLE OF THE
- 20 HISTORY OF THE WAY THAT THE MARINA HAS BEEN SQUANDERED AS A
- 21 GREAT SOURCE OF REVENUE THAT IT ACTUALLY IS. AND I THINK A LOT
- 22 OF THIS IS BECAUSE IN I THINK MANY CASES YOUR STAFF IS GIVING
- 23 YOU INFORMATION AT YOUR OWN-- TODAY YOU GUYS WERE TALKING
- 24 ABOUT THAT, THAT IF YOUR STAFF DOESN'T GIVE YOU GOOD
- 25 INFORMATION, THAT YOU MAKE BAD DECISIONS. I HEARD THAT WHILE I



- 1 WAS OUTSIDE. AND I THINK WE'RE IN A SITUATION WHERE WE'RE
- 2 GETTING -- YOU'RE GETTING SOME BAD INFORMATION. AND I'M NOT
- 3 TALKING ABOUT THE SHORES. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE MARINA DEL REY
- 4 IN GENERAL. IT'S NO ACCIDENT THAT THE HISTORY OF THE FAILURE
- 5 HERE TO MAKE SOUND DECISIONS TO GET REVENUE OUT OF THE MARINA-
- 6 ABE LUREY WAS ALLOWED TO KEEP HIS LEASES LONG AFTER HE
- 7 STOPPED PAYING ON THEM. HE WAS ALLOWED BY THIS BOARD TO BRING
- 8 IN A SECRET PARTNER IN ORDER TO KEEP HIS LEASES. THE PARTNER
- 9 TURNED OUT TO BE SAUDI AND WHEN HE DEFAULTED ON SOME OF HIS
- 10 LEASES, HE WAS OUT OF YOUR LEGAL REACH TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT
- 11 IT. SO VALUABLE LEASES IN THE MARINA WENT UNPAID. IT WAS THE
- 12 BOARD'S DECISION TO CHARGE FOR PARKING AT FISHERMAN'S VILLAGE,
- 13 EFFECTIVELY STOPPING PEOPLE FROM GOING THERE AND CAUSING MANY
- 14 SHOPS TO CLOSE. ALL THE LITTLE SHOPS ARE GONE. THE PAY PARKING
- 15 IS STILL IN FORCE. AND THE QUAINT MARINA DESTINATION IS
- 16 DESTROYED BY A BAD DECISION OF THE BOARD. THE MARINA CITY CLUB
- 17 WAS ALSO LEASED TO SOMEONE WHO COLLECTED THE LEASE MONEY FROM
- 18 THE OWNERS AND KEPT IT. AND THE BOARD WAS POWERLESS TO FORCE
- 19 THEM TO PAY ON HIS LEASE. AN INSURANCE COMPANY STEPPED IN AND
- 20 MADE THE PAYMENTS. WHEN THE RED ONION RESTAURANT CLOSED,
- 21 LOUISE'S RESTAURANT, A POPULAR RESTAURANT CHAIN, WANTED TO
- 22 LEASE IT BUT THE BOARD REJECTED IT. LOUISE'S RESTAURANTS MAKE
- 23 LOTS OF MONEY AND WOULD HAVE BEEN A GOOD CHOICE, BUT THEY WERE
- 24 PUSHED AWAY. EASY'S DINER WAS CLOSED AND LEASED TO A COMPANY
- 25 NEW IN THE BUSINESS THAT GETS ONLY A SMALL FRACTION OF THE



- 1 CUSTOMERS THAT EASY'S USED TO GET. THE LARGER ATTACHED
- 2 RESTAURANTS ALSO A VALUABLE WATERFRONT SPOT, BUT POOR CHOICES
- 3 HAVE BEEN MADE ABOUT WHO TO LEASE IT TO. PRIME TIME
- 4 STEAKHOUSE, HARBOR HOUSE, ORGANIC PANEFICCIO, THEY'RE REALLY
- 5 POOR CHOICES. THE FORMER LASORDA'S RESTAURANT ON MARQUESAS,
- 6 ALSO WATERFRONT, HAS REMAINED EMPTY FOR MORE THAN 10 YEARS.
- 7 BENNY HANA'S REMAINED EMPTY FOR YEARS. THE APARTMENTS AT THE
- 8 END OF MARQUESAS TOOK MANY YEARS TO COMPLETE. THEY WERE MONEY
- 9 MAKERS FOR THE COUNTY PRIOR TO THIS. THE GIANT NEW BUILDINGS
- 10 REMAIN ABOUT 2 PERCENT OCCUPIED AND THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE
- 11 COMING TO LEASE THEM IS ALL BUT DRIED UP. IT MAY BE A DECADE
- 12 BEFORE THEY FILL UP, AND ALL THAT REVENUE HAS BEEN LOST FOR
- 13 ALL THESE YEARS. THERE ARE MANY HUNDREDS IF NOT THOUSANDS OF
- 14 VACANT APARTMENTS IN OUR NEW COMPLEXES THAT PROVIDE NO
- 15 REVENUE. AND IN THE MIDDLE OF ALL OF THIS, YOU WANT TO TAKE
- 16 DOWN YET ANOTHER INCOME-PRODUCING APARTMENT TO BUILD ANOTHER
- 17 LARGE APARTMENT THAT THE COUNTY WILL NOT SEE A DIME OF REVENUE
- 18 FROM FOR AT LEAST THE NEXT 10 YEARS.

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: YOUR TIME IS UP. THANK YOU. NANCY AND THEN

21 ARNOLD AND THEN MR. GOTTLIEB.

19

- 23 NANCY MARINO: NANCY VERNON MARINO AGAIN. THANK YOU. I'D LIKE
- 24 TO FOLLOW UP ON SOME THINGS THAT LARRY SAID, WHICH IS, WHAT IS
- 25 THE AMORTIZED RETURNS TO THE COUNTY ON SOME OF THIS



- 1 DEVELOPMENT? THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION, VERY
- 2 EXPLICITLY AND VERY CLEARLY RECOMMENDED THAT A COMPREHENSIVE
- 3 STUDY BE DONE PRIOR TO A COMPREHENSIVE REVISION TO THE MARINA
- 4 DEL REY LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM THAT LOOKS AT WHAT HAS ALREADY
- 5 BEEN BUILT, WHAT THE SUCCESS RATE, HOW IT'S BEING USED TO SEE
- 6 WHAT IS APPROPRIATE FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT FOR MARINA DEL REY.
- 7 AND YET THE COUNTY CONTINUES HEADLONG IN A PIECEMEAL APPROACH,
- 8 ONE THAT IS EXTREMELY BURDENSOME TO THOSE OF US WHO HAVE TAKEN
- 9 ON THE CHALLENGE OF, A, TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT'S GOING ON
- 10 AND, B, TRYING TO HAVE SOME REASONABLE PARTICIPATION IN THE
- 11 PROCESS. WE NEED A MASTER PLAN. WE NEED IT A LONG TIME AGO,
- 12 NOT NOW. THE L.C.P. AS IT STANDS NOW, WHILE IT IS WAY OUT OF
- 13 DATE, IS THE CLOSEST THING WE HAVE TO A MASTER PLAN. AND YET
- 14 IT IS NOT BEING FOLLOWED. THERE ARE COUNTLESS PARCELS IN THE
- 15 MARINA THAT ARE BEING REALLOCATED FOR DIFFERENT LAND USE,
- 16 CHANGING THE WHOLE MIX OF THINGS. WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT
- 17 THE WHOLE THING. AND WHAT'S MORE, UNDER THE CALIFORNIA
- 18 CONSTITUTION, THE PUBLIC HAS THE RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THOSE
- 19 PLANNING DECISIONS. SECTION 30006 GIVES US THAT RIGHT OR
- 20 DOESN'T GIVE US THAT RIGHT. IT FINDS THAT WE HAVE THAT RIGHT
- 21 IT DOESN'T CONVEY TO US SOME KIND OF A PRIVILEGE THAT WAS
- 22 GIVEN TO US. IT FINDS THAT WE HAVE THAT BY RIGHT. AND THIS
- 23 PROCESS HAS BEEN EXTRAORDINARILY ABUSIVE IN TERMS OF THE
- 24 NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE TO GO AND WE HAVE TO
- 25 PARTICIPATE AT EACH PHASE OF EACH PROJECT IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN



- 1 STANDING, AS YOU ALL WELL KNOW. SO UNLESS WE TAKE ON THIS
- 2 HERCULEAN TASK, WE HAVE NO WAY OF PARTICIPATING IN THE PROCESS
- 3 IN ANY MEANINGFUL WAY. SO WHAT I'M ASKING YOU SUPERVISORS TO
- 4 DO TODAY WITHOUT DELAY, PUT ON YOUR AGENDA FOR WHATEVER THE
- 5 NEXT AVAILABLE MEETING IS, THE ISSUE OF A MASTER PLAN FOR
- 6 MARINA DEL REY. COME TO MARINA DEL REY, TALK TO THE COMMUNITY.
- 7 FIND OUT WHAT'S GOING ON THERE. LOOK AT WHAT'S HAPPENING
- 8 THERE. LOOK AT SOME OF THE PROJECTS THAT LARRY MENTIONED AND
- 9 SEE WHAT'S HAPPENING THERE. IT IS NOT GIVING A GOOD RETURN TO
- 10 THE COUNTY. IT IS NOT DEALING WITH THE NEEDS OF THE PEOPLE OF
- 11 THE COMMUNITY. IT IS NOT IMPROVING ANYONE'S QUALITY OF LIFE.
- 12 SO WHY DO YOU KEEP DOING THIS? PLEASE TAKE A GOOD LONG LOOK
- 13 NOW BEFORE MORE BAD DECISIONS ARE MADE. THANK YOU.

15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ARNOLD?

14

16

17 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD AFTERNOON, ARNOLD SACHS. BEFORE I START MY

- 18 PUBLIC COMMENT, I'D LIKE TO WISH EVERYBODY A HAPPY AND HEALTHY
- 19 HOLIDAY SEASON. I'D LIKE YOU TO ALSO INCLUDE -- A FEW WEEKS AGO
- 20 YOU MISSED PAUL NEWMAN'S DEATH FOR YOUR ADJOURNMENTS. YOU ALSO
- 21 MISSED VAN JOHNSON'S DEATH THAT JUST OCCURRED FOR YOUR
- 22 ADJOURNMENTS. BUT BACK TO METRO, MY FAVORITE SUBJECT. IF YOU
- 23 SUFFERED ANY KIND OF LOSS IN A RECENT-- BECAUSE OF RECENT
- 24 DOWNTURN IN THE ECONOMY, YOU CAN GO DOWN TO METRO HEADQUARTERS
- 25 AND PICK UP THIS GAME THAT'S IN THEIR MONTHLY-- QUARTERLY



- 1 ISSUE. IT'S CALLED "WE'RE STEALING YOUR MONEY, HO, HO-HO." AND
- 2 IN THIS GAME THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF PROJECTS THAT YOU CAN
- 3 ENTER INTO AND YOU CAN PUT ANY KIND OF METRO PROJECT IN HERE.
- 4 YOU EVEN HAVE FALSELY PRINTED UP-- I'VE GONE OVER THIS A FEW
- 5 TIMES, METRO DOLLARS, WHICH ARE NOT REALLY METRO DOLLARS
- 6 BECAUSE METRO DOLLARS DON'T COVER THE COST OF THEIR
- 7 OPERATIONS. IT'S ACTUALLY PUBLIC DOLLARS. AND TO SHOW YOU HOW
- 8 FAR BEHIND METRO IS, THEY EVEN DID A BLUEPRINT OF A PLAN FOR
- 9 THE EAST SIDE EXTENSION. AND THEY WENT THROUGH THE STEPS TAKEN
- 10 FROM THE 1980S UP TO TODAY. AND LIKE HERE IT SAYS IN THE YEAR
- 11 2000, A MAJOR INVESTMENT STUDY-- ACTUALLY I'M GOING TO GO
- 12 BACK. METRO BOARD SUSPENDS-- 1998 METRO BOARD SUSPENDS
- 13 CONSTRUCTION OF METRO RED LINE TO EAST L.A. STUDIES BEGIN TO
- 14 DETERMINE A MORE COST EFFICIENT PROJECT. IN THE YEAR 2000, A
- 15 MAJOR INVESTMENT STUDY CONSIDERED 47 ALTERNATIVES TO THE METRO
- 16 RED LINE TO EAST L.A. NOT ONE STUDY, THOUGH, BECAUSE AS OF
- 17 JANUARY, THEY'RE LOOKING FOR MAINTENANCE FACILITIES -- SO NOT
- 18 ONE STUDY OF THE GOLD LINE, THE EAST SIDE EXTENSION, INCLUDED
- 19 ANY KIND OF PERMANENT FACILITIES FOR THE GOLD LINE. THERE IS A
- 20 TEMPORARY FACILITY THAT'S GOING TO BE USED. BUT IT'S ALSO
- 21 SUPPOSED TO BE USED FOR THE EXPO LINE. WHAT KIND OF LEADERSHIP
- 22 DO WE HAVE AT METRO? MEASURE R, WHICH WAS JUST PASSED IS NOT
- 23 GOING TO CREATE A BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR. IT'S GOING TO CREATE
- 24 APPROXIMATELY 750 MILLION, WHICH WILL MAKE THE THRESHOLD OF
- 25 THE 30 BILLION THAT IS TALKED ABOUT OVER THE TERM OF THE



- 1 PROJECT REASONABLE. SO THE QUESTION IS YOU HAVE THESE TAX
- 2 SITES IN 1980 AND 1990. AND ACCORDING TO AN ARTICLE IN FROM
- 3 THE L.A. TIMES FROM OCTOBER 30TH, EACH OF THOSE RAISED \$686
- 4 MILLION IN 2007. THE BEGINNING OF THOSE TAXES, A CERTAIN SUM
- 5 WAS PROJECTED. HOW MUCH ABOVE THAT CERTAIN SUM OF PROJECTED
- 6 HAVE THESE TAXES INCREASED? AND WHERE IS THE MONEY? WHAT'S TO
- 7 SHOW FOR THE MONEY THAT'S BEING PRODUCED? AS ALWAYS, THANK YOU
- 8 FOR YOUR TIME, YOUR ANSWERS AND YOUR ATTENTION.

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

9

11

13

22

12 ARNOLD SACHS: SEE YOU IN 2009.

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIR: OKAY. BEFORE WE GO READ INTO CLOSED

- 15 SESSION, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND OUR
- 16 COLLEAGUES TO OUR COUNTY FAMILY OUT THERE, TO ALL THE CITIZENS
- 17 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HOWEVER YOU CELEBRATE THE HOLIDAYS, WE
- 18 JUST HOPE IT'S JOYFUL, HEALTHY, PEACEFUL, BETWEEN YOU AND YOUR
- 19 FAMILY AND FRIENDS AND YOU JUST HAVE A GREAT SAFE HOLIDAY
- 20 SEASON FROM ALL OF US UP HERE AGAIN TO OUR COUNTY FAMILIES TO
- 21 ALL THE CITIZENS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. GOD BLESS YOU ALL.
- 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REOUIREMENTS
- 24 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 25 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM C.S.-1 AND C.S.-2



1	CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION,
2	ITEM NUMBER C.S3, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING
3	SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, ONE CASE, ITEM C.S4,
4	INTERVIEW AND CONSIDERATION OF CANDIDATES FOR THE POSITION OF
5	AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. ITEM NUMBER C.S5, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL
6	COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION. AND ITEM 74-B,
7	CONSIDERATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF THE EMILIO SALAS AS ACTING
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AND
9	HOUSING AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957 AS
10	INDICATED ON THE POSTED AND SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. THANK YOU.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED
2	SESSION TUESDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2008
3	
4	
5	
6	Agenda item CS-4 was continued to Monday, December 22, 2008 at
7	9:00 a.m.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors December 16, 2008
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	18th day of December 2008 for the County records to be used
17	only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	
24	
~ -	